Investigating the Role of Interferon-Inducible Transmembrane 3 (IFITM3) in Infection.

By Aaron Raymond Everitt

This dissertation is submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

August 2013



Homerton College University of Cambridge

My thesis focuses on the interaction between interferon-inducible transmembrane 3 (IFITM3) and influenza viruses. IFITM3 confers cells *in vitro* with resistance to multiple pathogenic viruses, including influenza, dengue and West Nile virus amongst others (Brass *et al.* 2009; Huang *et al.* 2011). Although the current mechanism of restriction is unknown, it is thought that aggregation of IFITM3 within the late endosomes prevents the membrane fusion necessary for the release of viral nucleic acids and proteins into the cells' cytoplasm (Feeley *et al.* 2011; John *et al.* 2013).

My thesis aims to further understanding of IFITM3 through the use of a knockout mouse model with an ablation of the *Ifitm3* allele (*Ifitm3*^{-/-}). Challenge of the mouse with sub-lethal doses of influenza A virus showed that the loss of Ifitm3 resulted in heightened susceptibility to the virus, which resulted in accelerated weight loss, fulminant viral pneumonia, a persistent viral burden and ultimately death. These phenotypic effects are more commonly associated with infections using highly pathogenic 1918 'Spanish' influenza and avian H5N1 influenza viruses.

These findings were taken further by analysing the prevalence of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the *IFITM3* locus of humans hospitalised during the 2009 H1N1 pandemic. Through international collaboration, SNP rs12252-C, which is thought to be associated with sub-optimal IFITM functioning, was identified as being over-represented in these patients. Typically, 0.3% of the European Caucasian population are homozygous for the rs12252-C allele; however, the study showed that in patients hospitalised with influenza virus this proportion increased to 5.7%: a significant enrichment.

Furthering this observation, the thesis also investigates the effects and interactions of IFITM3 on medically-relevant treatments. Primarily, studies were employed to test the safety and efficacy of live attenuated influenza virus vaccines in *Ifitm3*^{-/-} mice to assess the potential for vaccine-associated morbidity in individuals possessing sub-optimally functioning IFITM3, and if protection is elicited against subsequent infection. This showed the vaccine was safe in these mice, and induced a normal, robust immune response that protected mice from a lethal challenge with pandemic H1N1 influenza virus. Furthermore, the mouse model was employed to assess the

effects of AmBisome, a commonly used antifungal agent, on Ifitm3 function, as it had been shown to cause a bypass of IFITM3-based restriction in vitro. The wild type mice treated with AmBisome prior to, and during, influenza virus infection show weight loss and morbidity similar to Ifitm3-/- mice; suggesting that AmBisome may heighten viral susceptibility in patients treated with this drug.

The thesis concludes with a meta-analysis investigating the in vivo effects of Ifitm3 in restricting a range of bacterial, viral and protozoan pathogens. This demonstrates the specificity of Ifitm3 for restricting only specific viral pathogens, despite the fact that a variety of pathogens utilise the endosomal pathway for entry into cells.

In conclusion, the thesis furthers our knowledge of IFITM3 by showing for the first time its in vivo effects on viral restriction and the criticality of IFITM3 in preventing the morbidity and mortality associated with influenza viruses.

Acknowledgements

Firstly, I would like to thank my supervisors, Professor Paul Kellam and Professor Gordon Dougan, for giving me the opportunity to work on a project that I found so engaging and for giving me the guidance and support necessary to complete my PhD. I would also like to thank Dr. Simon Clare for his technical guidance and expertise with my murine work, as well as for his sense of humour keeping me on my toes.

I'd like to extend my thanks to all members of Team 15 and Team 146 that I've been fortunate enough to work with since 2009. They've given me a much needed reprieve from scientific matters when needed and have been a pleasure to work / socialise with. Further to this, I'd also like to thank Dr. Abraham L. Brass for allowing me to travel to Boston to work with his lab and cultivate an ongoing and enduring collaboration. Similarly, thanks to Professor Paul Digard and Dr. Helen Wise for saving me from despair with their influenza assaying advice.

