## **Chapter 3**

## S. mansoni in experimentally infected mice

## 3.1 Introduction

## 3.1.1 Overview

Intra-mammalian stages of *S. mansoni* live among the components of host blood and encounter the vast network of the blood circulatory system where they eventually locate a suitable site for egg-laying. Interactions with the host environment are necessary for successful infection. However, much of the molecular biology underlying such interactions is still lacking. A better understanding of these processes will contribute to a holistic knowledge of *S. mansoni* biology, and could provide a basis for designing intervention strategies. In this chapter, I present a transcriptomic investigation of 6 intra-mammalian stages of *S. mansoni* infection, ranging from day 6 to day 35 post-infection. This dataset uses the patterns of gene expression over time to investigate processes at key stages of the infection particularly those related to hostparasite interactions. To this end, the data reflect processes known to be associated with key stages of the infection, represent a unique view into the resistance of the lung stage to attack by the host immune system, suggest potential novel players in host-parasite interactions, and propose mechanisms that govern mesenteric migration of adults.

## 3.1.2 Host-parasite interactions in intra-mammalian stages

Infection of the mammalian host with *S. mansoni* starts with cercariae penetrating through intact skin, entering the bloodstream, and migrating with blood through tissues around the body. After protracted stages in the lung and liver, the parasite develops into the adult and then migrates from the liver towards the mesenteric veins for mating and egg-laying (Wilson, 2009). The lodging of schistosomules in lung capillaries presents close physical contact between the schistosomule tegument and the endothelial wall of blood vessels inside the lung (Crabtree and Wilson, 1986a), interrupting blood flow and leading to potential accumulation of circulating immune

cells or coagulation; however, lung schistosomules are reported to be resistant to immune killing (Bentley *et al.*, 1981; Crabtree and Wilson, 1986b; Mastin *et al.*, 1985; McLaren and Terry, 1982). Interestingly, inflammation in the lung is not associated with the presence of parasites (Burke *et al.*, 2011) raising the question on immune-evasion tactics that the parasites employ. Further, in mice vaccinated by irradiated cercariae, the lung is the main site of parasite attrition in challenge infection (Wilson et al., 1986); however, the attrition does not appear to be mediated directly by the immune-mediated cytotoxicity (Crabtree and Wilson, 1986b; Mastin *et al.*, 1985). The lung also presents a unique environment with high oxygen pressure from respiration.

After the lung, development from schistosomules into adult stages is associated with localisation in the liver, and components of host immune responses are required for the development (Clegg, 1965; Shaker *et al.*, 1998, 2011). It is thought that the arrival in the liver is a passive process and once the schistosomules are located in the liver, blood feeding and development commence (Clegg, 1965; Wilson, 2009). The signal for detecting liver environment upon arrival is not understood, and understanding the requirements and adaptations to the liver environment would have practical implications as the current line of treatment, praziquantel, has low efficacy against liver stages (Kusel *et al.*, 2007).

The egg-laying sites are unique to particular species. For example, *S. mansoni* migrate towards the mesenteric vein close to the intestine, whereas *S. haematobium* migrates toward the venous plexus of the bladder. Even in species that reside in mesenteric veins for egg-laying, distinct locations within the mesenteric vein are used by different species. Furthermore wandering behaviour has been reported based on locations of eggs found in the mesenteric vein (Pellegrino and Coelho, 1978). The cue for this migration is currently unidentified. As well as development and migration to suitable sites, the parasites must adapt to their bloodstream environment, the different tissues through which the blood passes and, importantly, survive among the host immune responses and inflammatory processes that maybe caused by the damaged host tissue during the infection (Bloch, 1980; Crabtree and Wilson, 1986a).

## 3.1.3 Progress in transcriptomic and genomic approaches

Studying gene expression during infections provides a better understanding of the molecular processes underlying the interactions with host environment. Transcriptional changes of parasites in various environments and at different developmental stages could reflect molecular processes required for biologically relevant responses. Transcriptomic studies have been previously used to understand the biology of intra-mammalian stages as well as to predict drug and vaccine targets (Chai et al., 2006; Fitzpatrick et al., 2009a; Gobert et al., 2009b; Jolly et al., 2007; Parker-Manuel et al., 2011). However, many were done using microarray, or EST technologies which provide lower resolution than RNA-seq or cover only a subset of developmental stages. The developmental stages of parasite materials used for these studies also varied and usually combined materials obtained in vivo and in vitro (Chai et al., 2006; Fitzpatrick et al., 2009a). The lung stage is particularly challenging to study owing to the difficulty in obtaining sufficient biomaterials; past studies on lung stages of S. mansoni used biomaterial from in vitro cultivated schistosomules, mechanically transformed from cercariae, which may not fully represent the biology of the lung stage worms (Gobert et al., 2007). Transcriptional profiling of lung stage parasites in vivo has previously been performed (Chai et al., 2006) but using a microarray and in isolation, rather than alongside other time points from the lifecycle. The current status of the S. mansoni genome has enabled more information and confidence to be obtained from transcriptomic information. Further, the efficiency of library preparation means less materials are required to successfully produce transcriptomic data, therefore previously less accessible stages (such as the lung stage) can now be explored.

## 3.1.4 Aims and approaches

The aim of this chapter is to provide further insight into host-parasite interactions during the intra-mammalian stages of *S. mansoni*. Particular focus is on interactions in the lung stage's immune evasion strategies, migration and development in the liver, and migration of adults to an egg-laying site. To this end, transcriptomes were obtained from experimentally infected mice from which parasites were collected at days 6, 13, 17, 21, 28, and 35 post-infection, providing the first *ex vivo* lung transcriptome to be investigated as part of a comprehensive *ex vivo* intra-mammalian

timecourse. The selection of these time points was based on previous radio-isotope tracking studies that laid such time points on some key stages of the infection and migration path (Wilson, 2009). At day 6, parasites were mainly present in the lung, and after leaving the lung they circulate within the bloodstream with cardiac output and accumulate in liver until around day 21 when the highest number of parasites is reached (Clegg, 1965; Wilson, 2009). The parasites mature and start pairing by day 28 and egg-laying occur around day 35 (Clegg, 1965). With our initial interest on the intra-mammalian migration path of the parasite, the collection did not go beyond day 35 post-infection by which point, the parasites should already reside in the mesenteric vein (Bloch, 1980; Clegg, 1965; Georgi *et al.*, 1982, 1986; Wilson *et al.*, 1986).

## 3.1.5 Chapter outline

This chapter contains a brief description of the morphological heterogeneity of the parasites obtained at fixed time points post-infection. Transcriptomic data were investigated in detail, using pairwise comparisons, gene clustering, and functional enrichment, to select genes for more detailed bioinformatics investigation. The sections move from lung stage, to liver stages, and to adult stages. The lung stage transcriptome suggests potential mechanisms that allow the parasites to be resistant to immune killing. The liver stage transcriptome reflects growth and development as expected, and it suggest a possible adaptation to the liver environment. The adult stage transcriptome reflects reproduction as expected, and cues are proposed that enable the migration of the parasite to mesentery.

## 3.2 Methods

## 3.2.1 Mouse infection

#### 3.2.1.1 Infection procedures

Schistosomes were collected from experimentally infected mice at 6 time points postinfection – days 6, 13, 17, 21, 28, and 35 – spanning lung stages through to the egglaying adult stage. In order to obtain enough parasites at each time point for RNA sequencing, mice were infected with different numbers of cercariae shed from *B*. *glabrata* snails. Two thousand cercariae were used to infect mice used to provide day-6 and day-13 parasites, 500 were used to provide day-17 and day-21 parasites, 350 for day-28 and 300 for day 35. More mice were used for early time points due to the greater uncertainty in acquiring the samples (Figure 3.1). Percutaenous infections were performed by Prof. Michael J. Doenhoff (University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK) by applying suspensions of mixed-sex cercariae to the shaved abdomens of anesthetised mice and leaving for 30 minutes. Although much of the schistosome life cycle could be accessed locally, only sub-cutaneous infections was possible at the time of this experiment, and this type of infection route did not yield sufficient numbers of early-stage parasites. All mice used for this experiment were females of CD-1 outbred strain (Harlan Laboratories) aged between 8-12 weeks old. A pool of parasites from each mouse was treated as one biological replicate.



#### Figure 3.1 Experiment layout

Mice were infected with different numbers of cercariae for collection at six time points post-infection as shown at the top of the diagram. The different numbers of cercariae at each time point are necessary for sufficient amounts of parasites to be collected at the end of the infection and to maintain the health of the infected mice. A pool of parasites from one mouse is treated as one biological replicate and the number of mice used for each time point is shown on the left. Method for parasite collection at day 6 is mincing and incubation of the lung. Collection at other time points were done by portal perfusion through the heart and perfusate collected in Falcon tubes.

## 3.2.2 Parasite and tissue collection from infected mice

Lung stage parasites were collected from the incubated lung while other time points were collected by portal perfusion (Figure 3.1). The timing of infection was arranged such that the all stages could be collected on the same day. On the indicated day post-infection, infected mice were sacrificed using an overdose of pentobarbitone with addition of 10 U/ml heparin to prevent blood clotting. Then the parasites were collected, washed, imaged, collected into Eppendorf tubes, mixed with TRIzol, and stored in dry ice until later moved to -80 °C storage. Details are explained below.

#### 3.2.2.1 Lung stage collection and incubation

Lung stage parasites were collected using a protocol adapted from the Biomedical Research Institute (Biomedical Research Institute, 2016); at day 6 post-infection, mice were dissected and lungs collected, minced into ~1 mm<sup>3</sup> pieces using scissors and added to a Falcon tube containing heparinised Basch media (10 U/ml heparin) and topped up to 50 ml with the heparinised Basch media (see Appendix D for Basch media conponents). The lungs from each mouse were incubated separately in individual Falcon tubes for ~1 hour at room temperature, followed by 37 °C for 3 hours.

#### 3.2.2.2 Lung stage after incubation

After the 3-hour incubation, the media and lung tissue were resuspended, by turning the Falcon tube 2-3 times, and the media was passed through a 600  $\mu$ m mesh into new 50 ml Falcon tubes. Larger pieces of lung remained on the mesh, while lung stage worms passed through the mesh together with small pieces of lung tissues. The protocol from BRI indicates that when incubated for a further 24 hours, more worms can be recovered. However, this was not feasible due to sample-collection logistics. The presence of worms after a 3-hour incubation was confirmed under a dissecting microscope and the remainder of the lung tissue was discarded. Next, the filtrate was centrifuged at 150 x g for 3 minutes at room temperature and approximately half of the supernatant gently decanted into a waste bucket. At this stage, the worms were still at the bottom of the Falcon tube and could be recovered using a Pasteur pipette. Removing all or most of the supernatant would run risk of losing the worm pellet from the bottom of the falcon tube because the worms were very small and easily

dislodged. Approximately 1-1.5 ml from the bottom of the tube was collected and added into a small Petri dish for imaging.

#### 3.2.2.3 Other time points - collection

For other time points (days 13, 17, 21, 28, and 35), mice were euthanised and dissected to expose the portal vein and heart. The mice were clipped by their paws on to a plastic board so that the perfusate would run into a beaker placed underneath the animal. Then the portal vein was cut and ~ 30 ml perfusion media (Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) high glucose, with 10 U/ml heparin) injected into the heart. Next, the surfaces of internal organs were briefly rinsed with more perfusion media to wash off any remaining parasites. The perfusate was collected into a Falcon tube. A pool of parasites from one mouse was treated as one biological replicate.

#### 3.2.2.4 Other time points - wash

To wash the parasites, the perfusates were left at room temperature for 30 minutes for the worms to form pellets at the bottom of each tube. Supernatants were removed leaving ~5 ml, which was topped up to ~40 ml. Worms were left to sink for another 30 minutes. After which, half of the supernatant was removed and worms at the bottom were collected using a Pasteur pipette, and transferred to a small petri dish for imaging. With an exception for day-35 worms, which sank more quickly, worms from all other time points were left to sink for 30 minutes. Originally, centrifugation was used to collect the worms at each time point, however, blood cells from mice were also pelleted down and this prevented a clear view of the worms during imaging and would contaminate the parasite transcriptome data with mouse RNA.

#### 3.2.2.5 Imaging

Worms were imaged on a dissecting microscope (Olympus SZ61), using Euromex Cmex10 camera and Image Focus 4.0 software. After the imaging step (~2 minutes per replicate), the content of the dish was transferred into a 2-ml Eppendorf tube, the dish was rinsed to recover residual worms and pooled into the Eppendorf tube. Then the tube was centrifuged at 150 x g for 3 minutes, the supernatant removed, 1 ml of TRIzol added, left at room temperature until transferred to dry ice, and later to storage at -80 °C.