My family and friends obviously need mentioning here. My parents have both been incredibly supportive, even when they're not sure what I'm talking about on the phone, and I couldn't have done this without them. Similarly, thanks to my friends for not making me talk about science outside of work - especially those in Homerton, and from my other 'homes' in London and Sheffield. A special thanks needs to go to Tiff too; you'll never know how much you helped me since I met you right at the beginning in Cambridge and I'll forever be grateful to you for that.

Here's to the next step and whatever that may bring...

Declaration

This dissertation is the result of my own work and includes nothing which is the outcome of

work done in collaboration except where specifically indicated in the text.

Dr. S. Clare from the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute assisted the author in all live animal work

and conducted all inoculations, immunisations and animal procedures during the course of

experiments. Dr. A.L. Brass gave guidance and practical help with in vitro MEF transductions

and infections, immunofluorescence imaging of in vitro influenza infections, and in RNA

immunohistochemistry of tissue sections whilst I was part of his lab at the Ragon Institute, USA.

Dr. D. Goulding performed the GMA-embedded protein immunohistochemistry.

All other protocols relating to influenza challenge, including in vitro and ex vivo work, were

conducted by the author.

Aaron R. Everitt

August, 2013

Table of contents

Abstract	2
Acknowledgements	4
Declaration	5
Table of contents	6
List of tables	14
List of figures	15
Abbreviations	21
1 Introduction	23
1.1 Influenza virus	23
1.1.1 General features	23
1.1.2 The influenza replication cycle	25
1.1.3 Influenza mutation & variation	27
1.1.3.1 Antigenic drift	28
1.1.3.2 Antigenic shift	29
1.1.3.3 Virus adaptation mutations	31
1.2 Twentieth century influenza pandemics	32
1.2.1 1918 'Spanish' influenza	33
1.2.2 Influenza pandemics 1957-1977	34
1.2.2.1 1957: the 'Asian Influenza' pandemic	35
1.2.2.2 1968: the 'Hong Kong Influenza' pandemic	35
1.2.2.3 1976/77: The 'Fort Dix' virus and 'Russian' influenza pseudo-pandemics	36
1.2.3 The threat of an avian influenza pandemic	36
1.3 The 2009 H1N1 pandemic	38

1.3.1 Origins	38
1.3.2 Epidemiology	39
1.3.3 Morbidity & mortality profile	40
1.4 Host-Virus Interactions	43
1.4.1 The innate response to influenza virus	45
1.4.1.1 Intrinsic antiviral responses	46
1.4.1.1.1 The IFITM family	48
1.4.1.1.2 MxA / Mx1	52
1.4.1.1.3 The IFIT family	53
1.4.1.2 Cell-autonomous responses	54
1.4.1.2.1 ISGs against influenza: pre-translation	58
1.4.1.2.2 ISGs against influenza: post-translation	60
1.4.1.3 Leukocyte responses to influenza	61
1.4.1.3.1 Mast cells	62
1.4.1.3.2 Macrophages	63
1.4.1.3.3 Neutrophils	64
1.4.1.3.4 Natural killer cells	66
1.4.1.3.5 Dendritic cells	67
1.4.2 The adaptive response to influenza virus	69
1.4.2.1 MHC and antigen presentation	70
1.4.2.2 B-cell response (humoral immunity)	72
1.4.2.3 Cytotoxic T-cell response (cell-mediated immunity)	73
1.4.3 Viral antagonism of the immune response	74
1.4.4 Pathogenesis of influenza	76
1.4.4.1 Mild clinical symptoms of influenza virus infection in humans	78