## 3.2.2.6 Timing

After collection, washing, and imaging TRIzol was added to each sample within 3-4 hours of perfusion. Prior to the addition of TRIzol, worms were at all times kept in DMEM high glucose media supplemented with heparin and were placed in a 37 °C incubator when not being processed. After addition of TRIzol, the samples were kept at room temperature for 20–120 minutes before being stored in dry ice.

## 3.2.3 RNA extraction and library preparation

Parasite samples in TRIzol were next processed for RNA extraction. For samples of day 13 to day 35, three replicates were chosen at random for RNA extraction. For day-6 worms, contamination with mouse RNA was observed based on additional 28S rRNA peak on Agilent profile (electropherogram example shown in Figure 2.2D); therefore, all replicates were used to ensure the success of the process. There were 22 samples in total; therefore, RNA was extracted in batches of 6-8 samples. RNA extraction, library preparation, and sequencing were performed as described in chapter 2.

## 3.2.4 Morphology score

The images were used to determine morphology scores for all parasites captured on each image, based on the morphology categories from a published literature (Basch, 1981), diagram reproduced in Figure 3.2. In order to avoid bias from prior knowledge of the time points from which the images were obtained, all images were renamed to randomised numbers with metadata of the original names and the source of time points recorded.. An average of 31 worms were scored per replicate (range 18-75 worms). The number of parasites that fell into each morphology category were normalised by the total number of parasite in that replicate.



FIGURE 1. Standardized reference stages used to describe development of S. mansoni. Adapted from Faust et al. (1934).

#### Figure 3.2 Diagram used for morphology scoring

Morphology of *S. mansoni* from experimentally infected mice. The diagram is taken from Basch (1981) and is used for categorising *S. mansoni* in this thesis. Features of parasites used for categorising them into each morphology group are appearance of haemozoin-filled gut, the shape of the gut (fork end, closed end), the proportion of the extension of the gut end compared to the loop part, and whether the worms are paired or unpaired.

## 3.3 Results

## 3.3.1 Worm morphology

The majority of day-6 parasites were morphologically similar. It is worth bearing in mind that these parasites may not represent all morphological forms present at day 6 because these parasites were obtained from the lung only and not by perfusion; any parasite that had left the lung by day 6 were therefore not extracted. For this reason, day-6 parasite is referred to as 'lung stage'. Developing parasites during day 13 to day 28 were morphologically heterogeneous, but with a clear trend of shifting toward more advanced developmental stages. At day 28 post infection, most parasites reached adult stages and some parasites formed pairs. Day-35 parasites have all reached fully mature adult stage and most were paired. Day-35 parasites were let to sink during the collection instead of centrifuged down; therefore, there might be some smaller immature parasites that were not collected, but according to the morphology

of the worms at day 28, which were collected by centrifugation, the number of smaller immature parasites should be minimal (Figure 3.3). The heterogeneity during development is consistent with observations made in previous studies (Basch, 1981; Clegg, 1965). This would add noise in the transcriptomes particularly in the liver stage and in adult stages where samples are mixed of male and female parasites, and was taken into account while analysing the data. Lung stage, however, should be the least affected by the heterogeneity.



#### Figure 3.3 Morphology of in vivo S. mansoni

A) Morphology of *S. mansoni* at the six time points post-infection. Twenty-one morphology groups, based on morphology scores from Basch (1981), are shown at the bottom of the heatmap. Rows on the heatmap are replicates of the infections. An average of 31 worms per replicate (range 18-75 worms) were imaged for morphology scoring. Colours on the heatmap show the number of worms that fall into each morphology group, normalised by the number of worms categorised within a replicate. B) Representative images of worms from each time point. Scale bars: 1 mm.

## 3.3.2 RNA quantity and quality

All RNA from parasites of all time points showed clear rRNA peaks when run on Agilent Bioanalyzer chips (similar to electropherogram examples shown in Figure 2.2A and D), confirming high quality RNA with little or no degradation. The yield of extracted RNA ranged from 45 to 2844 ng/ $\mu$ l, in 30  $\mu$ l nuclease-free water. NanoDrop measurements showed that 260/280 ratio of all samples were close to 2, but 260/280 ratio were ranged from 0.8 to ~2 (average 1.57) with no association with samples from any particular time points, suggesting that it could be TRIzol contamination from the extraction protocol.

## 3.3.3 Sequencing yields

#### 3.3.3.1 Down sampling

Fewer reads mapped to the S. mansoni genome from lung-stage parasites compared with other stages, and a large proportion of reads from day-6 worms mapped to the mouse genome (on average  $\sim 20$  %). This was expected given that contamination of mouse RNA in the preparation of lung-stage worms was seen on RNA trace run on Agilent Bioanalyzer chips (similar to an example RNA trace shown in Figure 2.2D). Lung stage samples had an average of 520,214 read counts, while other samples (day-13 to day-35) had averages over 4,800,000 read counts (Table 3.1). To assess whether the number of reads were too low for the data analysis and whether re-sequencing of day-6 samples would be needed, the other samples were down-sampled to the read count level of day-6 samples (10%, 7.5%, 5%) and compared PCA plots resulting from the total reads, and the down-sized reads. All PCAs plots resemble each other in terms of variations between samples (Figure 3.4). However, down-sizing of other samples to the level of day-6 read counts reduced the number of differentially expressed genes detected (results not shown). The statistics tool used for analysing this dataset is designed to handle different numbers of reads between samples by estimated size factor normalisation. Therefore, downstream analysis were undertaken with the current dataset and further data for day-6 samples did not need to be generated.

Time point	Average counts between all replicates
Day 6	520214
Day 13	7599869
Day 17	5938978
Day 21	4828234
Day 28	5938633
Day 35	5010390

 Table 3.1 Average of total read counts





PCA plot of samples that were proceeded to RNA-seq. Each dot is a pool of parasites from one mouse and represents one biological replicate. The percentages at the top left of each plot represent the proportion of down-sized reads, with 'full' being the whole dataset with all reads.

## 3.3.4 Sequencing data overall profiles

#### 3.3.4.1 PCA of full in vivo dataset

PCA was used to illustrate differences in transcriptomic profiles across all samples with larger distances between clusters representing larger variances in transcriptomic profiles. In this dataset, PCA plots show tight clustering of replicates for each time point and reassuringly indicate small variation between biological replicates compared with large variation between time points (Figure 3.4, full). All replicates from lung stage clustered separately from day-13 to day-21 groups. The closeness of day-13, 17, and 21 groups may be due in part to each sample representing developing stages that were dominated by similar gene expression. However, it is likely to also be due to the heterogeneity and morphological overlap between parasites from these three time points. Both day-28 and day-35 samples comprise adult parasites, many of which will have paired. The differences between them could be due to their stage of sexual maturity and egg laying which is onset at day 35.

Based on the distinctions between samples shown by the PCA, the following analyses grouped the samples into 'lung stage' (day-6), 'liver stages' (day-13, 17, and 21), and 'adult stages' (day-28 and 35).

#### 3.3.4.2 Gene clustering by timecourse expression profile

Using the whole dataset covering a timecourse of parasite development, I first sought a clustering method to group genes based on their changes in expression level over the timecourse. The clustering profiles were used for i) finding genes that had the biggest change over the timecourse, ii) inferring functions for genes of interest based on co-clustering genes with similar profiles, and iii) investigating genes that were expressed at high level in more than one time points (such as in liver stages). To this end, genes that were differentially expressed in at least one time point (adjusted p-value < 0.01, likelihood ratio test, 7987 genes) were grouped into 96 clusters and their similarity represented in Figure 3.5. Expression of genes in clusters are shown in Figure 3.6 (where y-axes were scaled based on the range of changes within a given cluster, which allows resolutions in clusters with smaller effect to show) and in Figure 3.7 (where all clusters are shown with the same y-axis, which allows clusters with the most extreme pattern to be seen). With this, two clusters showed the most striking changes and contained genes with expression specific to a particular time point.

Cluster 8 contains genes with high expression in the lung stage while expression levels in other time points are relatively low; cluster 96 contains genes with highest expression in adult stages (day 28 and day 35).



#### Figure 3.5 Similarity between 96 clusters

Clustering of genes based on their expression over the timecourse provides a representative profile for each of the 96 clusters. Hierarchical clustering was performed on the representative profiles and displayed on this dendrogram to illustrate relationship and similarity between clusters. The tip colours are for visualisation.



#### Figure 3.6 Clusters of genes based on timecourse expression pattern

Expression profile of genes differentially expressed in at least one time point clustered into 96 groups. The clustering was done on mean-normalised regularized log transformation (rlog transformed) of raw read counts. X-axes represent six time points from this dataset; y-axes represent the mean-normalised rlog transformed. Y-axes are not on the same scale so that differences between clusters can be displayed.



Figure 3.7 Clusters of genes based on timecourse expression pattern, with fixed y-axes

Timecourse expression profile of genes and clustering output as shown on Figure 3.6, but with the consistent range for y-axis across all clusters in order to visualise clusters with the largest changes over time.

## 3.3.5 Lung stage

#### 3.3.5.1 Lung stage cluster

The lung-specific clusters (cluster 8) contains 8 genes (Table 3.2). Four of these are *MEGs*, two from *MEG-2* family and two from *MEG-3* families. Signalling and the onset of development during the lung stage is indicated by the high expression of a calcium binding protein calmodulin (Smp 032970) and two adjacent genes annotated as cat eye syndrome critical region proteins (Smp\_149860, Smp\_149870) which in human has a role in development (Footz, 2001; Mitra et al., 2004). Genes responsible for developmental control are often tightly regulated and show temporal changes (Li et al., 2009). Expression of the genes with potential functions related to development could suggests that developmental processes were initiated at the lung stage even though mitosis has not occured (Clegg, 1965; Lawson and Wilson, 1980). Consistent with this, a group of clusters with high expression in lung stage and a steady decline toward adult (cluster 13,6,14,5,23,16,7) is enriched in genes with biological functions related to developmental control (Table 3.3). This includes several transcription factors; cell adhesion proteins involved in embryogenesis and neuronal development, such as SOX (Smp\_148110, Smp\_161600) and procadherin family (such as Smp\_011430, Smp\_141740, and Smp\_155600) (Kamachi and Kondoh, 2013; Paulson et al., 2014); in addition Wnt and frizzled receptors that are important for cell-fate determination and control of development (Logan and Nusse, 2004; Park et al., 1994) were expressed in a similar pattern.

Gene identifier	Product name
Smp_032970	calmodulin protein (calcium binding protein)
Smp_138070	MEG-3 (Grail) family
Smp_138080	MEG-3 (Grail) family
Smp_149860	cat eye syndrome critical region protein 5
Smp_149870	cat eye syndrome critical region protein
Smp_159800	MEG-2 (ESP15) family
Smp_159810	MEG-2 (ESP15) family
Smp_172460	Krueppel factor 10 like

 Table 3.2 Genes in cluster 8 (highly expressed in lung stage)

GO identifier	GO description	p-value
GO:0030238	male sex determination	0.0004
GO:0007223	Wnt signaling pathway; calcium modulating pathway	0.0004
GO:0030154	cell differentiation	0.0011
GO:0018958	phenol-containing compound metabolic process	0.0099
GO:0016055	Wnt signaling pathway	0.0145
GO:0006813	potassium ion transport	0.0174
GO:0007076	mitotic chromosome condensation	0.0178
GO:0007155	cell adhesion	0.0190
GO:0098609	cell-cell adhesion	0.0253
GO:0009072	aromatic amino acid family metabolic process	0.0332
GO:0006811	ion transport	0.0366

 Table 3.3 Enriched GO terms of genes down-regulated after lung stage

#### 3.3.5.2 Lung stage compared to early liver stage

To further explore the lung stage, gene expression differences between the lung stage (day-6) with the next available stage after the parasite left the lung (day-13, early liver stage) was compared (Figure 3.8). Pairwise comparisons revealed 864 genes that were up-regulated in lung stage (Table 3.4, Table S3.1) compared to day-13 stage, and 686 genes were up-regulated in day-13 worms (Table 3.6, Table S3.2). Genes up-regulated in lung stages were further analysed by GO term enrichment (Figure 3.9, Table S3.3).



Figure 3.8 Volcano plots of all consecutive pairwise comparison

Pairwise comparisons between consecutive time points.. Each dot is a gene. Blue dots are differentially expressed genes (log2FC > 1 or < -1, and adjusted p-value < 0.01).

Gene identifier	Log <sub>2</sub> FC	Adjusted	Product name		
	(day 13/day 6)	p-value			
	Top 20 genes up-regulated in day 6				
Smp_138080	-12.62	1.48E-19	MEG-3 (Grail) family		
Smp_138070	-11.99	3.58E-30	MEG-3 (Grail) family		
Smp_159810	-11.22	1.02E-48	MEG-2 (ESP15) family		
Smp_159800	-9.66	5.10E-28	MEG-2 (ESP15) family		
Smp_181510	-9.58	1.15E-13	hypothetical protein		
Smp_032990	-8.98	7.14E-13	Calmodulin 4 (Calcium binding protein Dd112)		
Smp_159830	-8.69	1.00E-05	MEG-2 (ESP15) family		
Smp_138060	-8.06	3.76E-09	MEG-3 (Grail) family		
Smp_203400	-7.34	1.88E-07	rhodopsin orphan GPCR		
Smp_005470	-7.31	3.34E-08	dynein light chain		
Smp_077610	-6.61	6.19E-07	hypothetical protein		
Smp_166350	-6.59	1.31E-06	hypothetical protein		
Smp_180330	-5.97	2.91E-32	MEG 2 (ESP15) family		
Smp_205660	-5.84	4.52E-15	hypothetical protein		
Smp_033250	-5.80	4.43E-05	hypothetical protein		
Smp_132500	-5.73	4.50E-04	ras and EF hand domain containing		
~			protein		
Smp_152730	-5.68	3.41E-11	histone lysine N methyltransferase MLL3		
Smp_241430	-5.61	3.89E-23	Aquaporin 12A		
Smp_125060	-5.55	6.70E-04	kinase suppressor of Ras (KSR)		
Smp_198060	-5.51	4.73E-13	hypothetical protein		

Table 3.4 Top 20 genes up-regulated in day-6 compared to day-13 schistosomules



*Figure 3.9 GO enrichment of genes up-regulated in day-6 compared to day-13 schistosomules* Bar chart shows enriched GO terms (biological processes terms) of genes that were up-regulated in day-6 compared to day-13 schistosomules, ranked by p-values obtained from the topGO package.

#### <u>Signalling</u>

Multiple signalling processes appeared to be up-regulated in the lung stage. The top four enriched GO terms were *signal transduction, male sex determination, potassium ion transport,* and *neurotransmitter transport.* Other enriched GO terms, though less significant, suggest that signalling processes may be up-regulated in lung stage compared to the early liver stage (day 13). Examples of these GO terms are *PKCactivating GPCR signalling pathway, regulation of Rho protein signal transduction, hormone metabolic process.* Such signalling may be related to responding to environment, or it could be part of developmental control as GO terms on development were also enriched; for example, *cell differentiation, homophillic cell adhesion, brain development, male sex determination.* Other types of signalling included potential neuromuscular signalling inferred from enriched GO term *neuropeptide signalling pathway, sodium ion transport, chloride transport,* and one of the top GO term mentioned previously, *neurotransmitter transport* (Figure 3.9). This may suggest an increased requirement for neuronal activities in lung stage compared to day-13 early migratory stage for locomotion that allow the worms to migrate out of

the lung and travel within the bloodstream. Consistent with the GO term enrichment, the top up-regulated genes in lung stage compared to the early liver stage included a *rhodopsin orphan GPCR* (Smp\_203400), and two Ras related proteins (Smp\_132500, Smp\_125060) - all were up-regulated by more than 32 fold (Table 3.4).

#### MEGs

In total, there were 17 *MEGs* up-regulated in lung stage compared to day-13 schistosomules, with seven *MEGs* being among the top-20 lung-stage up-regulated genes. These are *MEG-2* (Smp\_159810, Smp\_159800, Smp\_159830, Smp\_180330) and *MEG-3* groups (Smp\_138080, Smp\_138070, Smp\_138060). Other classes of *MEGs* were also up-regulated in lung stage compared to day-13 schistosomules; these were *MEG-10*, *MEG-14*, *MEG-15*, *MEG-17*, and other genes in *MEG-2* and *MEG-3* classes (Figure 3.10, Table S3.1). Some *MEGs*, such as *MEG-3* and *MEG-14*, were previously identified in the oesophagus of schistosomules and adults and were proposed to have a role in blood feeding and/or interactions with host through *MEGs* secreted into host environment (DeMarco et al., 2010; Orcia et al., 2016; Philippsen et al., 2015; Wilson, 2012).



*Figure 3.10* MEGs *differentially expressed between day-6 and day-13 schistosomules In vivo* timecourse expression of the *MEGs* that were differentially expressed between day-6 and day-13 schistosomules. The expression levels are shown as mean-normalised rlog-transformed read counts for each time point. Annotation columns adjacent to the heatmap (min, max) indicate expression level ( $log_{10}$  FPKM) - deeper colours indicate higher expression levels.

#### Iron homeostasis

Blood feeding is thought to start after the lung stage (Crabtree and Wilson, 1986a, 1980) and by day-13 schistosomules enter a growth spurt which requires iron. Intriguingly, however, GO term *cellular iron ion homeostasis* was enriched in genes up-regulated in lung stage compared to day-13 schistosomules (Figure 3.9). Genes contributing to this enriched GO term are *ferritin* genes (Smp\_047650, Smp\_047660, Smp\_047680; fold change range between 2.1 - 7.2) (Table S3.1, Table S3.3). These three *ferritin* genes, although down-regulated in day-13 compared to day-6 schistosomules, were still expressed at low level during day 13 when iron is required for growth and development. Other genes related to iron-sequestration were expressed at a similar level in the lung stage and day-13 stage, such as *putative ferric reductase* (Smp\_136400) and *DMT 1* (Smp\_099850.3). The putative ferric reductase is hypothesised to cleave iron from host transferrin (glycoprotein iron carrier) before transporting into the parasite via a DMT (Glanfield *et al.*, 2007; Smyth *et al.*, 2006). This suggests that the lung stage may require additional iron storage for other purposes, possibly for sequestering iron away from immune cells.

#### Reactive oxygen species (ROS) and oxidative stress

Lung stage worms need to adapt to a unique environment where oxygen pressure is high and migration in tight capillaries damaging host cells can stimulate inflammation. Molecular O<sub>2</sub> can be reduced to ROS. In addition, ROS are involved in signalling of immune response and the neutralisation of ROS could be an immune evasion strategy of the parasites. Regulation of oxidative stress and ROS appeared to be up-regulated in lung stage. Two of *extracellular superoxide dismutase* were up-regulated in lung stages compared to day-13 stage (Smp\_095980 and Smp\_174810; 30 and 17 fold, respectively) (Table S3.1), which is consistent with an enriched GO term *ROS metabolic process*. Extracellular superoxide dismutase catalyse the change of ROS into molecular O<sub>2</sub> or into H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> which is less toxic than ROS (Afonso *et al.*, 2007). Further, the product of *antioxidant thioredoxin peroxidase* (Smp\_059480) has been previously characterised for its ability to neutralise H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Kwatia *et al.*, 2000) and was more than 16-fold up-regulated in the lung stage compared to day-13 parasites (Table S3.1).

#### Kunitz protease inhibitor

Relating to immune evasion, a *single kunitz serine protease inhibitor* (Smp\_147730) was up-regulated in lung stage by more than 25-fold (Table S3.1). Kunitz protease inhibitors have previously been shown to have anticoagulation and anti-inflammation properties by inhibiting FXa and kallikrein which are involved in coagulation cascade (Ranasinghe *et al.*, 2015). Its up-regulation in the lung stage could function to mediate inflammation and prevent coagulation which could result from schistosomules impeding blood flow and damaging the endothelial walls of blood vessels during migration.

#### 3.3.5.3 Expression of lung-stage anti-inflammatory genes

Some genes that could be involved in interactions with host immune defence were upregulated in lung stage compared to day 13. When considered over the timecourse, they were down-regulated during the liver stage (day 13 to day 21) and then upregulated again at day 28. The gene *extracellular superoxide dismutase* (Cu Zn) (Smp\_174810), *thioredoxin peroxidase* (Smp\_059480), and *single kunitz protease inhibitor* (Smp\_147730) fell into this expression pattern. Considering the nature of these genes and the expression pattern they shared, genes with similar expression pattern were explored further. Using results from the clustering done previously (section 3.3.4.2), both genes were grouped in cluster 72. With the potential roles in host immune evasion of the two genes, other genes in cluster 72 were investigated further.

#### Cluster with anti-inflammatory genes

Cluster 72 contains 10 genes (Table 3.5). In addition to three genes mentioned previously for host immune evasion, expression of *arginase* (Smp\_059980) was hypothesised to be counteracting host immune response by depleting l-arginine from blood, thereby preventing l-arginine being used by macrophage in the production of nitric oxide (Fitzpatrick et al., 2009b; Hai et al., 2014; Ranasinghe et al., 2015a). A schistosome homologue of *early growth response protein* (EGR, Smp\_134870) contains all of the expected domains – *Zinc finger, C2H2-like* (IPR015880), *Zinc finger C2H2-type/integrase DNA-binding domain* (IPR013087), and *Zinc finger, C2H2* (IPR007087) – and may therefore interfere with the endogenous function of host EGR-1 which is involved in expression of IL-2, IL-4, and TNF- $\alpha$  (Decker *et al.*,

2003; Lohoff *et al.*, 2010). Other genes in cluster 72 are not as noticable for their roles in host immune evasion.

Gene identifier	Product name
Smp_059480	thioredoxin peroxidase
Smp_059980	arginase
Smp_074570	hypothetical protein
Smp_114660	hypothetical protein
Smp_134870	early growth response protein
Smp_147730	single kunitz protease inhibitor; serine type protease inhibitor
Smp_156510	PDZ and LIM domain protein 7
Smp_166920	PDZ and LIM domain protein Zasp
Smp_174810	Extracellular superoxide dismutase (Cu Zn)
Smp_182770	hypothetical protein

Table 3.5 Genes in cluster 72

#### Hypothetical genes in the cluster

Given five out of 10 genes in this cluster have potential roles in interactions with host immune responses, the three that encode hypothetical proteins (Smp\_074570, Smp\_114660, Smp\_182770) were investigated further. Peptides from Smp\_074570 are abundant (top 10) in extracellular vesicles produced by *S. mansoni* schistosomules (Nowacki *et al.*, 2015). No further published information was found for the other two hypothetical proteins and both contained no known signature domains. I-TASSER protein-structure prediction software was used to align putative structures against known structures in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) (Berman *et al.*, 2000). No match was found for Smp\_074570 and Smp\_114660 but, surprisingly, Smp\_182770 produced a single high confidence match (TM-score > 0.9) to human complement factor H (CFH) (PDB:3GAW; Okemefuna *et al.*, 2009) (Figure 3.11).

Α

Predicted structure of Smp\_182770

. . . .

В

PDB structure of human CHF in solution



#### Figure 3.11 Predicted structure of Smp\_182770 aligned with structure of human CFH

A) Predicted 3D structure of Smp\_182770 by I-TASSER server from the input amino acid sequence. B) 3D structure of human CFH obtained from PDB (PDB identifier: 3GAW). C) Domain component of human CHF identified by multiple databases through InterProScan. SCR, short consensus repeat; CCP, complement control protein. Both SCR and CCP are alternative name of the sushi domain.

#### Putative CFH

CFH is a regulator of the complement cascade and is normally found on the surface of human cells. CFH is a cofactor of complement factor I (CFI) and together, they prevent complement attack on self-cells. While human CFH (amino acid sequence from 3GAW entry, PDB) contains *sushi/ccp* domain repeats that are involved in regulating complement cascade (ccp = component control protein), Smp\_182770 does not (Figure 3.11). The gene does however encode a pattern of tandem repeats somewhat similar to those expected from mammalian CFH genes. Given the nature of other genes in this cluster and the structural match to human CFH, the *S. mansoni* hypothetical protein might mimic the function of host CFH and inhibit activation of

complement cascade, preventing membrane attack complex from forming and damaging the parasite surface. In addition, amongst other helminths, homologues of the Smp\_182770 are present in other *Schistosoma* species and liver flukes (*Fasciola*, *Echinostoma*, *Opisthorchis*, and *Clonorchis*) (Figure 3.12A). Smp\_182770 has a paralogue (Smp\_038730) that is immediately adjacent to it on the genome and RNA-seq mapping suggest that the two genes might in fact be part of the same gene (Figure 3.12B). The paralogue Smp\_038730 is in cluster 24, which is part of neighbour branches of cluster 72 and contains genes with high expression in the lung stage (Figure 3.5). Two of the ferritin, previously discussed for possible roles in scavenging iron in lung to prevent it from being used by host immune system and prevent production of ROS, are also in cluster 24. Potentially, more genes in the neighbouring cluster could be explored individually for possible roles in parasite survival and evasion of host immune responses. Furthermore, the nature of genes with this expression profile suggests that defence against the immune system is very important in the lung stage.