	1.4.4	.2 Severe clinical symptoms of influenza virus infection in humans	79
	1.4.5	Influenza vaccinology	80
	1.4.5	.1 Intramuscular, inactivated vaccine	80
	1.4.5	.2 Live attenuated vaccines	81
	1.5 M	ouse models of influenza virus infection	82
	1.5.1	The influence of mouse background	83
	1.5.2	A "typical" phenotypic response	85
	1.5.3	The influence of influenza strain on murine pathology	86
	1.5.4	The use of knockout mouse models for studying the host immune response	87
	1.6 Hy	pothesis	90
	1.7 Th	esis aims	90
2	Materi	als and Methods	92
	2.1 Ma	aterials	92
	2.1.1	Media	92
	2.1.2	Cell lines	92
	2.1.3	Viruses	93
	2.1.4	Oligonucleotide primers	93
	2.1.5	Antibodies for flow cytometry	94
	2.1.6	Silencing RNAs (siRNA)	94
	2.1.7	Mice	94
	2.2 M	ethods	95
	2.2.1	Animal methods	95
	2.2.1	.1 Mouse infection	95
	2.2.1	.2 Titration of virus in mice	95
	2.2.1	.3 Mouse vaccination	96

2.2.1.3	Anti-fungal treatment	96
2.2.1.4	Bone marrow transfer	96
2.2.2 Tiss	sue processing	97
2.2.2.1	Division of the respiratory system	97
2.2.2.2	Flow cytometry preparation	97
2.2.2.3	Viral load preparation	98
2.2.2.4	RNA extraction preparation.	98
2.2.2.5	Protein extraction preparation	98
2.2.3 Rep	olicating virus quantification	98
2.2.3.1	Plaque assay	98
2.2.3.2	Tissue culture infective dose (TCID ₅₀)	99
2.2.4 Mo	lecular methods	100
2.2.4.1	Nucleic acid extraction	100
2.2.4.1.1	DNA extraction	100
2.2.4.1.2	2 RNA extraction	100
2.2.4.2	Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)	100
2.2.4.3	Real time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR)	100
2.2.4.4	Agarose gel electrophoresis	101
2.2.5 Cel	lular methods	102
2.2.5.1	Flow cytometry	102
2.2.5.2	Murine embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs)	102
2.2.5.2.1	Generation	102
2.2.5.2.2	2 Transfection and transduction	103
2.2.5.3	RNA interference (RNAi)	103
2.2.5.4	In vitro infection assays	103

2.2.5.4.1	1 RNAi studies	103
2.2.5.4.2	2 LCL infections	104
2.2.5.4.3	3 MEF infections	104
2.2.6 Tiss	sue analysis	104
2.2.6.1	Peripheral leukocytes	104
2.2.6.2	Histology	105
2.2.6.2.1	Pathology scoring	105
2.2.6.2.2	2 Protein immunohistochemistry	105
2.2.6.2.3	RNA immunohistochemistry	106
2.2.7 Pro	tein analysis	106
2.2.7.1	ELISA	106
2.2.7.1.1	1 Cytokine ELISA	106
2.2.7.1.2	2 Anti-influenza antibody ELISA	106
2.2.7.2	Luminex	106
2.2.7.3	Western blot	107
2.2.7.4	Microneutralisation assay	107
2.3 Statistic	al analyses	108
3 Screening for	or host factors involved in the restriction of influenza virus, usi	ng <i>in vitro</i>
assays and knoc	kout mouse models	109
3.1 Introduc	ction	109
3.1.2 Tar	gets for validation of antiviral function	110
3.1.2.1	ARCN1	110
3.1.2.2	CALCOCO2	111
3.1.2.3	COPG	111
3.1.2.4	IDO1	111

	3.	.1.2.5	SMS	112
	3.	.1.2.6	TM9SF4	112
	3.2			
	3.2.		e impact of gene knockdown on susceptibility to influenza virus infec	
			ines	
	3.2.		e impact of gene knockout on susceptibility to influenza virus infectio	
			es	
	3.3	Discuss	ion	117
4	IFI	TM3 res	tricts the morbidity and mortality associated with influenza	121
	4.1		ction	
	4.2	Results		124
	4.2.	1 The	e impact of the loss of Ifitm3 on susceptibility to influenza virus infec	tion in cell
	line			
	4.2.	2 Co	nfirmation of mouse genotype	127
	4.2.	3 Inf	luenza challenge of <i>Ifitm3</i> -/- mice	127
	4.	.2.3.1	Weight loss and survival	128
	4.	.2.3.2	Viral burden and distribution	130
	4.	.2.3.3	Pathology	132
	4.	.2.3.3	Ifitm3 and osteopontin expression during infection	135
	4.	.2.3.3	Immunology	139
		4.2.3.3.	1 Cellular response: respiratory system	139
		4.2.3.3.		
		4.2.3.3.	3 Cytokine response	142
		4.2.3.3.		
	4.2.		llaborative work on human IFITM3 genetics	
	4.2.		strictive capacity of truncated and rs12252-C containing IFITM3	

	4.3 Discus	sion	149
5	Investigat	ng the impact of loss of IFITM3 on vaccination and medical therapies.	154
	5.1 Introd	uction	154
	5.1.1 In	fluenza vaccine	155
	5.1.2 A	mBisome	156
	5.2 Result	s	156
	5.2.1 T	he role of Ifitm3 in intranasal vaccination against influenza virus	156
	5.2.1.1	Vaccine tolerance	157
	5.2.1.2	Vaccine efficacy: weight loss	157
	5.2.1.3	Vaccine efficacy: viral kinetics	158
	5.2.1.4	Antibody response to vaccination.	159
	5.2.1.5	Pathology	161
	5.2.1.6	Cellular response	163
	5.2.1.7	Cytokine response.	164
	5.2.2 T	he role of Ifitm3 in intra-muscular vaccination against influenza virus	165
	5.2.2.1	Vaccine efficacy: weight loss	166
	5.2.2.2	Vaccine efficacy: viral kinetics	167
	5.2.2.3	Antibody response to vaccination	168
	5.2.2.3	Pathology	168
	5.2.2.4	Cellular response	169
	5.2.3 T	he effects of AmBisome on Ifitm3 functionality	170
	5.2.3.1	In vitro effects	170
	5.2.3.2	In vivo effects	172
	5.3 Discus	sion	174
	531 D	iscussion: effect of vaccination in <i>Ifitm</i> 3 ^{-/-} mice	175

	5.3.2	Discussion: effect of amphotericin B on IFITM3 function	178
6	Meta-a	nalysis of the restrictive impact of IFITM3 on a spectrum of pathogens	181
	6.1 Int	roduction	181
	6.1.1	Pathogens	182
	6.1.1	.1 Salmonella Typhimurium	182
	6.1.1	.2 Citrobacter rodentium	183
	6.1.1	.3 Mycobacterium tuberculosis	183
	6.1.1	.4 Plasmodium	184
	6.1.1	.5 Respiratory Syncytial Virus	185
	6.2 Re	sults	186
	6.2.1	Ifitm3 expression pattern	186
	6.2.2	Salmonella challenge	188
	6.2.3	Citrobacter challenge	189
	6.2.4	Mycobacterium challenge	190
	6.2.5	Plasmodium challenge	191
	6.2.6	Respiratory syncytial virus challenge	192
	6.3 Dis	scussion	195
7	Genera	d Discussion	198
Re	eferences.		204

List of tables

Table 1.1: Influenza A gene products and their functions.	24
Table 1.2: The six phases of pandemic alert.	33
Table 1.3: Intrinsic antiviral factors.	47
Table 1.4: Susceptibility of BALB/c mice to different strains of influenza virus.	86
Table 2.1: Media compositions used in the study.	92
Table 2.2: Cell lines used during the study.	92
Table 2.3: Viruses used during the study.	93
Table 2.4: Custom-designed primers used during the study.	93
Table 2.5: Antibodies used for flow cytometry during the study.	94
Table 2.6: List of Ambion-validated siRNAs used in the study.	94
Table 3.1: Percentage expression of targeted genes in A549 cells following siRNA knockdo	own
	114
Table 4.1: Allele and genotype distribution derived from multiple global populations of the	1000
Genomes Project and patients hospitalised with influenza for SNP rs12252 of IFITM3	147