Smp\_182770 Smp\_038730

## Figure 3.12 Homologous relationship of Smp\_182770 and alignment of RNA-seq reads to genomic locations

A) Homologues of Smp\_182770. The information was obtained from WormBase ParaSite release 8 (Howe *et al.*, 2016) and the tree was regenerated for clarity. Gene identifiers from the WormBase ParaSite are shown in the parentheses after the species names. B) Genomic region where Smp\_182770 is located and mapping of RNA-seq reads from multiple RNA-seq libraries (two top rows), showing the location adjacent to its paralogue Smp\_038730.

#### 3.3.5.4 Lung stage conclusion

In summary, lung-stage gene expression is dominated by an up-regulation of multiple signalling processes – including those involved in developmental control, cell differentiation and neuropeptide signalling - and likely reflects the onset of development and motility control in schistosomules in the lung capillary network. Multiple *MEGs* were up-regulated specifically in the lung stage. However, MEG function is unclear despite host-parasite interaction roles having been postulated (DeMarco et al., 2010; Wilson, 2012). Immune modulation and evasion might be increased for the lung stage as reflected in up-regulation of iron homeostasis, oxidative stress-related genes, and anti-inflammatory genes. Further investigation into immune-related genes led to identification of putative regulator of complement factor, CFH. A set of genes with immune-related function appeared to be down-regulated in liver stages compared to the lung stage. Although they were up-regulated again in adult stages, the expression in lung stage was highly up-regulated, reflecting resistance of the lung stage to immune-mediated cytotoxic killing (Bentley et al., 1981; Crabtree and Wilson, 1986b; Mastin et al., 1985; McLaren and Terry, 1982). The lower expression level compared to the lung stage could imply that additional mechanisms may be in place and organs or cell types that only exist in adult stages may be involved.

## 3.3.6 Liver stages

#### 3.3.6.1 Rationale

After the worms leave the lung, they enter the circulation through the cardiac output and can be found in various tissues (Bloch, 1980; Crabtree and Wilson, 1980; Wheater and Wilson, 1979). Schistosomules can be observed in the liver from day 14 post-infection and the number of schistosomules found in the liver increases until day 21, during which time the parasites develop into adult form (Clegg, 1965). Clusters of genes with prominent expression during the liver stages were investigated to seek insight into signals of liver localisation/tropism and interaction with the liver environment. Two approaches were used for the investigation: i) genes that were expressed mainly during liver stages (clusters with high expression between day 13 to day 21) and ii) changes in gene expression as the parasites enter and leave the liver (pairwise comparison between day 13 and lung stage, and pairwise comparison

between day 21 and day 28). Due to the developmental heterogeneity between days 13 and 21, pairwise comparisons would be prone to noises and were not examined.

## 3.3.6.2 Liver stage cluster

Selecting clusters with expression mainly in liver stages, cluster 17 was chosen (Figure 3.6) with its six neighbouring clusters (Figure 3.13A), covering 356 genes (Table S3.4). Analysis of enriched GO terms amongst these genes suggested that many had a role in growth and cell division, with the top five GO terms related to cell replication and regulation of related processes (Figure 3.13B). The term *neuropeptide signalling pathway* was also enriched and contained genes *lipoprotein receptor* (Smp\_099670) and *neuropeptide Y prohormone 1* (Smp\_159950) (Table S3.5). In the free-living flatworm *S. mediteranea*, neuropeptide Y is involved in developmental control (Collins *et al.*, 2010), thus the schistosome homologue may be involved in control of development during liver stages.



## Figure 3.13 Clusters of genes with high expression in liver stages and GO term enrichment

A) Genes with high expression in the liver stages were selected for functional analysis.
Cluster 17 from Figure 3.6 was selected as the best cluster fitting this pattern.
Neighbouring clusters to the cluster 17 according to the dendrogram (Figure 3.5) are cluster 1,4,9,10,25, and 26. Genes in the clusters were pooled for functional analysis using GO term enrichment. B) Bar chart shows enriched GO terms (biological processes) of the genes with high expression in the liver stages, ranked by p-values obtained from topGO package.

#### 3.3.6.3 Entering the liver

#### Growth, developmental control, cellular respiration

Similarly, among 686 genes up-regulated in early liver stage compared to lung stage (Figure 3.8, Table S3.2), the most striking effect were up-regulation of mitotic cell division and its associated processes such as translation, post-translational modification, and transcriptional regulation (Figure 3.14). A top up-regulated gene *Rootletin* (Smp\_147890), with possible role in mitosis (Bahe *et al.*, 2005), was up-

regulated over 12-fold (Table 3.6). This is expected for the developmental period in the liver and consistent with the report that mitosis start in the liver stage once the gut has formed (Clegg, 1965; Lawson and Wilson, 1980). Homologues of genes with potential involvement in developmental control were also up-regulated; for example, glial cells missing gene (Smp\_171130), prospero homeobox protein 2 (Smp\_045470), and IQ domain containing protein D (Smp\_161310). The major tegument components tegumental-allergen-like protein 3 (TAL-3; Smp\_086530) and 25 kDa integral membrane protein (Smp\_154180) were up-regulated by more than 16 fold (Table 3.6). Similarly, synthesis of cholesterol – another major part of the tegument – was up-regulated, including two key enzymes in supplying the chemical backbone for sterol synthesis: farnesyl pyrophosphate synthase (Smp\_070710), and HMG-CoA synthase (Smp\_198690) (Table S3.2, Table S3.3). Consistent with rapid growth, genes related to cellular respiration were also up-regulated, such as genes encoding components of the Krebs cycle (or Citric acid cycle): malate dehydrogenase (Smp\_129610) and *isocitrate dehydrogenase* (Smp\_163050); and genes encoding components of cellular respiration: cytochrome b c1 complex subunit 6 (Smp\_059930), ubiquinol cytochrome c reductase (Smp\_061870) (Table S3.2, Table S3.3).

Gene identifier	Log <sub>2</sub> FC	Adjusted	Product name
	(day 13/day 6)	p-value	ulatad in day 12
	1 op 20 g	enes up-regu	nated in day 15
Smp_123200	7.35	1.17E-24	hypothetical protein (now as MEG-32.2)
Smp_154180	5.59	9.20E-13	25 kDa integral membrane protein
Smp_113760	5.21	7.69E-14	anti-inflammatory protein 16
Smp_087310	5.08	2.03E-11	hypothetical protein
Smp_060220	5.05	1.01E-10	lipopolysaccharide induced tumor necrosis
Smp_171130	4.9	2.19E-09	glial cells missing
Smp_158480	4.78	1.84E-17	AMP dependent ligase
Smp_045470	4.74	7.99E-16	prospero homeobox protein 2
Smp_127270	4.51	1.11E-08	Cytochrome b561:ferric reductase
Smp_161310	4.5	8.60E-11	IQ domain containing protein D
Smp_162370	4.48	1.42E-08	histone H1 gamma
Smp_086530	4.34	1.80E-79	tegument-allergen-like protein
Smp_123790	3.95	9.90E-07	glypican
Smp_011030	3.84	3.66E-08	heme binding protein 2
Smp_099670	3.82	1.02E-06	lipoprotein receptor
Smp_162860	3.69	5.95E-23	hypothetical protein
Smp_147890	3.61	3.57E-11	Rootletin
Smp_125250	3.59	9.97E-23	hypothetical protein
Smp_145760	3.57	2.89E-05	transmembrane protein 62
Smp_200900	3.48	2.15E-05	hypothetical protein

 Table 3.6 Top 20 genes up-regulated in day-13 compared to day-6 schistosomules



# Figure 3.14 GO enrichment of genes up-regulated in day-13 compared to day-6 schistosomules

Bar chart shows enriched GO terms (biological processes) of genes up-regulated in day-13 compared to day-6 schistosomules, ranked by p-values obtained from topGO package.

## Aldehyde metabolism

Two *aldehyde dehydrogenase* genes were up-regulated at day 13 compared to lung stage (Smp\_022960 (2 fold), Smp\_050390 (4 fold) (Table S3.2). The liver is a major site of aldehyde detoxification by oxidation to supply acetate for energy metabolism (Cederbaum, 2012). Aldehyde may therefore be taken up from the host, oxidised to acetate and acetyl-coA by the parasite's aldehyde dehydrogenase, supplying parasite acetyl-coA for use in the Krebs cycle, which is also upregulated (Table S3.2, Table S3.3).

#### Host-parasite interactions

Regarding host-parasite interactions, three genes were among the top up-regulated genes at day 13. *MEG-32.2* (Smp\_123200) was up-regulated 157-fold; anti-inflammatory protein Sm16 (Smp\_113760) (Rao and Ramaswamy, 2000) and *LPS-induced tumor necrosis factor alpha* homologue (Smp\_060220) were up-regulated by over 32 fold (

Table 3.6). All three genes were also expressed at similar levels in day 17 and day 21. *MEG-32.2* was previously identified to be more highly expressed in the head part of adult *S. mansoni* but no function has yet been identified (Wilson *et al.*, 2015).

It is intriguing that Sm16 was up-regulated in day-13 worms and in the liver stages but barely expressed in lung stage, because this suggests that lung-stage and liverstage parasites employ different strategies for immune evasion. Up-regulation of the *LPS-induced tumor necrosis factor alpha* homologue is interesting because this is a proinflammatory cytokine in human (Ploder *et al.*, 2006), while the parasites would be expected to inhibit inflammation. The *S. mansoni* gene contains relevant domain (*LPS-induced tumour necrosis factor alpha factor* (IPR006629)) which is normally associated with responses to LPS from bacteria. Its up-regulation in the liver stage might have roles in interacting with CD4+ cells which promote growth of *S. mansoni* (Riner et al., 2013).

#### 3.3.6.4 Leaving the liver

Genes up-regulated in late liver stage (day-21) parasites compared to pre-pairing adult stage (day-28) are involved in cell division, differentiation, developmental regulation (Table 3.7, Table S3.6). This is expected because, by day 28, the parasites have already morphed into adult stages, and their major tissues established. Though there is further development upon pairing, this is clearly minimal compared to the development during liver period.

GO identifier	GO description	p-value
GO:0007067	mitotic nuclear division	0.0002
GO:0008285	negative regulation of cell proliferation	0.0006
GO:0030154	cell differentiation	0.0011
GO:0007223	Wnt signaling pathway; calcium modulating pathway	0.0011
GO:0030245	cellulose catabolic process	0.0011
GO:0006281	DNA repair	0.0020
GO:0007076	mitotic chromosome condensation	0.0030
GO:0051276	chromosome organization	0.0043
GO:0007530	sex determination	0.0046
GO:0006259	DNA metabolic process	0.0046
GO:0006260	DNA replication	0.0097
GO:0007018	microtubule-based movement	0.0102
GO:0006941	striated muscle contraction	0.0111
GO:0000075	cell cycle checkpoint	0.0146
GO:0006268	DNA unwinding involved in DNA replication	0.0164
GO:0040007	growth	0.0211
GO:0006695	cholesterol biosynthetic process	0.0214
GO:0007126	meiotic nuclear division	0.0378
GO:0016055	Wnt signaling pathway	0.0420

Table 3.7 Enriched GO terms (biological process) of genes up-regulated in day-21 compared to day-28 worms

#### 3.3.6.5 Liver stage conclusion

Overall, the liver stage transcriptome represented a period of growth coupled with control of development and increase in energy requirement. Further, biosynthesis of cholesterol and expression of genes encoding tegumental proteins reflect the increasing tegument surface. Although host-parasite interactions did not seem to be a major feature of the transcriptome, three potent genes involved in host-parasite interactions were up-regulated in liver stages compared to lung stage, with one potentially stimulating inflammation.

## 3.3.7 Adult stages

#### 3.3.7.1 Becoming adults

#### Egg shell proteins, metabolic requirements, and biosynthesis processes

As the parasites developed into adults (pairwise comparison between days 28 and 21), an expected massive up-regulation was seen in the expression of genes involved in egg production such as *tyrosinase* (Smp\_050270, Smp\_013540), *eggshell protein* (Smp\_000430), and *Trematode Eggshell Synthesis domain containing protein* (Smp\_077890) (Table 3.8, Table S3.7). In addition, metabolic processes and biosynthesis processes were up-regulated at day 28 (Table S3.8). Some of these may reflect production of compounds for egg production (such as organic hydroxy compound biosynthetic process, mainly *tyrosinase* genes), while some may reflect increased nutrient and energy requirements, and scavenging of host-derived substrate by the parasites. Enriched GO terms related to this include *carbohydrate transport* (including three genes encoding glucose transporters, Smp\_012440, Smp\_105410, Smp\_139150, and the function of the first two has been confirmed (Krautz-peterson et al., 2010), *biosynthesis processes, lipid metabolic process, glycerol metabolic process*, and *purine ribonucleoside salvage* (Table 3.9, Table S3.8).

Gene identifier	Log <sub>2</sub> FC	Adjusted	Product name
	(day 28/day 21)	p-value	
	Top 20 g	enes up-reg	ulated in day 28
Smp_050270	12.53	4.47E-23	Tyrosinase
Smp_000270	10.47	2.92E-16	hypothetical protein
Smp_138570	10.04	2.07E-41	spore germination protein
Smp_095980	9.72	1.46E-30	Extracellular superoxide dismutase (Cu Zn)
Smp_000430	9.36	2.86E-41	eggshell protein
Smp_191910	9.33	1.93E-28	Stress protein DDR48
Smp_077890	9.31	1.08E-17	Trematode Eggshell Synthesis domain containing protein
Smp_131110	9.22	3.63E-35	hypothetical protein
Smp_077920	9.20	2.14E-16	hypothetical protein
Smp_152150	9.16	8.02E-13	hypothetical protein
Smp_014610	8.99	1.18E-52	serine:threonine kinase 1
Smp_033250	8.99	7.77E-39	hypothetical protein
Smp_000420	8.71	1.74E-34	Pro His rich protein
Smp_165360	8.65	1.13E-30	histone acetyltransferase myst4
Smp_000280	8.64	4.27E-40	hypothetical protein
Smp_000390	8.47	2.26E-27	hypothetical protein
Smp_155310	8.39	3.90E-32	tetraspanin CD63 receptor
Smp_144440	8.32	1.75E-22	replication A protein
Smp_130970	8.12	3.55E-10	G2:mitotic specific cyclin B3
Smp_000400	7.99	1.85E-12	hypothetical protein

Table 3.8 Top 20 genes up-regulated in day-28 compared to day-21 worms

GO	GO description	p-value
identifier		0.0007
GO:0007155	cell adhesion	0.0006
GO:0018958	phenol-containing compound metabolic process	0.0011
GO:0006875	cellular metal ion homeostasis	0.0015
GO:0008643	carbohydrate transport	0.0030
GO:1901617	organic hydroxy compound biosynthetic process	0.0078
GO:0019438	aromatic compound biosynthetic process	0.0119
GO:1901362	organic cyclic compound biosynthetic process	0.0120
GO:0009058	biosynthetic process	0.0127
GO:0009698	phenylpropanoid metabolic process	0.0143
GO:0007586	digestion	0.0143
GO:0055114	oxidation-reduction process	0.0171
GO:0006071	glycerol metabolic process	0.0209
GO:0006629	lipid metabolic process	0.0210
GO:0072593	reactive oxygen species metabolic process	0.0284
GO:0006836	neurotransmitter transport	0.0320
GO:0015711	organic anion transport	0.0346
GO:0006879	cellular iron ion homeostasis	0.0370
GO:0006166	purine ribonucleoside salvage	0.0463

Table 3.9 Enriched GO terms (biological process) of genes up-regulated in day-28compared to day-21 worms

**3.3.7.2** Becoming reproductively mature and migration toward mesenteric veins As a reproductively mature stage, day-35 *S. mansoni* are known to be egg-laying and should reside in the mesenteric vein (Clegg, 1965). Liver pathology observed while collecting worms from infected mice in this thesis is consistent with this notion. In contrast, some day-28 parasites were a mix of unpaired worms and parasites from this time point would not have started laying eggs (Clegg, 1965). A study by Zanotti *et al* (1982), in which *S. mansoni* were recovered from experimentally infected mice, showed an increasing number of paired worms in mesenteric vein from days 28 to 35 (Zanotti *et al.*, 1982).

Pairwise comparisons yielded 72 genes up-regulated at day 35 and 113 genes upregulated at day 28 (Figure 3.8, Table S3.9, Table S3.10). The differences between the two time points appeared to be an increase in egg production-related genes at day 35, and a decrease of signalling and developmental control (Figure 3.15, Table S3.11). In addition, up-regulation of *cathepsin B, D*, and *L* (approximately 2.5-fold) at day 35, reflects increase in blood feeding and the requirement for iron and amino acids in egg production. The genes down-regulated at day 35 can be related to GPCR signalling, transcriptional regulation, and developmental control (Figure 3.16, Table S3.11). By day 35, *S. mansoni* should be fully mature. However, between day 28 and 35 the parasite is still maturing, particularly with the female developing large vitellaria, and this may explain the changes in the development-related genes. The genes involved in GPCR signalling, with higher expression at day 28 than 35, may be relevant for host-parasite interactions because the time between days 28 and 35 coincides with the parasites' migration from the portal to the mesenteric vein (Clegg, 1965; Zanotti et al., 1982). With this, the GPCR up-regulated in day-28 compared to day-35 worms were investigated further.



*Figure 3.15 GO enrichment of genes up-regulated in day-35 compared to day-28 worms* Bar chart shows enriched GO terms (biological processes) of genes up-regulated in day-35 compared to day-28 worms, ranked by p-values from topGO package.



*Figure 3.16 GO enrichment of genes up-regulated in day-28 compared to day-35 worms* Bar chart shows enriched GO terms (biological processes) of genes up-regulated in day-28 compared to day-35 worms, ranked by p-values from topGO package.

### 3.3.7.3 GPCR up-regulated in immature adults

Six GPCRs were up-regulated in day-28 worms and each were up-regulated by ~2 fold (Table S3.9). GPCR signalling, however, could be involved in many aspects, particularly neuropeptide signalling which could regulate locomotion, reproduction, to germline development (Collins *et al.*, 2010; Liang *et al.*, 2016; McVeigh *et al.*, 2005). Apart from the postulated migration, key differences between day-28 and day-35 parasites are egg-laying and male–female interaction which could also be reflected in signalling. However, the expression of these six GPCRs over the full timecourse shows that they each were highly expressed in the lung stage (Figure 3.17) and therefore are unlikely to function solely in signalling during reproduction. Another piece of evidence that supports this notion is demonstrated in section 3.3.7.4 that will follow.



Figure 3.17 Expression profile of GPCRs up-regulated in day-28 compared to day-35 worms

Six genes annotated as encoding GPCRs were up-regulated in day-28 compared to day-35 worms. Each plot shows their expression over the whole *in vivo* timecourse. X-axes denote time points; y-axes indicate normalised read counts. Each dot represent the expression level from one biological replicate.

#### Metabotropic glutamate receptor 3

Of these six GPCRs, only *metabotropic glutamate receptor 3* (Smp\_052660) has been characterised in *S. mansoni* (Taman and Ribeiro, 2011). The protein is located in the tegument membrane and in the dorsal tubercles of adult males, and receptor binding to glutamate has been confirmed (Taman and Ribeiro, 2011). The automatically generated phylogenetic tree for Smp\_052660 that is available from WormBase ParaSite (Howe *et al.*, 2016a, 2016b) shows that homologues of this gene are hugely expanded across a wide range of metazoan species from mammals to placozoa - the simplest form of invertebrate (Appendix E). Homologues in mammals and zebrafish include calcium sensing, olfactory, gustatory and pheromone receptors (Appendix E). A paralogue in *S. mansoni*, Smp\_150370, followed a similar expression pattern to Smp\_052660 but fell below the log<sub>2</sub>FC threshold that I have used to define differentially expressed genes.

#### Putative octopamine receptor

*Octopamine receptor* (Smp\_150180), similarly, has paralogously expanded in a wide ranges of species (Appendix E). However, unlike the metabotropic glutamate receptor 3 (Smp\_052660), homologues are not identified in earlier branching metazoa. This gene has not been characterised in *S. mansoni* nor tested for its putative octopamine ligand. However, its homologues include dopamine receptors, and serotonin (5-HT) receptors; similar group of molecules to octopamine.

#### Other GPCRs

The other four GPCRs are not as extensively expanded across species (Appendix E) and have not been previously characterised in *S. mansoni*. The first is a putative *Neuropeptide receptor A* (Smp\_173010) with homologues in *Drosophila*, molluscs (*Crassostrea gigas*), and all main groups of worms (roundworm, flukes, tapeworm, annelids). The second, *Fmrfamide receptor* (Smp\_008850), has homologues in both free-living and parasitic nematodes and platyhelminths, annelids and molluscs. Fmrfamide has been shown to stimulate muscle contraction in *S. mansoni* (Day *et al.*, 1994, 1997). However, the exact ligand for this receptor needs to be determined. The third, a gene *G protein coupled receptor fragment* (Smp\_140250), is specific to platyhelminths and spans flukes, tapeworms and free living *S. mediterranea*. Lastly, *galanin receptor type 2* (Smp\_123350) is also largely platyhelminths-specific, but with 2 homologues in molluscs (*C. gigas*). The gene has homologues in trematodes and monogeneans, but not cestodes. In the free living fluke *S. mediterranea*, seven paralogues were found compared to only one in parasitic species.

#### **Postulation**

Given their homology to olfactory and gustatory receptors, I hypothesised that the GPCR *metabotropic glutamate receptor 3* (Smp\_052660) may be involved in sensing cues or chemical gradient from the mesenteric vein and used for guiding the migration. Further, *octopamine receptor* (Smp\_150180) is likely to have biogenic amines as ligand and such ligand is involved in neuromuscular function in *S. mansoni* (Ribeiro *et al.*, 2012). These two GPCRs were therefore investigated in more details.

### 3.3.7.4 Cluster of postulated GPCRs

## Signalling-related genes

Both GPCRs were in cluster 40, which consist of 59 genes (Table 3.10; Figure 3.6). Using the gene clustering data, I investigated other genes with similar expression profiles over the whole timecourse to the two GPCRs. Additional GPCRs were in the clusters but were not identified previously amongst genes up-regulated at day 28 due to the log<sub>2</sub>FC cut-off. Many other genes in the cluster may be related to neuropeptide receptor and processing of signalling – such as those annotated as GPCR regulatory protein, cAMP, kinase, and ion channel – and provide further evidence of signalling processes that may be related to host-pathogen interactions.

Gene identifier	Product name
Smp_000350	hypothetical protein
Smp_000755	family M13 non peptidase ue (M13 family)
Smp_001000	universal stress protein
Smp_015630	Glutamate-gated chloride channel subunit 2 isoform 1
Smp_016230	protocadherin 17
Smp_025030	dual specificity protein kinase
Smp_033000	calcium-binding protein
Smp_033010	16 kda calcium binding protein
Smp_034610	innexin
Smp_041700	G protein coupled receptor fragment
Smp_052660	metabotropic glutamate receptor 3
Smp_054170	hypothetical protein
Smp_057530	subfamily S9B unassigned peptidase (S09 family)
Smp_059570	hypothetical protein
Smp_068500	hypothetical protein
Smp_076030	tob protein; protein tob btg
Smp_078230	cGMP dependent protein kinase
Smp_119260	hypothetical protein
Smp_122870	regulator of G protein signaling
Smp_122880	hypothetical protein
Smp_124520	hypothetical protein
Smp_126500	tensin C1 domain containing phosphatase
Smp_126760	Proteasome activator complex subunit 4
Smp_127420	5' AMP activated protein kinase subunit gamma
Smp_136030	anion exchange protein
Smp_141070	glutamate receptor interacting protein 1
Smp_141980	cAMP specific 3'

#### Table 3.10 Genes in cluster 40

Smp_142290hyperpolarization activated cyclicSmp_142820hypothetical proteinSmp_143710gamma aminobutyric acid receptor subunitSmp_144290hypothetical proteinSmp_144310potassium voltage gated channel subfamily KQTSmp_14450hypothetical proteinSmp_144650hypothetical proteinSmp_146750sodium:hydrogen exchanger 2 (nhe2)Smp_147800Lmp3 proteinSmp_148490kazrinSmp_148500hypothetical protein oupled receptor B0563Smp_148870hypothetical protein coupled receptor B0563Smp_149170Probable G protein coupled receptor B0563Smp_150180octopamine receptor oambSmp_15020protein tyrosine phosphataseSmp_150300MAP kinase activating death domainSmp_151600neuronal calcium sensor 2Smp_15240kinesin family ASmp_161510hypothetical proteinSmp_161510hypothetical proteinSmp_163750otoferlinSmp_163750otoferlinSmp_164010serine:threonine protein phosphatase 6Smp_177020PhosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)Smp_178900hypothetical proteinSmp_178900hypothetical proteinSmp_178900hypothetical proteinSmp_178900hypothetical proteinSmp_178000hypothetical proteinSmp_178000hypothetical proteinSmp_178000hypothetical proteinSmp_178000hypothetical proteinSmp_178000hypothetical protein <th>Smp_142280</th> <th>hyperpolarization activated cyclic</th>	Smp_142280	hyperpolarization activated cyclic
Smp_142820hypothetical proteinSmp_143710gamma aminobutyric acid receptor subunitSmp_144290hypothetical proteinSmp_144310potassium voltage gated channel subfamily KQTSmp_144650hypothetical proteinSmp_146750sodium:hydrogen exchanger 2 (nhe2)Smp_147800Lmp3 proteinSmp_148490kazrinSmp_148500hypothetical proteinSmp_148870hypothetical proteinSmp_148870hypothetical proteinSmp_148870hypothetical protein coupled receptor B0563Smp_149170Probable G protein coupled receptor B0563Smp_150180octopamine receptor oambSmp_15020protein tyrosine phosphataseSmp_150300MAP kinase activating death domainSmp_151600neuronal calcium sensor 2Smp_151600neuronal calcium sensorSmp_159790kinesin family ASmp_161510hypothetical proteinSmp_161510hypothetical proteinSmp_164010serine:threonine protein phosphatase 6Smp_177020PhosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)Smp_178090hypothetical proteinSmp_177020PhosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)Smp_188900hypothetical proteinSmp_198900hypothetical proteinSmp_17020PhosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)Smp_188900hypothetical proteinSmp_198900hypothetical proteinSmp_198900hypothetical proteinSmp_198900hypothetical proteinSmp_198900 <th>Smp_142290</th> <th>hyperpolarization activated cyclic</th>	Smp_142290	hyperpolarization activated cyclic
Smp_143710gamma aminobutyric acid receptor subunitSmp_144290hypothetical proteinSmp_144310potassium voltage gated channel subfamily KQTSmp_144650hypothetical proteinSmp_146750sodium:hydrogen exchanger 2 (nhe2)Smp_147800Lmp3 proteinSmp_148490kazrinSmp_148500hypothetical proteinSmp_14870hypothetical proteinSmp_148870hypothetical proteinSmp_149170Probable G protein coupled receptor B0563Smp_149280kinase D interacting substrate of 220 kDaSmp_150180octopamine receptor oambSmp_15020protein tyrosine phosphataseSmp_151600neuronal calcium sensor 2Smp_15240tubulin tyrosine ligase familySmp_155240tubulin tyrosine ligase familySmp_161510hypothetical proteinSmp_161510hypothetical proteinSmp_164510serine:threonine protein phosphatase 6Smp_167700hypothetical proteinSmp_177020PhosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)Smp_177020hypothetical proteinSmp_17890hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_1310soluble guanylate cyclase gcy	Smp_142820	hypothetical protein
Smp_144290hypothetical proteinSmp_144310potassium voltage gated channel subfamily KQTSmp_144650hypothetical proteinSmp_146750sodium:hydrogen exchanger 2 (nhe2)Smp_147800Lmp3 proteinSmp_148490kazrinSmp_148500hypothetical proteinSmp_148500hypothetical proteinSmp_148870hypothetical proteinSmp_148870hypothetical protein coupled receptor B0563Smp_149170Probable G protein coupled receptor B0563Smp_150180octopamine receptor oambSmp_150200protein tyrosine phosphataseSmp_150300MAP kinase activating death domainSmp_151600neuronal calcium sensor 2Smp_15240tubulin tyrosine ligase familySmp_15790kinesin family ASmp_161510hypothetical proteinSmp_164010serine:threonine protein phosphatase 6Smp_177020PhosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)Smp_177020PhosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)Smp_187900hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_121310soluble guanylate cyclase gcy	Smp_143710	gamma aminobutyric acid receptor subunit
Smp_144310potassium voltage gated channel subfamily KQTSmp_144650hypothetical proteinSmp_146750sodium:hydrogen exchanger 2 (nhe2)Smp_147800Lmp3 proteinSmp_148490kazrinSmp_148490hypothetical proteinSmp_148500hypothetical proteinSmp_14870hypothetical proteinSmp_14870hypothetical proteinSmp_14870probable G protein coupled receptor B0563Smp_149170Probable G protein coupled receptor B0563Smp_150180octopamine receptor oambSmp_15020protein tyrosine phosphataseSmp_150300MAP kinase activating death domainSmp_151600neuronal calcium sensor 2Smp_15240tubulin tyrosine ligase familySmp_158990neuronal calcium sensorSmp_161510hypothetical proteinSmp_161510hypothetical proteinSmp_161510hypothetical proteinSmp_167700hypothetical proteinSmp_177020PhosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)Smp_187990hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_121310soluble guanylate cyclase gcy	Smp_144290	hypothetical protein
Smp_144650hypothetical proteinSmp_146750sodium:hydrogen exchanger 2 (nhe2)Smp_147800Lmp3 proteinSmp_148490kazrinSmp_148500hypothetical proteinSmp_148870hypothetical proteinSmp_148870hypothetical proteinSmp_149170Probable G protein coupled receptor B0563Smp_149170Probable G protein coupled receptor B0563Smp_149280kinase D interacting substrate of 220 kDaSmp_150180octopamine receptor oambSmp_150220protein tyrosine phosphataseSmp_150300MAP kinase activating death domainSmp_151600neuronal calcium sensor 2Smp_155240tubulin tyrosine ligase familySmp_158990neuronal calcium sensorSmp_161450small conductance calcium activated potassiumSmp_161510hypothetical proteinSmp_163750otoferlinSmp_167700hypothetical proteinSmp_177020PhosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)Smp_187990hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_11310soluble guanylate cyclase gcy	Smp_144310	potassium voltage gated channel subfamily KQT
Smp_146750sodium:hydrogen exchanger 2 (nhe2)Smp_147800Lmp3 proteinSmp_148490kazrinSmp_148500hypothetical proteinSmp_148870hypothetical proteinSmp_148870hypothetical protein coupled receptor B0563Smp_149170Probable G protein coupled receptor B0563Smp_149280kinase D interacting substrate of 220 kDaSmp_150180octopamine receptor oambSmp_15020protein tyrosine phosphataseSmp_150300MAP kinase activating death domainSmp_151600neuronal calcium sensor 2Smp_155240tubulin tyrosine ligase familySmp_158990neuronal calcium sensorSmp_161450small conductance calcium activated potassiumSmp_161510hypothetical proteinSmp_163750otoferlinSmp_164010serine:threonine protein phosphatase 6Smp_177020PhosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)Smp_187990hypothetical proteinSmp_177020hypothetical proteinSmp_187930hypothetical proteinSmp_187930hypothetical proteinSmp_187930hypothetical proteinSmp_187930hypothetical proteinSmp_177020hypothetical proteinSmp_187930hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_1371310soluble guanylate cyclase gcy	Smp_144650	hypothetical protein
Smp_147800Lmp3 proteinSmp_148490kazrinSmp_148500hypothetical proteinSmp_148870hypothetical proteinSmp_149170Probable G protein coupled receptor B0563Smp_149280kinase D interacting substrate of 220 kDaSmp_150180octopamine receptor oambSmp_150220protein tyrosine phosphataseSmp_150300MAP kinase activating death domainSmp_151600neuronal calcium sensor 2Smp_155240tubulin tyrosine ligase familySmp_158990neuronal calcium sensorSmp_161450small conductance calcium activated potassiumSmp_161450serine:threonine protein phosphatase 6Smp_163750otoferlinSmp_167700hypothetical proteinSmp_170780hypothetical proteinSmp_170780hypothetical proteinSmp_177020PhosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)Smp_187990hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_117020phosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)Smp_187101soluble guanylate cyclase gcy	Smp_146750	sodium:hydrogen exchanger 2 (nhe2)
Smp_148490kazrinSmp_148500hypothetical proteinSmp_148870hypothetical proteinSmp_149170Probable G protein coupled receptor B0563Smp_149280kinase D interacting substrate of 220 kDaSmp_150180octopamine receptor oambSmp_150220protein tyrosine phosphataseSmp_150300MAP kinase activating death domainSmp_151600neuronal calcium sensor 2Smp_155240tubulin tyrosine ligase familySmp_158990neuronal calcium sensorSmp_161450small conductance calcium activated potassiumSmp_161510hypothetical proteinSmp_163750otoferlinSmp_167700hypothetical proteinSmp_177720PhosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)Smp_187990hypothetical proteinSmp_177020hypothetical proteinSmp_177020hypothetical proteinSmp_187990hypothetical proteinSmp_187090hypothetical proteinSmp_117720hypothetical proteinSmp_127720hypothetical proteinSmp_137090hypothetical proteinSmp_137090hypothetical proteinSmp_137090hypothetical proteinSmp_1387090hypothetical proteinSmp_1387090hypothetical proteinSmp_1387090hypothetical proteinSmp_1387090hypothetical proteinSmp_1387090hypothetical proteinSmp_1387090hypothetical proteinSmp_1387090hypothetical proteinSmp_1387090hypothetical p	Smp_147800	Lmp3 protein
Smp_148500hypothetical proteinSmp_148870hypothetical proteinSmp_149170Probable G protein coupled receptor B0563Smp_149280kinase D interacting substrate of 220 kDaSmp_150180octopamine receptor oambSmp_150200protein tyrosine phosphataseSmp_150300MAP kinase activating death domainSmp_151600neuronal calcium sensor 2Smp_151800neuronal calcium sensor 2Smp_158900neuronal calcium sensorSmp_159790kinesin family ASmp_161450small conductance calcium activated potassiumSmp_163750otoferlinSmp_163750otoferlinSmp_164010serine:threonine protein phosphatase 6Smp_170700hypothetical proteinSmp_170780hypothetical proteinSmp_177020PhosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)Smp_187090hypothetical proteinSmp_187090hypothetical proteinSmp_1187090hypothetical proteinSmp_187090hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_204230hypothetical proteinSmp_211310soluble g	Smp_148490	kazrin
Smp_148870hypothetical proteinSmp_149170Probable G protein coupled receptor B0563Smp_149280kinase D interacting substrate of 220 kDaSmp_150180octopamine receptor oambSmp_15020protein tyrosine phosphataseSmp_150300MAP kinase activating death domainSmp_151600neuronal calcium sensor 2Smp_155240tubulin tyrosine ligase familySmp_158990neuronal calcium sensorSmp_161450small conductance calcium activated potassiumSmp_161510hypothetical proteinSmp_163750otoferlinSmp_164010serine:threonine protein phosphatase 6Smp_170780hypothetical proteinSmp_177020PhosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)Smp_187090hypothetical proteinSmp_187090hypothetical proteinSmp_1177020PhosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)Smp_187090hypothetical proteinSmp_1187090hypothetical proteinSmp_1188900hypothetical proteinSmp_1188900hypothetical proteinSmp_1188900hypothetical protein	Smp_148500	hypothetical protein
Smp_149170Probable G protein coupled receptor B0563Smp_149280kinase D interacting substrate of 220 kDaSmp_150180octopamine receptor oambSmp_15020protein tyrosine phosphataseSmp_150300MAP kinase activating death domainSmp_151600neuronal calcium sensor 2Smp_155240tubulin tyrosine ligase familySmp_158990neuronal calcium sensorSmp_158990neuronal calcium sensorSmp_161450small conductance calcium activated potassiumSmp_161510hypothetical proteinSmp_163750otoferlinSmp_164010serine:threonine protein phosphatase 6Smp_170780hypothetical proteinSmp_177020PhosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)Smp_187090hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_204230hypothetical proteinSmp_211310soluble guanylate cyclase gcy	Smp_148870	hypothetical protein
Smp_149280kinase D interacting substrate of 220 kDaSmp_150180octopamine receptor oambSmp_15020protein tyrosine phosphataseSmp_150300MAP kinase activating death domainSmp_15040neuronal calcium sensor 2Smp_155240tubulin tyrosine ligase familySmp_158990neuronal calcium sensorSmp_159790kinesin family ASmp_161450small conductance calcium activated potassiumSmp_163750otoferlinSmp_164010serine:threonine protein phosphatase 6Smp_170780hypothetical proteinSmp_170780hypothetical proteinSmp_177020PhosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)Smp_188900hypothetical proteinSmp_187090hypothetical proteinSmp_187090hypothetical proteinSmp_187090hypothetical proteinSmp_1187090hypothetical proteinSmp_1187090hypothetical proteinSmp_1187090hypothetical proteinSmp_1204230hypothetical proteinSmp_211310soluble guanylate cyclase gcy	Smp_149170	Probable G protein coupled receptor B0563
Smp_150180octopamine receptor oambSmp_150220protein tyrosine phosphataseSmp_150300MAP kinase activating death domainSmp_150300MAP kinase activating death domainSmp_151600neuronal calcium sensor 2Smp_155240tubulin tyrosine ligase familySmp_158990neuronal calcium sensorSmp_159790kinesin family ASmp_161450small conductance calcium activated potassiumSmp_161510hypothetical proteinSmp_163750otoferlinSmp_164010serine:threonine protein phosphatase 6Smp_170780hypothetical proteinSmp_170780hypothetical proteinSmp_177020PhosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)Smp_187090hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_204230hypothetical proteinSmp_211310soluble guanylate cyclase gcy	Smp_149280	kinase D interacting substrate of 220 kDa
Smp_150220protein tyrosine phosphataseSmp_150300MAP kinase activating death domainSmp_151600neuronal calcium sensor 2Smp_155240tubulin tyrosine ligase familySmp_158990neuronal calcium sensorSmp_158990neuronal calcium sensorSmp_159790kinesin family ASmp_161450small conductance calcium activated potassiumSmp_161510hypothetical proteinSmp_163750otoferlinSmp_164010serine:threonine protein phosphatase 6Smp_170700hypothetical proteinSmp_177702PhosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)Smp_187090hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_11310soluble guanylate cyclase gcy	Smp_150180	octopamine receptor oamb
Smp_150300MAP kinase activating death domainSmp_151600neuronal calcium sensor 2Smp_155240tubulin tyrosine ligase familySmp_158990neuronal calcium sensorSmp_158990neuronal calcium sensorSmp_159790kinesin family ASmp_161450small conductance calcium activated potassiumSmp_161510hypothetical proteinSmp_163750otoferlinSmp_164010serine:threonine protein phosphatase 6Smp_170700hypothetical proteinSmp_170780hypothetical proteinSmp_187090hypothetical proteinSmp_187090hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_204230hypothetical proteinSmp_211310soluble guanylate cyclase gcy	Smp_150220	protein tyrosine phosphatase
Smp_151600neuronal calcium sensor 2Smp_155240tubulin tyrosine ligase familySmp_158990neuronal calcium sensorSmp_159790kinesin family ASmp_161450small conductance calcium activated potassiumSmp_161510hypothetical proteinSmp_163750otoferlinSmp_164010serine:threonine protein phosphatase 6Smp_170780hypothetical proteinSmp_170780hypothetical proteinSmp_177020PhosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)Smp_187090hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_204230hypothetical proteinSmp_211310soluble guanylate cyclase gcy	Smp_150300	MAP kinase activating death domain
Smp_155240tubulin tyrosine ligase familySmp_158990neuronal calcium sensorSmp_159790kinesin family ASmp_161450small conductance calcium activated potassiumSmp_161510hypothetical proteinSmp_163750otoferlinSmp_164010serine:threonine protein phosphatase 6Smp_170780hypothetical proteinSmp_170780hypothetical proteinSmp_177020PhosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)Smp_187090hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_204230hypothetical proteinSmp_211310soluble guanylate cyclase gcy	Smp_151600	neuronal calcium sensor 2
Smp_158990neuronal calcium sensorSmp_159790kinesin family ASmp_161450small conductance calcium activated potassiumSmp_161510hypothetical proteinSmp_163750otoferlinSmp_164010serine:threonine protein phosphatase 6Smp_167700hypothetical proteinSmp_170780hypothetical proteinSmp_177020PhosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)Smp_187090hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_204230hypothetical proteinSmp_211310soluble guanylate cyclase gcy	Smp_155240	tubulin tyrosine ligase family
Smp_159790kinesin family ASmp_161450small conductance calcium activated potassiumSmp_161510hypothetical proteinSmp_163750otoferlinSmp_164010serine:threonine protein phosphatase 6Smp_167700hypothetical proteinSmp_170780hypothetical proteinSmp_177020PhosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)Smp_187090hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_204230hypothetical proteinSmp_211310soluble guanylate cyclase gcy	Smp_158990	neuronal calcium sensor
Smp_161450small conductance calcium activated potassiumSmp_161510hypothetical proteinSmp_163750otoferlinSmp_164010serine:threonine protein phosphatase 6Smp_167700hypothetical proteinSmp_170780hypothetical proteinSmp_177020PhosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)Smp_187090hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_204230hypothetical proteinSmp_211310soluble guanylate cyclase gcy	Smp_159790	kinesin family A
Smp_161510hypothetical proteinSmp_163750otoferlinSmp_164010serine:threonine protein phosphatase 6Smp_167700hypothetical proteinSmp_170780hypothetical proteinSmp_170700PhosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)Smp_187090hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_204230hypothetical proteinSmp_211310soluble guanylate cyclase gcy	Smp_161450	small conductance calcium activated potassium
Smp_163750otoferlinSmp_164010serine:threonine protein phosphatase 6Smp_167700hypothetical proteinSmp_170780hypothetical proteinSmp_177020PhosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)Smp_187090hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_204230hypothetical proteinSmp_211310soluble guanylate cyclase gcy	Smp_161510	hypothetical protein
Smp_164010serine:threonine protein phosphatase 6Smp_167700hypothetical proteinSmp_170780hypothetical proteinSmp_177020PhosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)Smp_187090hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_204230hypothetical proteinSmp_211310soluble guanylate cyclase gcy	Smp_163750	otoferlin
Smp_167700hypothetical proteinSmp_170780hypothetical proteinSmp_177020PhosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)Smp_187090hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_204230hypothetical proteinSmp_211310soluble guanylate cyclase gcy	Smp_164010	serine:threonine protein phosphatase 6
Smp_170780hypothetical proteinSmp_177020PhosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)Smp_187090hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_204230hypothetical proteinSmp_211310soluble guanylate cyclase gcy	Smp_167700	hypothetical protein
Smp_177020PhosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)Smp_187090hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_204230hypothetical proteinSmp_211310soluble guanylate cyclase gcy	Smp_170780	hypothetical protein
Smp_187090hypothetical proteinSmp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_204230hypothetical proteinSmp_211310soluble guanylate cyclase gcy	Smp_177020	PhosphoLipase C Like family member (pll 1)
Smp_198960hypothetical proteinSmp_204230hypothetical proteinSmp_211310soluble guanylate cyclase gcy	Smp_187090	hypothetical protein
Smp_204230hypothetical proteinSmp_211310soluble guanylate cyclase gcy	Smp_198960	hypothetical protein
Smp_211310soluble guanylate cyclase gcy	Smp_204230	hypothetical protein
	Smp_211310	soluble guanylate cyclase gcy

#### Potential functions of the signalling on reproduction

The evidence of differentially expressed signalling genes in cluster 40, between days 28 and 35, could alternatively be the result of changes upon pairing and egg-laying processes. Furthermore, although the genes were up-regulated in the lung stage, they may employ different functions as genes in signalling pathways often cross-talk. Consequently, involvement of these genes in reproductive signalling could not be ruled out simply because the genes were up-regulated in the lung stage. To determine

whether expression differences were indeed due to reproductive signalling, published data was used that compared the transcriptomes of worms and gonads from mixed and single-sex infections (Lu *et al.*, 2016).

#### Existing data on effect of pairing

In the previously published study (Lu *et al.*, 2016), 44 genes, out of the 59 in cluster 40, were affected by pairing. Mostly, they were up-regulated in single females compared to paired females (36 genes). However, half were not changed in ovary as a result of pairing while the other half were affected and can therefore be ruled out as likely reproductive signalling proteins (Figure 3.18). Of the GPCRs in the cluster, none were differentially expressed in ovary as a result of pairing but some are differentially expressed at whole-worm level: the *metabotropic glutamate receptor 3* (Smp\_052660), *octopamine receptor oamb* (Smp\_150180), and *gamma aminobutyric acid receptor subunit* (Smp\_143710). The changes upon pairing in whole females but not in ovaries, and their high expression in lung stage, suggests that these three GPCRs may be involved in processes other than pairing and reproduction. In contrast to many genes being affected in females, only five genes are up-regulated in paired male worms.



#### Figure 3.18 Log<sub>2</sub>FC of genes in cluster 40 in response to pairing

Expression of genes in cluster 40 in response to male-female pairing according to published RNA-seq data (Lu et al., 2016). Colours on the heatmap represented log<sub>2</sub>FC obtained from the published data. Column names denote pairwise comparison underlying each log<sub>2</sub>FC. sObO: ovaries, single-sex infection *vs.* mixed-sex infection. sFbF: females, single-sex infection. sTbT: testes, single-sex infection *vs.* mixed-sex infection. sMbM: males, single-sex infection *vs.* mixed-sex infection.

#### 3.3.7.5 Adult stage conclusion

In summary, gene expression in adult stages shows, as expected, up-regulation in eggproduction and acquisition of macromolecules and ions essential for the reproduction process, and down-regulation of developmental regulations as the parasites progressed into fully mature adults. In addition, GPCR signalling was down-regulated in paired and mature (day 35) compared to immature (day 28) worms, with one GPCR being a homologue of olfactory and taste receptors, and one potentially involved in neuromuscular signalling. Considering the functions of genes with similar expression pattern over the timecourse, the effect of pairing (from published data) on these genes, and their overall expression over the timecourse, it does appear that there is some involvement of the GPCRs in detecting host-derived signals.

## 3.4 Discussion

## 3.4.1 Overview

In this chapter, I sought insight into host-parasite interactions of *S. mansoni* during key stages of intra-mammalian infection. How the parasite evades host immune attack and localises to the liver and mesenteric veins are of particular interests. I analysed transcriptomic profiles from a timecourse of parasites developed *in vivo* and covering the lung stage through to the egg-laying adult stage. Information on protein domains, predicted protein structure, and phylogenetic relationships were incorporated in order to decipher gene function. The transcriptome dataset produced in this chapter covers key stages in intra-mammalian infection and includes the first RNA-seq transcriptome of *in vivo* lung stage as part of a developmental timecourse. Together, the data reflected the expected nature of key stages but also provide new details on underlying processes. In addition, the data highlight striking signalling events in the lung stage alongside possible strategies for evading immune attack. Lastly, adaptive changes in the liver stages and signalling during the migration towards the mesentery are proposed.

## 3.4.2 Potential effect of collection procedures

It is possible that the collection procedures themselves might have impacted the transcriptomes of the parasite. As detailed in the Methods (section 3.2.2.6), after the parasites were perfused from the mice, they were imaged and washed, meaning that

they were outside their mammalian host for a couple of hours before being collected into TRIzol. Future attempts could avoid this extended time, perhaps by collecting from fewer mice each day, or by randomly halving each replicate so that the half for RNA-seq could be processed straightaway into TRIzol. Additionally, the protocol of collecting lung stage worms involved incubation of minced lungs in media for three hours, which may also affect the transcriptomes. An alternative approach was considered whereby the whole lung tissue would be extracted for RNA which would contain RNA from murine host lungs and lung stage worms. This approach, however, would likely yield data that are overwhelmed by reads from the host materials. To assess potential changes in the transcriptomes caused by the incubation, a portion of lung tissues could be collected and extracted for RNA. Gene expression could then be compared between the incubated lung stage worms and the worms in the fresh lung tissue. However, despite the potential effects of the collection procedures, the transcriptomes still reflected the expected biology of their respective stages (such as development in the growing liver phase, and egg laying process in adult stages), which suggests that the parasite transcriptomes were not drastically affected.

## 3.4.3 Lung stage signalling

Lung schistosomules, overall, showed increased expression of genes in signalling processes and ion transport. Signalling via neuropeptides and neurotransmitters may be involved in motility and migration through the lung capillaries. Neuropeptide signalling is one of the biological processes disturbed in irradiated *S. mansoni* (Dillon *et al.*, 2008) and is reasoned for the loss of irradiated parasites during the lung migration, causing them to exit capillaries into the alveoli and eventually die (Crabtree and Wilson, 1986a; Dean and Mangold, 1992; Mastin *et al.*, 1985). In addition, signalling processes control development and differentiation. Upon entering the lung, schistosomules are required to change their shape into an elongated form and obtain the machinery for migrating inside lung capillary (Crabtree and Wilson, 1986a). Although the cues that stimulate the changes to their shape are unknown (Kusel *et al.*, 2007), it is understood that the schistosomules remodel themselves by differentiating existing cells and do not perform mitosis at this stage (Clegg, 1965; Lawson and Wilson, 1980). Signalling processes may have an important role in these changes. Furthermore, signalling in the lung might trigger the onset of development.

## 3.4.4 Lung stage immune evasion

In addition to the migration challenge, lung schistosomules are at risk of oxidative damage in the lung (Dirks and Faiman, 1982; Kobayashi-Miura *et al.*, 2007), and of blood coagulation from inhibited blood flow (Mebius *et al.*, 2013), their transcriptomes were expected to reflect adaptation to the environment. Up-regulated in the lung stage were a group of genes functioning in neutralising oxidative stress, including *superoxide dismutase* and *thioredoxin peroxidase* (Glanfield *et al.*, 2007). Such up-regulation is possibly in response to changes in environment rather than a hard-wired gene expression programme. In a mammalian system, expression of thioredoxin is induced by oxidative stress (Kobayashi-Miura *et al.*, 2007). Furthermore, lung schistosomules, when obtained from *in vitro* cultivation, express genes related to stress and immune evasion at a lower level (Chai *et al.*, 2006). In addition to *superoxide dismutase* and *thioredoxin, ferritins* were up-regulated in the lung stage, possibly for scavenging iron from immune cells and from inducing formation of ROS (Glanfield *et al.*, 2007).

## 3.4.5 Complement factor H

The prominence of genes with immune-related roles in the timecourse led me to question whether there were uncharacterised genes (annotated as encoding "hypothetical protein") with similar expression patterns that could have similar roles. The particular profile of interest was composed of genes with high expression in the lung stage, down-regulated during liver stages, and up-regulated once the parasites passed the liver stages. Of the three hypothetical proteins in this cluster, one was previously found in secreted extracellular vesicles from in vitro schistosomules (Nowacki et al., 2015) further suggesting its role in environmental interaction. Using protein structural prediction, another hypothetical protein (Smp\_182770) was found to be structurally similar to human CFH, a well-characterised regulator of the complement cascade (Medjeral-Thomas and Pickering, 2016; Morgan et al., 2011). Despite minimal sequence similarity and an absence of signature domains for complement control proteins, the parasite gene displayed a pattern of tandem repeats as expected from mammalian CFH. Presence of its homologues in other Schistosoma spp. and liver flukes suggest a common strategy for living under similar pressure of host immune attack (Schroeder et al., 2009; Sirisinha et al., 1986).

## 3.4.6 Complement factor I

In the mammalian system, CFH is described as a co-factor of CFI (Medjeral-Thomas and Pickering, 2016) and works downstream of DAF (Morgan et al., 2011). So far, there is no description of S. mansoni genes with sequence or structure similar to CFI, but there is a serine protease, m28, that cleaves C3bi (another function of mammalian CFI (Fishelson, 1995; Morgan et al., 2011). However, molecular weight of the m28 is 28kDa, not matching with factor I which has molecular weight of 38 kDa for its serine protease light chain (Alba-Domínguez et al., 2012). For DAF, previous work has shown that schistosomes can acquire DAF from host blood cells and such acquisition promotes parasite survival in vitro (Horta et al., 1991; Ramalho-Pinto et al., 1992). Validation of the putative CFH involvement in host immunomodulation requires further laboratory investigation - such as by incubating a recombinant protein produced from the putative CFH gene with CFI and its C3b substrate, or by functional knock-down of the gene. In addition, further structural prediction could be across all the S. mansoni genes to search for a potential CFI-encoding gene. However, such computationally intensive searches at such a large scale are currently prohibitively slow.

## 3.4.7 Immunomodulation in liver stages

In contrast to the profile with up-regulation in lung and adult stages, some genes with possible immunomodulation effect were up-regulated in liver and down-regulated in lung and adult stages. The gene encoding anti-inflammatory protein Sm16 is a key example of this. The gene product has been shown previously to be a major part of cercaria ES and interferes with signalling in monocytes and macrophages, proposing to delay antigen recognition upon *S. mansoni* infection to prevent inflammation during skin invasion (Sanin and Mountford, 2015). The gene was previously shown to be developmentally regulated with high expression in cercariae (Protasio et al., 2013; Sanin and Mountford, 2015). In this thesis, the expression of this gene was also increased between day 13 and day 21 and was lower at day 6 and in adults. Many genes with this expression profile are genes involved in growth and developmental control. However, annotated product names of a few genes suggested that some genes might be involved in immunomodulation. Examples of these are *microsomal glutathione S transferase 1* (Smp\_024010), *Lamin B receptor* (ERG24;

Smp\_124300), Uveal autoantigen with coiled coil domains (Smp\_129710), and LPSinduced tumor necrosis factor (Smp\_060220). Furthermore, aldehyde dehydrogenase gene, which was up-regulated in liver stages, may also be involved in regulating oxidative stress (Singh *et al.*, 2013), in addition to its proposed role of providing acetate for energy generation via the Kreb's cycle.

## 3.4.8 Implication for intervention

These different profiles of genes with potential functions in immune evasion suggest existence of multiple methods that the parasites may use at different stages even though all are residing in the mammalian bloodstream. A better understanding of these different strategies will be important for designing an effective vaccine against certain stages of the parasite. However, it is worth noting that *S. mansoni* in this timecourse was obtained from mice infected with different numbers of cercariae that may induce different immune response profiles in the host and therefore affect the parasite's immune evasion strategy.

## 3.4.9 Expected changes in liver and adult stages

Despite the parasite samples being from mixed-sex infection and each pool not being morphologically homogeneous, the transcriptomic data reflected major biological features expected for key stages of development. In liver schistosomules, highly expressed genes were enriched in biological processes important for growth (mitosis) and control of development, whereas expression of egg production-related genes predominated in adult stages. Neuropeptide signalling was enriched in liver stages and may be part of developmental control, orientation, motility, feeding (Collins *et al.*, 2010; McVeigh *et al.*, 2012). In the planarian *S. mediterranea*, neuropeptide Y may be involved in regeneration and it is possible that this signalling is also of developmental control in *S. mansoni* (Sandmann *et al.*, 2011). In adult stages, multiple hypothetical genes were strongly up-regulated amongst other egg-production-related genes which suggest their potential functions.

## 3.4.10 Liver localisation

This dataset has not, however, provided a tentative explanation on signals involved in liver localisation. This lack of signal from the transcriptomic data may support the idea that schistosomules arrive at the liver passively through blood circulation from

portal vein (Bloch, 1980; Kusel *et al.*, 2007; Wilson, 2009). When they reach a sufficiently large size, they become trapped in the portal triad, with the fine sinusoid network acting as a filter preventing large schistosomules from exiting with blood flow (Wilson, 2009), as opposed to detecting liver environment and actively anchoring to the blood vessel near liver. Alternatively, even if a sign for liver localisation is present, it may be drowned out in the transcriptomic signal related to growth and development, particularly, if the sensing only involve small parts of the worms. A future approach for solving this issue may require studying of isolated parasite tissues or single cells.

## 3.4.11 Mesenteric migration

Migration of adult worm pairs toward the mesenteric vein, however, is thought to involve active migration following certain cues - firstly because the migration is against the blood flow, and secondly because another species of schistosome (S. haematobium) migrates to a different site. Nevertheless, such cues have not been identified. From this dataset, multiple GPCRs were differentially expressed between days 28 and 35 which would cover this migration. Metabotropic glutamate receptor (Smp\_052660) binds to glutamate and is localised on tubercles of adult male S. mansoni, absent from neuromuscular structure, and expressed at low levels in paired female (Taman and Ribeiro, 2011). The authors also suggested its role in chemical signalling from "endogenous signals or host-derived glutamate". Interestingly, the gene is down-regulated once females pair with males (Lu et al., 2016). The absence in the female parasite in the study of Taman and Ribeiro (2011) could be down to the source of female parasites coming from mixed sex infection. The expression of this gene in females raises questions about its role in migration as it is generally thought that the parasites migrate as a pair, assisted by the male. However, lone females can migrate toward mesenteric vein, though at much smaller number than paired worms and eventually returned to the portal vein (Zanotti et al., 1982).

Together with the pattern of gene expression in our dataset, its homologues with olfactory receptors, and the fact that glutamate is an excitatory neurotransmitter, it is temping to speculate that the worms might use a glutamate gradient as a cue to migrate toward mesenteric veins. Intriguingly an orthologue of this receptor is present in *S. haematobium* genome, but *S. haematobium* migrates to a different egg-laying

site to *S. mansoni* (Gryseels et al., 2006). A possible explanation is that the same receptor can trigger a different downstream signalling pathway (Lodish et al., 2000). In *S. haematobium*, it may stimulate the movement away from the mesenteric vein instead of towards it. Furthermore, the expression site of the orthologous receptor in *S. haematobium* has not been established and the receptor might not be situated at a site suitable for detecting external ligands and may have other roles in *S. haematobium*. Attraction towards, or repulsion away from, glutamate could be tested using adult schistosomes and a simple decision chamber that was employed for malefemale attraction studies (Eveland et al., 1982; Imperia et al., 1980). Afterward, the specificity for the receptors for attraction behaviour could be characterised using functional genomic tools available for schistosomes (Alrefaei et al., 2011; MacDonald et al., 2015).

#### 3.4.11.1 Glutamate

Glutamate is an amino acid abundant in diet and passed from intestine into blood. Despite only 2-20% of intake glutamate is passed onto blood, glutamate gradient might be possible between mesenteric vein and portal vein (Burrin and Stoll, 2009; Janeczko *et al.*, 2007; Windemueller and Spaeth, 1980; Windmueller and Spaeth, 1975). Roles of glutamate and glutamate receptors in control of directional locomotion have been shown in other invertebrates (Croset et al., 2016; Hills et al., 2004; Vezina and Kim, 1999). Two types of glutamate receptors are involved in such process - metabotropic glutamate receptors which are GPCRs, and ionotropic glutamate receptors which are glutamate-gated ion channels. Metabotropic glutamate receptors are involved in locomotor behaviour (Vezina and Kim, 1999) and glutamate as a neurotransmitter controls directional foraging behaviour in *C. elegans* (Hills *et al.*, 2004). *Drosophila* larvae show attraction toward glutamate (Croset *et al.*, 2016). However, in both *C. elegans* and *Drosophila*, the receptors involved are ionotropic glutamate receptors.

#### 3.4.11.2 Octopamine receptor and GABA

Another GPCR with similar expression to the metabotropic glutamate receptor is *octopamine receptor* (Smp\_150180) and *gamma aminobutyric acid* (*GABA*) *receptor subunit* (Smp\_143710). Though the exact ligand has not been identified for the octopamine receptor, biogenic amine signalling can modulate behaviour and

locomotion in *C. elegans* (Chase, 2007). GABA is found at oral and ventral suckers and is thought to be involved in attaching to host blood vessel (Mendonça-Silva *et al.*, 2004). However, this GABA receptor has not been confirmed for GABA binding but is, sequence-wise, similar to an ion channel; therefore, it could be involved in neuromuscular signalling.

## 3.4.12 Micro-exon genes

MEGs have been implicated in host-parasite interactions. In this transcriptomic dataset, some *MEGs* were strongly up-regulated at specific time points. Up-regulation of *MEG-2* and *MEG-3* groups in the lung stage extended from what has been shown previously that these MEGs are highly expressed in early stage schistosomules compared to pre-intramammalian stages (DeMarco *et al.*, 2010). *MEG-14*, one of the *MEGs* up-regulated in lung stage, can bind to host inflammatory protein in the worm oesophagus to prevent internal damage to the parasites (Orcia *et al.*, 2016) and appears to become important for the parasites particularly in lung stage. Further, *MEG-32.2*, previously localised to the head part of adults (Wilson *et al.*, 2015) is highly up-regulated in day-13 (early liver stage) compared to lung stage.

## 3.4.13 Other ways to use this data

In addition to investigating host-parasite interactions, this transcriptomic dataset provides a resource on other aspects of *S. mansoni* biology and is an important resource for the research community. This does however mean that in teasing apart signals related to host-parasite interactions is somewhat confounded by signals from other processes such as development, and parasite-parasite interactions. For functional genomics and transgenesis in *S. mansoni*, this dataset will be useful for identifying promoters of genes specifically expressed at different stages or promoters of constitutively expressed genes. Lastly, the analyses approach in this chapter also demonstrated the benefits of incorporating additional information from expression patterns over a timecourse, in addition to using pairwise comparisons, to interpret potential roles of genes and identify relevant molecular processes.

## 3.4.14 Summary

In summary, I have produced and analysed the transcriptomic profile of *S. mansoni* during its intra-mammalian stage aiming for a better understanding of its host-parasite

interactions. I interpret that the lung stage schistosomules employed up-regulation of genes to counteract immune attack and present potential new player in immune evasion. Cues that stimulate schistosomule migration along the lung capillary are still not clear, but many signalling processes may be involved. Liver stage schistosomule migration appeared to follow passive migration as many have previously thought. Receptors and chemical cues involved in mesenteric migration is proposed.

In the next chapter, I moved to an *in vitro* approach of co-culture between schistosomules and human cells/cell lines and use transcriptomic information to find out how schistosomules might respond to host environment that they may encounter during their intra-mammalian stages.