List of figures

Figure 1.1: Schematic of an influenza A virus.	24
Figure 1.2: Host range of influenza viruses.	. 25
Figure 1.3: Schematic to illustrate the influenza replication cycle.	. 26
Figure 1.4: Models of evolutionary change and the evolution of H3N2 influenza.	. 29
Figure 1.5: The anatomical distribution of α 2,3-galactose (α -2,3-SA) and α 2,6-galactose (α -2, SA) linked sialic acid receptors in three key species infected by influenza and the direction of	
inter-species viral transmission.	. 30
Figure 1.6: The role of the 1918 'Spanish' influenza virus in the pandemics of the 20 th	
Century	. 34
Figure 1.7: Schematic to show the genetic reassortments that led to the development of the 20 H1N1 strain of influenza.	
Figure 1.8: Geographical spread of the A(H1N1)pdm09 virus over the course of the first two months of its global transmission.	. 40
Figure 1.9: Age and pre-illness health of patients that died of pandemic influenza infection in 2009 in England.	. 42
Figure 1.10: Host factors involved in influenza A virus replication as deduced from human an fly RNAi screens.	
Figure 1.11: Broad mechanisms of viral antagonism of the host's innate immune response	. 45
Figure 1.12: The stages of the innate immune response to viral infection.	. 46
Figure 1.13: Intrinsic antiviral restriction factors that inhibit influenza virus.	. 48
Figure 1.14: Topologies suggested for the IFITM family of proteins.	. 49
Figure 1.15: The Ifitm proteins are necessary for restricting influenza virus in the late endosor and preventing vRNP entry into the nucleus.	
Figure 1.16: Currently suggested models of IFITM restriction.	. 51
Figure 1.17: Modes of detection of incoming virus and their subsequent signalling pathways	55

Figure 1.18: Signalling pathways of type I, II and III interferons.	. 57
Figure 1.19: Some of the interferon-induced proteins thought to be capable of restricting influenza virus.	58
Figure 1.20: The role of mast cells in host defence.	. 62
Figure 1.21: Cytokines produced by influenza-infected macrophages and their downstream effects.	63
Figure 1.22: Killing mechanisms and signalling molecules generated by neutrophils during the innate immune response.	
Figure 1.23: Natural killer cell control of activation state.	. 66
Figure 1.24: The innate immune response of DC populations at the respiratory surface	. 68
Figure 1.25: The functions of the adaptive immune response to influenza infection.	. 69
Figure 1.26: Generation of the adaptive immune response to influenza.	. 70
Figure 1.27: Mechanisms underpinning antigen presentation via MHC-I and MHC-II	. 71
Figure 1.28: Killing mechanism of cytotoxic T-lymphocytes.	. 74
Figure 1.29: The multi-functional role of influenza's NS1 protein in antagonising the cell-autonomous innate immune response.	75
Figure 1.30: Differences in the host response elicited by low and high pathogenicity	
viruses.	. 76
Figure 1.31: Clinical symptoms and disease progression associated with uncomplicated influe virus infection.	
Figure 1.32: Susceptibility of 21 inbred mouse strains to highly pathogenic H5N1 influenza A virus.	
Figure 1.33: Weight loss induced by influenza A virus infection in an array of inbred strains of mice.	
Figure 1.34: Schematic of the generation of knockout mice.	87
Figure 2.1: Uses of murine lung tissue in the study.	97

Figure 3.1: The impact of gene knockdown on influenza infection in A549 and U2-OS cell	
Figure 3.2: Weight loss profiles of knockout mice screened for susceptibility to influenza v	
infection.	116
Figure 4.1: Analysis of each IFITM3 amino acid's influence on antiviral restriction of influ	
Figure 4.2: Schematic of the targeted ablation of the <i>Ifitm3</i> locus in <i>Ifitm3</i> -/- mice.	
Figure 4.3: Single nucleotide polymorphisms of the <i>IFITM3</i> exons.	123
Figure 4.4: The impact of Ifitm3 knockdown in murine LA-4 cells.	124
Figure 4.5: Infection levels of murine embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) with and without the	
presence of Ifitm3 after influenza A challenge.	126
Figure 4.6: Confirmation of the loss of <i>Ifitm3</i> expression in <i>Ifitm3</i> -/- mice.	127
Figure 4.7: Weight loss and survival profiles of wild type and <i>Ifitm3</i> -/- mice infected with	
various influenza A subtypes.	129
Figure 4.8: Lung viral burden over the course of influenza A virus infection.	130
Figure 4.9: Viral antigen distribution through the lungs over the course of infection	131
Figure 4.10: Gross lung pathology of mice following influenza A virus challenge	132
Figure 4.11: Lung sections of mice following influenza A virus challenge.	133
Figure 4.12: Total weight and water content of mouse lungs excised at day six post-influent infection.	
Figure 4.13: TUNEL assay for cell death in influenza-infected lungs.	
Figure 4.14: Expression levels of Ifitm3 and osteopontin over the course of infection	137
Figure 4.15: Expression of Ifitm1 and Ifitm3 in mouse lungs with or without influenza infection.	
Figure 4.16: Respiratory system cell counts during influenza infection.	
Figure 4.17: Immune cell populations over the course of influenza virus infection	140

Figure 4.18: Systemic leukocyte responses to influenza virus infection.	141
Figure 4.19a: Cytokine responses in the lungs of mice infected with influenza virus	142
Figure 4.19b: Cytokine responses in the lungs of mice infected with influenza virus	143
Figure 4.20: Influenza challenge of chimeric mice.	144
Figure 4.21: Single nucleotide polymorphisms of the human <i>IFITM3</i> gene and the prevalence SNP rs12252.	
Figure 4.22: Impact of IFITM3 N□21 truncation on restriction of influenza A and B	
viruses.	148
Figure 4.23: Viral replication and IFITM3 expression in rs12252-TT and rs12252-CC contain	ing
human cells.	149
Figure 5.1: Tolerance of the live attenuated influenza vaccine, FluMist, in wild type and <i>Ifitms</i> mice.	
Figure 5.2: Efficacy of live attenuated influenza vaccines in terms of weight loss and survival wild type and <i>Ifitm3</i> -/- mice.	
Figure 5.3: Effect of FluMist vaccination on influenza A viral kinetics in wild type and <i>Ifitm3</i> mice.	-/-
Figure 5.4: Immunoglobulin profile of the blood and lungs of wild type and <i>Ifitm3</i> ^{-/-} mice following immunisation with FluMist vaccine.	160
Figure 5.5: Influenza-neutralising capacity of wild type and <i>Ifitm3</i> -/- antibodies following FluMist immunisation.	161
Figure 5.6: Effect of vaccination on pathological damage to the respiratory system following a lethal influenza A challenge.	
Figure 5.7: Histological impact of vaccination in wild type and <i>Ifitm3</i> -/- mice lungs following a lethal influenza A infection.	
Figure 5.8: Impact of vaccination on immune cell populations within the lungs of wild type an <i>Ifitm3</i> ^{-/-} mice following influenza A infection.	

Figure 5.9: Effect of vaccination on inflammatory cytokine production in wild type and <i>Ifitm3</i> -/- mice following influenza A infection.
Figure 5.10: Effect of intra-muscular influenza vaccination on the weight loss and survival of wild type and <i>Ifitm3</i> -/- mice following influenza A infection.
Figure 5.11: Effect of intra-muscular vaccination on viral load in the lungs of wild type and <i>Ifitm3</i> ^{-/-} mice following influenza A infection.
Figure 5.12: Immunoglobulin profile of the blood and lungs of wild type and <i>Ifitm3</i> -/- mice following immunisation with Fluvirin intra-muscular vaccine.
Figure 5.13: Impact of intra-muscular vaccination on pathological damage caused by a lethal challenge with influenza A virus.
Figure 5.14: Effect of intra-muscular vaccination on leukocyte populations in the lungs of wild type and <i>Ifitm3</i> -/- mice following influenza A infection
Figure 5.15: <i>In vitro</i> effects of amphotericin B on IFITM3-mediated restriction of influenza virus.
Figure 5.16: Effects of AmBisome on wild type and <i>Ifitm3</i> -/- mice during influenza A infection.
Figure 5.17: Effect of AmBisome on lung histology during influenza virus infection
Figure 6.1: Expression of Ifitm3 at the predominant sites of pathogen infection
Figure 6.2: S. Typhimurium challenge of wild type and Ifitm3 ^{-/-} mice. 188
Figure 6.3: Weight loss and bacterial shedding of wild type and <i>Ifitm3</i> -/- mice infected with <i>C. rodentium</i> .
Figure 6.4: Bacterial counts of wild type and <i>Ifitm3</i> -/- mice infected with <i>C. rodentium</i> over the course of infection.
Figure 6.5: Bacterial growth kinetics of <i>M. tuberculosis</i> in the lungs of wild type and <i>Ifitm3</i> -/- mice.
Figure 6.6: Malarial challenge of wild type and <i>Ifitm3</i> ^{-/-} mice with <i>P. berghei</i> ANKA
Figure 6.7: Weight loss and viral load associated with RSV infection of wild type and <i>Ifitm3</i> -/-

Figure 6.8: Cellular response of wild type and <i>Ifitm3</i> -/- mice to RSV infection.	194
Figure 6.9: Inflammatory cytokines in the lungs and BAL on day seven post-infection in RSV	/ -
infected wild type and <i>Ifitm3</i> -/- mice.	194

Abbreviations

APC Antigen presenting cell

BAL Bronchoalveolar lavage

CFU Colony forming unit

CTL Cytotoxic T-lymphocyte

DC Dendritic cell

ELISA Enzyme-linked immunosorbant assay

g Force of gravity

GenISIS Genetics of *Influenza* Susceptibility in Scotland

GMA Glycol methacrylate

HA Hemagglutinin
HCV Hepatitis C virus

HP High pathogenicity

HTS High throughput screening

IFN Interferon

Ig Immunoglobulin

i.v. IntravenousIL Interleukini.m. Intramuscular

i.n. Intranasal

i.p. Intraperitoneal

ISG Interferon-stimulated gene

i.v. IntravenouskDa Kilo Dalton

LAIV Live attenuated influenza vaccine

LCL Lymphoblastoid cell line

LD Lethal dose

LP Low pathogenicity

MEF Murine embryonic fibroblast

MHC Major histocompatability complex

MOSAIC Mechanisms of Severe Acute Influenza Consortium

NA Neuraminidase NK Natural killer

PCR Polymerase chain reaction

PFU Plaque forming unit

PRR Pathogen recognition receptor

QIV Quadrivalent influenza vaccine

QTL Quantitative trait loci

RNAi RNA interference

RSV Respiratory syncytial virus

SA Sialic acid

siRNA Small interfering RNA

SNP Single nucleotide polymorphism

TCID Tissue culture infective dose

TIV Trivalent influenza vaccine

TLR Toll-like receptor

pDC Plasmacytoid dendritic cell

VRDF Viral replication dependence factor

VRF Viral restriction factor

WHO World Health Organisation

WTSI Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute