The role of transcription factor *GATA6* in the development of the human pancreas

Crystal Ying Chia



Homerton College
University of Cambridge

Acknowledgements

"Follow your dreams", my parents always reminded me.

Growing up, I had never imagined I would do a PhD, much less in Cambridge. Being enrolled at the University of Cambridge to read a doctoral degree is a dream come true for me. The path to getting to where I am today is attributed to a mixture of hard work and luck in meeting the right people who not only opened doors and made this once in a lifetime opportunity possible, but also believed in me and supported me throughout this journey. There are many people for whom I have heartfelt gratitude, but sadly, it is only possible to particularly thank some here.

To Professor Ludovic Vallier, my Cambridge supervisor, who has been instrumental in driving the progress of my PhD project from start to finish. Thank you for accepting me as your student and for providing me with this valuable opportunity. You have been a great mentor who has taught me so much. Thank you for all the guidance, understanding and support you have shown me over the years, and for moulding my development not only in stem cell biology, but as a scientist. You have provided me with such an all-rounded PhD experience, from teaching me important set of skills for my scientific career, to exploring Europe and USA on the annual lab retreats and conferences.

To Dr Norris Ray Dunn, my Singapore supervisor, who has moved mountains for me. Thank you for accepting me as your student and for providing me with this valuable opportunity. I am truly lucky and blessed to have you as a mentor, and you have been nothing but supportive, encouraging and caring. Thank you for imparting valuable skills to me not only in research, but also in writing and presentations. Thank you for making my PhD experience such an amazing and wholesome one and for teaching me so much that is not only important for my scientific career, but also in life.

To my family, thank you for all the encouragement, support, care and concern.

To my parents, for giving me the freedom and opportunities to pursue all my desires in life. To my brother and sister-in-law, for always being there no matter the distance.

To my friends and colleagues in Cambridge, Li Meng, Kasia, Sapna, Hiroko- you have been there for me since day one. Thank you for being my pillars of support through the good and the bad times, and for making my life in Cambridge so wonderful.

To my friends in Singapore and Malaysia who have not forgotten me despite being away for two years, Atee, Jieying, Daphne, Xuefang and Anita. Thank you for your friendship over the many, many years and for always pushing me to excel in the things I do.

To CJ, thanks for all the motivation, lessons of patience and for being there for me during the final push of my PhD.

To A*STAR, for providing me with the opportunity to pursue a PhD degree by funding my course of study.

To members of the Vallier and NRD lab who have created a fun and lively place to work in. Thank you for all the help, support and friendship.

Declaration

This dissertation is the result of my own work and includes nothing which is the

outcome of work done in collaboration except where specifically indicated in the text.

It is not substantially the same as any that I have submitted, or, is being

concurrently submitted for a degree or diploma or other qualification at the University

of Cambridge or any other University or similar institution except as declared in the

Preface and specified in the text. I further state that no substantial part of my

dissertation has already been submitted, or, is being concurrently submitted for any

such degree, diploma or other qualification at the University of Cambridge or any

other University or similar institution except as declared in the Preface and specified in

the text.

Crystal Ying Chia

July 2017

iv

Statement of Length

This dissertation does not exceed the word limit of 60,000 words excluding figures, photographs, tables, appendices and bibliography for the Biology Degree Committee.

List of Publications and Presentations

Work from this thesis contributed to the following publications and presentations:

Academic publication:

Chia, C.Y., Madrigal, P., Denil, S.L.I.J., El-Khairi, R., Chhatriwala, M., Hattersley, A.T., N. Dunn, N.R., and Vallier, L.. GATA6 cooperates with EOMES/SMAD2/3 to deploy the gene regulatory network governing human definitive endoderm and pancreas formation. To be submitted (2017).

Poster presentations:

Chia, C.Y., Chhatriwala, M., Dunn, N.R., and Vallier, L.. (2014). The role of transcription factor GATA6 in human pancreas and liver. Poster presented at the Keystone Symposia Conference: Emerging Concepts and Targets in Islet Biology held from April 6-11, 2014, Colorado, USA.

Chia, C.Y., Chhatriwala, M., Dunn, N.R., and Vallier, L.. (2014). The role of transcription factor GATA6 in human pancreas and liver. Poster presented at the Company of Biologist Workshops: From Stem Cells to Human Development held from September 21-24, 2014, Wotton House, Surrey, UK.

Chia, C.Y., Chhatriwala, M., Dunn, N.R., and Vallier, L.. (2014). The role of transcription factor GATA6 in human pancreas and liver. Poster presented at the Wellcome Trust - Medical Research Council Cambridge Stem Cell Institute: SCI PhD Day held on July 22, 2014, Cambridge, UK.

Summary

While there has been an opulence of data and studies surrounding the study of the developing pancreas in mammals and other vertebrates, the focus has largely been in mice. The paucity of research in the development of the human pancreas has led to diminished knowledge in the area, compared to other species. Recent discoveries provide growing evidence for discrepancies between mouse and human pancreatic development and diseases and highlight the fact that developmental studies of the pancreas in humans are imperative. The need to develop therapies for diabetes, a growing and one of the leading health problems worldwide, further compels more exploration in this area to deepen our understanding in the different aspects of diabetes in humans and its underlying causes.

Research involving modelling human diseases *in vitro* enables the investigation of the cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying these diseases as well as the development of therapies for treating them. The availability of hPSCs brings with it the advantage of overcoming the limitations of animal models for certain disorders such as pancreatic agenesis, the focus of my project. The use of site-specific nucleases such as TALENs for such a purpose represents a paradigm shift in disease modelling, where TALENs are capable of directly correcting disease-causing mutations, therefore permanently eliminating the symptoms with precise genome modifications.

Alternatively, TALENs can also be used to inactivate specific genes by inducing site-specific mutations.

Using these tools, I found that *GATA6* is required for the formation of the definitive endoderm and pancreas in humans; hPSCs harbouring homozygous *GATA6* mutations fail to form the definitive endoderm, and consequently the pancreas, whereas hPSCs harbouring heterozygous *GATA6* mutations exhibited impairment in definitive endoderm development, although it remains unclear if this is a protocoldependent defect. At the pancreatic stage, heterozygous *GATA6* mutations consistently compromised pancreas formation regardless of protocol used. I also found that *GATA6* transcriptionally activates the development of the definitive

endoderm and pancreatic endoderm, and possibly represses the development of mesoderm. Furthermore, I also established that *GATA6* directly interacts with key definitive endoderm markers *CXCR4* and *SOX17*, and pancreatic marker *PDX1*.

Taken together, the work herein demonstrates the successful use of hPSCs coupled with the TALEN genome editing technology as a unique *in vitro* system for disease modelling. These findings also establish two developmental windows, the DE and pancreatic progenitor stages, where *GATA6* haploinsufficiency can result in the impairment of pancreatic development leading to pancreatic hypoplasia observed in human *GATA6* heterozygous patients. Lastly, my work also provides the molecular mechanism by which *GATA6* regulates pancreatic development.

Overall, this study provided new insights in the role of *GATA6* during development of the human pancreas. These results will be important in developing new methods of differentiation for hPSCs and understanding the interconnection between early organogenesis and late onset of diabetes.

Table of Contents

		Page
Acknowledg	ements	ii
Declaration		iv
Statement o	f Length	V
List of Public	rations and Presentations	vi
Summary		vii
Table of Con	tents	ix
List of Abbre	eviations	xiv
List of Figure	es	xviii
List of Tables	S	XXV
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1.	Overview of the pancreas	1
1.1.1.	Development of the human pancreas	3
1.1.2.	Diabetes Mellitus as a pancreatic disease	7
1.1.3.	Pancreatic agenesis	10
1.2.	Human pluripotent stem cells as an <i>in vitro</i> system to model the development of the human pancreas	11
1.2.1	Pancreatic specification protocols to date	14
1.2.2	Transcription factors associated with pancreas development	18
1.2.3	Transcription factor <i>GATA6</i>	25
1.3.	Disease modelling of pancreatic agenesis	27
1.3.1	Genome editing tools	29
1.3.2	Transcription activator-like effector nuclease (TALEN)	30
1.3.3	Nuclease-mediated mutations	33
1.4.	Objectives of the project	36
CHAPTER 2	MATERIALS AND METHODS	37
2.1.	Tissue culture	37
2.1.1.	Human pluripotent stem cell lines	37
2.1.2.	Growth conditions	38
2.1.3.	Definitive endoderm (DE) differentiation	40
2.1.4.	Pancreatic differentiation	42

	2.1.5.	Pancreatic differentiation using STEMdiff pancreatic progenitor kit	44
	2.1.6.	Glucose response assay and Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELI for C-peptide	ISA) 44
	2.1.7.	Hepatic differentiation	45
2	2.2.	Cloning of plasmid DNA constructs	46
	2.2.1.	Transformation of plasmids into Escherichia coli cells	46
	2.2.2.	Small scale DNA plasmid purification and colony selection	46
	2.2.3.	Genotyping via Sanger sequencing	46
2	2.3.	Constructs	47
	2.3.1.	Transcription activator-like effector nuclease (TALEN) vectors	47
	2.3.2.	Introducing constructs into hPSCs	57
2	2.4.	Generation of GATA6 mutant lines	58
	2.4.1.	Non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) pathway	58
	2.4.2.	Homologous recombination (HR) pathway	61
2	2.5.	Western blot	63
	2.5.1.	Cell lysate preparation and normalisation	63
	2.5.2.	SDS-page, blotting and blocking	64
	2.5.3.	Antibody incubation and detection	64
2	2.6.	Immunocytochemistry (ICC)	66
	2.6.1.	Fixation and blocking	66
	2.6.2.	Antibody incubation and detection	66
2	2.7.	Fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis	68
	2.7.1.	Cell preparation	68
	2.7.2.	Antibody incubation and detection	68
2	2.8.	Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR)	70
	2.8.1.	Total RNA isolation	70
	2.8.2.	First strand cDNA synthesis	70
	2.8.3.	qRT-PCR	71
2	2.9.	RNA-sequencing	74
	2.9.1.	Illumina sequencing	74
	2.9.2.	RNA enrichment analysis	74
	2.9.3.	Functional annotations	74

2.10.	Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP)	75
2.10.1.	Cross-linking of protein and DNA	75
2.10.2.	Immunoprecipitation of protein-DNA complex	76
2.10.3.	DNA extraction	77
2.10.4.	Bioanalyser	78
2.10.5.	qPCR detection	78
2.11.	ChIP-sequencing	80
2.11.1.	Illumina sequencing	80
2.11.2.	Bioinformatics analyses	80
2.12.	Statistical analyses	81
CHAPTER 3	RESULTS	82
3.1.	An in vitro culture system to study the development of the pancreas	82
3.1.1.	An efficient protocol to differentiate hPSCs into endocrine progenito	rs 82
3.1.2.	GATA6 is up-regulated upon definitive endoderm formation	98
3.1.3.	GATA6 is expressed throughout pancreatic development	101
3.2.	Successful derivation of GATA6 mutant lines	104
3.2.1.	NHEJ pathway	104
3.2.2.	HR pathway	113
3.2.3.	Reprogramming of GATA6 patient fibroblasts	117
3.2.4.	Genome editing does not affect pluripotency	119
3.2.5.	TALEN-derived wild-type cell lines resemble untargeted hPSCs	121
3.3.	Endodermal formation is inconsistently impaired by heterozygous <i>GA</i> mutations	123
3.3.1.	Biallelic loss of N-terminal of GATA6 protein impairs DE formation	123
3.3.2.	Homozygous GATA6 mutants fail to form the DE	124
3.3.3.	<i>GATA6</i> heterozygous mutants exhibit endodermal defects using lab- derived protocol	130
3.3.4.	<i>GATA6</i> heterozygous mutants display similar endodermal defects usi commercial kit from STEMCELL Technologies	ng a 140
3.3.5.	GATA6 heterozygous mutants did not exhibit endodermal defects usi PSC Definitive Endoderm Induction Kit from Life Technologies	ing 142
3.4.	GATA6 is required for differentiation into the pancreatic lineage	144
3.4.1.	Homozygous <i>GATA6</i> mutants fail to enter the pancreatic lineage	144

3.4.2.	Heterozygous GATA6 mutants elicit a pancreatic defect in all proto	cols
		148
3.5.	GATA6 is a key regulator of DE and pancreatic specification	156
3.5.1.	Loss of GATA6 perturbs the DE transcriptional network and promot mesoderm formation	tes 156
3.5.2.	GATA6 haploinsufficiency perturbs the pancreatic transcriptional network	168
CHAPTER 4	DISCUSSION	176
4.1.	DE and pancreatic differentiation of hPSCs <i>in vitro</i> mimics developed events during pancreatic formation in humans	mental 176
4.2.	<i>GATA6</i> and <i>GATA4</i> expression patterns during human pancreatic development	178
4.3.	TALEN as a genome editing tool for disease modelling	179
4.4.	GATA6 is required for DE specification	180
4.5.	GATA6 is required for pancreatic progenitor specification	184
4.6.	GATA6 is a key regulator of DE and pancreatic progenitor specificat	tion
		186
CHAPTER 5	FUTURE EXPERIMENTS	188
5.1.	Unknown effects on penetrance of <i>GATA6</i> heterozygous mutants uvarious DE or pancreatic specification protocols	ising 188
5.2.	Unexplored role of GATA6 in other endoderm-derived organs	189
5.3.	Other possible roles of <i>GATA6</i>	189
FINAL CONC	LUSIONS	190
BIBLIOGRAP	НҮ	191
APPENDICES	(refer to CD)	
Table S1	Genes significantly differentially expressed between H9 and GATA on day 2 in RNA-seq	A6 ^{Δ4/Δ4}
Table S2	Genes significantly differentially expressed between H9 and GATA on day 3 in RNA-seq	A6 ^{Δ4/Δ4}
Table S3	Genes significantly differentially expressed between H9 and GATA on day 3 in RNA-seq	A6 4ins/+
Table S4	Genes significantly differentially expressed between H9 and Patie on day 3 in RNA-seq	nt A
Table S5	ChIP-seq: Peaks called for H9* cells on day 3	

Table S6	ChIP-seq: Peaks called for <i>GATA6</i> 4ins/+ cells on day 3
Table S7	Genes significantly differentially expressed between H9 and $\it GATA6$ $^{\rm 4ins/+}$ on day 12 in RNA-seq
Table S8	Genes significantly differentially expressed between H9 and Patient A on day 12 in RNA-seq
Table S9	ChIP-seq: Peaks called for FSPS13.B* cells on day 12
Table S10	ChIP-seq: Peaks called for $GATA6^{\Delta14/+}$ cells on day 12
Table S11	ChIP-seq: Peaks called for <i>GATA6</i> GFP/+ cells on day 12

List of Abbreviations

ABCC8 ATP-binding cassette, sub-family C, member 8

ADV-BSA Advanced DMEM/F-12 containing bovine serum albumin

AIP Anterior intestinal portal

bFGF Basic fibroblast growth factor

BNZ 6-Bnz-cAMP sodium salt

DE Definitive endoderm

CDM Chemically defined media

CDM-PVA Chemically defined medium-poly vinyl alcohol

CEL Carboxyl ester lipase

ChIP Chromatin immunoprecipitation

CRISPR Clustered regulatory interspaced short palindromic repeats

CS Carnegie stages

CXCR4 Chemokine (C-X-C motif) receptor 4

DE Definitive endoderm

Dpc Days post-conception

DSB Double-stranded break

E8 Essential 8

EIF2AK3 Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 alpha kinase 3

ELISA Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay

EMT Epithelial-mesenchymal transition

EP Endocrine progenitors

ESC Embryonic stem cell

FACS Fluorescence activated cell sorting

FLASH Fast Ligation-based Automatable Solid-phase High-throughput

FOX Forkhead box

GATA GATA binding protein

GCK Glucokinase

GLIS3 GLIS family zinc finger 3

GO Gene ontology

GSC Goosecoid

GSIS Glucose-stimulated insulin secretion

GRN Gene regulatory network

hESC Human embryonic stem cell

HGF Hepatocyte growth factor

hiPSC Human induced pluripotent stem cell

HIPSCI Human induced pluripotent stem cell initiative

hPSC Human pluripotent stem cell

HMG High mobility group

HNF Hepatocyte nuclear factor

HR Homologous recombination

HRP Horseradish peroxidase

ICA Iterative Capped Assembly

ICC Immunocytochemistry

ICM Inner cell mass

INS Insulin

iPSC Induced pluripotent stem cells

KCNJ11 Potassium inwardly-rectifying channel, subfamily J, member 11

LIF Leukaemia inhibitory factor

MEF Mouse embryonic fibroblasts

MODY Maturity onset diabetes of the young

NDM Neonatal diabetes mellitus

NEUROD1 Neuronal differentiation 1

NGN Neurogenin

NHEJ Non-homologous end-joining

NKX Nirenberg and Kim homeobox factor

ORF Open reading frame

OSM Oncostatin M

PBMC Peripheral blood mononuclear cell

PCR Polymerase chain reaction

PDX1 Pancreatic and duodenal homeobox factor 1

PE Pancreatic endoderm

PFA Paraformaldehyde

PMSF Phenylmethylsulfonylfluoride

PNDM Permanent neonatal diabetes mellitus

PPP Partial protein product

PSC Pluripotent stem cell

PTF1A Pancreas transcription factor 1A

qRT-PCR Quantitative real time polymerase chain reaction

RA Retinoic acid

RFX6 Regulatory factor X6

RVD Repeat variable di-residues

SCT Stem Cell Technologies

SHH Sonic hedgehog

SOX SRY (sex determining region Y)-box

T1D Type 1 diabetes

T2D Type 2 diabetes

TALEN Transcription activator-like effector nuclease

TF Transcription factor

TGF- β Transforming growth factor- β

TNDM Transient neonatal diabetes mellitus

TSS Transcription start site

Wp Well plate

Wpc Weeks post-conception

ZFN Zinc finger nuclease

List of Figures

Figure 1. The pancreas as a mixed exocrine and endocrine organ	2
Figure 2. Developmental stages of human pancreas development and its respective	ļ
transcription factor network	5
Figure 3. Applications of hiPSCs	13
Figure 4. Key transcription factors controlling lineage specification during pancreation	С
development	18
Figure 5. Corresponding cDNA transcript and protein product of <i>GATA6</i>	25
Figure 6. Confocal microscopy images of α -, β - and δ - cells within Islets of Langerha	ns
show striking interspecies differences	28
Figure 7. Overview of TALEN proteins	31
Figure 8. TALEN-mediated genome editing	34
Figure 9. hPSC-based disease modelling	35
Figure 10. Schematic of the 24-day differentiation protocol	83
Figure 11. H9 cells are pluripotent and undifferentiated	84
Figure 12. ICC of H9 cells differentiated into the DE	85
Figure 13. FACS of H9 cells differentiated into the DE	86
Figure 14. H9 exhibiting morphological changes upon differentiation into the DE	86
Figure 15. ICC of H9 cells differentiated into the primitive gut tube	87
Figure 16. ICC of H9 cells differentiated into the posterior foregut	88
Figure 17. ICC of H9 cells differentiated into the pancreatic endoderm	89
Figure 18. FACS of H9 cells differentiated into the pancreatic endoderm	89
Figure 19. ICC of H9 cells on day 15	90
Figure 20. FACS of H9 cells on day 15	90
Figure 21. ICC of H9 cells on day 18	91
Figure 22. ICC of H9 cells differentiated into endocrine progenitors	91
Figure 23. FACS of H9 cells differentiated into endocrine progenitors	92
Figure 24. FACS of mono-hormonal H9 cells on day 24	92
Figure 25. qRT-PCR analyses of H9 cells specified toward the pancreatic lineage	93
Figure 26. C-peptide secretion upon glucose stimulation on day 24	94

Figure 27. qRT-PCR analyses of FSPS13.B cells specified toward the pancreatic lineage
95
Figure 28. FACS of FSPS13.B cells differentiated into the DE96
Figure 29. FACS of FPS13.B cells differentiated into the pancreatic endoderm96
Figure 30. FACS of FPS13.B cells differentiated into the endocrine progenitors97
Figure 31. GATA6 expression is negligible in undifferentiated state98
Figure 32. GATA6 is co-expressed with key DE markers99
Figure 33. qRT-PCR analyses of <i>GATA6</i> expression levels in of H9 cells specified toward
the pancreatic lineage100
Figure 34. FACS of GATA6+ H9 cells at day 3100
Figure 35. GATA6 is co-localised with key markers of the primitive gut tube101
Figure 36. GATA6 is co-localised with key markers of the posterior foregut102
Figure 37. GATA6 is co-localised with key marker of the pancreatic endoderm 102
Figure 38. FACS of GATA6+ H9 cells at day 12103
Figure 39. GATA6 is co-localised with key markers of endocrine progenitors103
Figure 40. Schematic of TALEN1 and TALEN2 cut sites on the GATA6 locus105
Figure 41. Representative DNA agarose gel picture of colonies screened via restriction
enzyme digest
Figure 42. Schematic of selected H9 TALEN-derived GATA6 cell lines107
Figure 43. Western blot analysis of GATA6 protein levels in TALEN-derived H9 mutant
lines using an N-terminal GATA6 antibody108
Figure 44. Western blot analysis of GATA6 protein levels in TALEN-derived H9 mutant
lines using a C-terminal GATA6 antibody108
Figure 45. Schematic of selected FSPS13.B TALEN-derived GATA6 cell lines at the
TALEN1 cut site
Figure 46. Western blot analysis of GATA6 protein expression in TALEN-derived
FSPS13.B mutant lines using N- and C-terminal antibodies
Figure 47. Schematic of selected FSPS13.B TALEN-derived GATA6 cell lines at the
TALEN1 cut site with read-through110
Figure 48. Schematic of selected FSPS13.B TALEN-derived GATA6 cell lines at the
TALEN2 cut site111

Figure 49. Schematic of generating heterozygous or homozygous loss-of-function
GATA6 mutations via HR113
Figure 50. Representative DNA agarose gel picture of colonies screened via PCR to
assess for successful HR114
Figure 51. Schematic of selected H9 TALEN-derived GATA6 cell lines via HR114
Figure 52. Western blot analysis of GATA6 and GATA4 protein levels in GATA6 mutant
lines
Figure 53. Immunofluorescence showing emGFP-expressing heterozygous <i>GATA6</i> GFP/+
and homozygous <i>GATA6</i> GFP/GFP mutant cells on day 3116
Figure 54. Genotype confirmation of Patients A and B by Sanger sequencing117
Figure 55. PCR showing loss of transgenes in Patient A mutant line, clone 1 compared
with positive control118
Figure 56. Immunofluorescence showing successful reprogramming of patient-derived
Patient A (<i>GATA6</i> R465C/+) mutant line via expression of pluripotency markers118
Figure 57. Pluripotency is maintained in <i>GATA6</i> ^{4ins/+} H9 cells119
Figure 58. Pluripotency is maintained in <i>GATA6</i> $^{\Delta4/\Delta4}$ H9 cells120
Figure 59. qRT-PCR analyses of H9 and H9* cells on days 1, 2 and 3121
Figure 60. qRT-PCR analyses of H9 and H9* cells on days 3, 6, 12 and 24122
Figure 61. Summary of PDX1+ (day 12) and C-PEPTIDE+ (day 24) cells via FACS for H9,
H9*, FSPS13.B and FSPS13.B
Figure 62. FACS of CXCR4+ cells for FSPS13.B TALEN1-targeted mutant cells on day 3
Figure 63. <i>GATA6</i> $^{4ins/+}$ and <i>GATA6</i> $^{\Delta4/\Delta4}$ mutants had the capacity to differentiate125
Figure 64. SOX17 expression is abolished <i>GATA6</i> $^{\Delta4/\Delta4}$ cells
Figure 65. The number of CXCR4+ and SOX17+ cells are decreased in <i>GATA6</i> 4ins/+ cells
and are almost completely absent in <i>GATA6</i> $^{\Delta4/\Delta4}$ H9 cells126
Figure 66. The number of CXCR4+ and SOX17+ cells are decreased in <i>GATA6</i> GFP/+ cells
and are almost completely absent in <i>GATA6</i> GFP/ GFP H9 cells126
Figure 67. The number of CXCR4+ is decreased in <i>GATA6</i> $^{\Delta14/+}$ cells and are almost
completely absent in <i>GATA6</i> $^{\Delta14/\Delta11}$ FSPS13.B cells
Figure 68. qRT-PCR analyses of H9*, GATA6 $^{4ins/+}$ and GATA6 $^{\Delta4/\Delta4}$ cells on days 1, 2 and
3

Figure 69. qRT-PCR analyses of H9*, GATA6 $^{ m GFP/+}$ and GATA6 $^{ m GFP/GFP}$ cells on day 3128
Figure 70. qRT-PCR analyses of FSPS13.B*, GATA6 $^{\Delta14/+}$, GATA6 $^{GFP/+}$ and GATA6 $^{\Delta14/\Delta14}$
cells on day 3128
Figure 71. Summary of CXCR4+ cells via FACS for all H9-derived mutant cells on day 3
Figure 72. FOXA2 expression is abolished in $GATA6^{\Delta 4/\Delta 4}$ cells and decreased in $GATA6$
^{4ins/+} cells on day 3
Figure 73. FOXA2 expression is abolished in <i>GATA6</i> $^{\Delta4/\Delta4}$ cells on day 6131
Figure 74. HNF1B expression is abolished in <i>GATA6</i> $^{\Delta4/\Delta4}$ cells on day 6132
Figure 75. CDX2 remains unexpressed in all cells on day 6
Figure 76. HEX remains unexpressed in all cells on day 6
Figure 77. SOX2 expression is abolished in <i>GATA6</i> $^{\Delta4/\Delta4}$ cells on day 9133
Figure 78. The number of CXCR4+ and SOX17+ cells is not decreased in both clones 1
and 2 of <i>GATA6</i> GFP/+ FSPS13.B cells136
Figure 79. Summary of CXCR4 levels via FACS for all FPSP13.B-derived mutant cells on
day 3136
Figure 80. The number of CXCR4+ cells is decreased in Patient A137
Figure 81. Summary of CXCR4 levels via FACS for Patient A cells on day 3137
Figure 82. The number of CXCR4+ cells is decreased in Patient B138
Figure 83. Summary of CXCR4 levels via FACS for Patient B cells on day 3138
Figure 84. qRT-PCR analyses of Patient A and B cells on day 3139
Figure 85. Summary of CXCR4 levels via FACS for H9 and FSPS13.B selected
heterozygous mutant cells, and Patients A and B on day 3139
Figure 86. The number of CXCR4+ and SOX17+ cells is decreased in <i>GATA6</i> 4ins/+ cells
and are almost completely absent in <i>GATA6</i> $^{\Delta4/\Delta4}$ H9 cells differentiated via STEMCELL
Technologies kit141
Figure 87. qRT-PCR analyses of H9*, GATA6 $^{4ins/+}$ and GATA6 $^{\Delta4/\Delta4}$ cells on days 3 and 6
differentiated via STEMCELL Technologies kit141
Figure 88. The number of CXCR4+ cells is not decreased in GATA6 heterozygous
FSPS13.B mutant cells and Patient B differentiated via PSC Definitive Endoderm
Induction Kit from Life Technologies

Figure 89. qRT-PCR analyses of FSPS13.B, FPSP13.B*, FSPS13.B-derived mutant cells
and Patient B on day 3 differentiated via PSC Definitive Endoderm Induction Kit from
Life Technologies
Figure 90. PDX1 is not activated in <i>GATA6</i> $^{\Delta4/\Delta4}$ cells by day 12 of differentiation144
Figure 91. NGN3 is not activated in <i>GATA6</i> $^{\Delta4/\Delta4}$ cells by day 15 of differentiation145
Figure 92. C-PEPTIDE, SST and GCG expression are not activated in $GATA6^{\Delta4/\Delta4}$ cells by
day 24 of differentiation145
Figure 93. Summary of PDX1 levels via FACS for H9 homozygous mutant cells on day 12
146
Figure 94. Summary of PDX1 levels via FACS for all H9-derived mutants cells on day 12
Figure 95. Summary of C-PEPTIDE levels via FACS for H9 homozygous mutant cells on
day 24147
Figure 96. Summary of PDX1 (day 12) and C-PEPTIDE (day 24) expression via FACS for
H9 and FSPS13.B selected heterozygous mutant cells, and Patients A and B147
Figure 97. qRT-PCR analyses of H9*, $GATA6^{4ins/+}$ and $GATA6^{\Delta4/\Delta4}$ cells on days 3, 6, 12
and 24
Figure 98. C-peptide secretion of TALEN-derived H9 mutants upon glucose stimulation
on day 24150
Figure 99. qRT-PCR analyses of FSPS13.B*, $GATA6^{\Delta14/+}$ and $GATA6^{GFP/+}$ cells on days 3,
12 and 24151
Figure 100. Summary of CXCR4 levels via FACS for all FPSP13.B-derived mutant cells on
day 12151
Figure 101. qRT-PCR analyses of FSPS13.B, Patient A and Patient B cells on days 3, 12
and 24152
Figure 102. The number of PDX1+ cells is decreased in <i>GATA6</i> 4ins/+ cells and is almost
completely zero in <i>GATA6</i> $^{\Delta4/\Delta4}$ H9 cells differentiated via STEMCELL Technologies kit
Figure 103. qRT-PCR analyses of H9*, $GATA6^{4ins/+}$ and $GATA6^{\Delta4/\Delta4}$ cells on days 3, 6, 9
and 14 differentiated via STEMCELL Technologies kit

Figure 104. The number of PDX1+ cells is decreased in <i>GATA6</i> heterozygous FSPS13.B
mutant cells and Patient B differentiated via PSC Definitive Endoderm Induction Kit
from Life Technologies
Figure 105. qRT-PCR analyses of FPSP13.B*, FSPS13.B-derived mutant cells and Patient
B on day 12 differentiated via PSC Definitive Endoderm Induction Kit from Life
Technologies
Figure 106. Enriched GO of <i>GATA6</i> $^{\Delta4/\Delta4}$ mutant cells against H9* cells on day 2 from
RNA-seq
Figure 107. Key DE marker, SOX17, is one of the most highly down-regulated genes in
$GATA6^{\Delta 4/\Delta 4}$ mutant cells
Figure 108. Heat map illustrating differential gene expression of key germ layer
markers via RNA-seq between H9*cells and H9-derived <i>GATA6</i> $^{4ins/+}$ and <i>GATA6</i> $^{\Delta4/\Delta4}$
mutant cells at the DE stage (day 3)159
Figure 109. Heat map illustrating differential gene expression of key germ layer
markers via RNA-seq between H9*cells and H9-derived <i>GATA6</i> 4ins/+ and clones 1-3 of
Patient A mutant cells at the DE stage (day 3)160
Figure 110. ChIP validation via qPCR using primers specific to a GATA6 positive binding
region on day 3161
Figure 111. The number of GATA6+ cells is similar in H9* and H9-derived <i>GATA6</i> 4ins/+
cells differentiated via the lab protocol on day 3161
Figure 112. GATA6 binding is enriched near the GATA4 gene and GATA4 is decreased in
H9-derived $GATA6^{4ins/+}$ and $GATA6^{\Delta4/\Delta4}$ mutant cells
Figure 113. Venn diagrams indicating the overlap of GATA6-bound genes from ChIP-
seq and differentially expressed genes from RNA-seq at the DE stage (day 3)163
Figure 114. Venn diagrams indicating the triple overlap of <i>GATA6</i> -bound genes at the
DE stage (day 3)
Figure 115. Screenshot of binding motif analysis on UP and DOWN target regions of
GATA6 ChIP-seq on day 3 derived from BETA analysis165
Figure 116. BETA output of activating/repressive function prediction of H9-derived
$GATA6^{\Delta 4/\Delta 4}$, $GATA6^{4ins/+}$ and Patient A mutant cells on day 3165
Figure 117. Enriched gene ontology derived from BETA analysis showing
developmental pathways166

Figure 118. Density heat maps of <i>GATA6</i> -binding peak intensity at DE167
Figure 119. Heat map illustrating differential gene expression of key pancreatic
markers via RNA-seq between H9*cells, H9-derived GATA6 4ins/+ and clones 1-3 of
Patient A mutant cells at the PE stage168
Figure 120. ChIP validation via qPCR using primers specific to a GATA6 and PDX1
positive binding region on day 12 for H9* and H9-derived $\it GATA6^{4ins/+}$ mutant cells .170
Figure 121. Number of GATA6+ cells is similar in H9* and H9-derived GATA6 4ins/+ cells
differentiated via the lab protocol at day 12170
Figure 122. ChIP validation via qPCR using primers specific to a GATA6 and PDX1
positive binding region on day 12 for FSPS13.B* and FSPS13.B-derived <i>GATA6</i> $^{\Delta14/+}$ and
GATA6 GFP/+ mutant cells
Figure 123. The number of GATA6+ cells is similar in FSPS13.B* and FSPS13.B-derived
GATA6 $^{\mathrm{GFP/+}}$ cells but down-regulated in GATA6 $^{\Delta14/+}$ cells differentiated via the lab
protocol on day 12172
Figure 124. Screenshot of binding motif analysis on UP and DOWN target regions of
GATA6 ChIP-seq on day 12 derived from BETA analysis174
Figure 125. BETA output of activating/repressive function prediction of H9-derived
GATA6 4ins/+ and Patient A mutant cells on day 12175
Figure 126. Enriched GO derived from BETA analysis showing developmental pathways
Figure 127. Model depicting the molecular mechanism of action for GATA6 in the
formation of the DE

List of Tables

Table 1. Stages of human pancreas development and their respective Carnegie Sta	ages
(CS)	3
Table 2. Comparison of definitive endoderm differentiation protocols for hPSCs	16
Table 3. Comparison of pancreatic progenitor differentiation protocols for hPSCs	17
Table 4. Volume of E8 used in splitting and maintenance of cells	38
Table 5. Splitting ratio of cells	38
Table 6. MEF media formulation	39
Table 7. Volume of MEF media used for coating	39
Table 8. CDM-PVA formulation	41
Table 9. Volume of media used for DE differentiation	41
Table 10. Lab DE differentiation protocol	41
Table 11. RPMI-B27 formulation	41
Table 12. ADV-BSA formulation	43
Table 13. Pancreatic differentiation protocol	43
Table 14. Volume of media used for pancreatic differentiation	43
Table 15. Hepatic differentiation protocol	45
Table 16. Hepatozyme formulation	45
Table 17. Two selected TALEN target sites for GATA6	47
Table 18. Plasmids used and their corresponding RVDs	48
Table 19. TALEN 1 repeat array assembly via three step PCR method	48
Table 20. TALEN 2 repeat array assembly via three step PCR method	50
Table 21. Primers used to assemble TALEN repeat arrays	53
Table 22. TALE repeat arrays and their corresponding vector backbone	53
Table 23. Primers used for sequencing TALEN assembly	54
Table 24. Antibiotic resistance gene specific to each TALEN arm	55
Table 25. Primers used to amplify TALEN arms	55
Table 26. Parameters for PCR cycling reaction to amplify TALEN arms	55
Table 27. Primers used for sequencing TALEN constructs	55
Table 28. Primers used to construct the donor plasmid	56

Table 29.	Primers used for sequencing donor plasmid5	6
Table 30.	Electroporation of TALENs into hPSCs5	8
Table 31.	50X detergent mix formulation	59
Table 32.	Proteinase K reaction mix formulation5	59
Table 33.	Parameters for PCR cycling conditions for genomic DNA extraction5	59
Table 34.	Volume of reagents in genomic PCR reaction	59
Table 35.	Parameters for PCR to amplify TALEN 1 and 2 genomic regions	59
Table 36.	Primers used to amplify TALEN 1 and 2 genomic regions	60
Table 37.	Electroporation of TALENs with donor plasmid into hPSCs	51
Table 38.	Volume of reagents in PCR reaction to check for HR6	51
Table 39.	Parameters for PCR cycling reaction to check for HR	52
Table 40.	Lysis Buffer formulation6	53
Table 41.	Lysis Buffer with protease inhibitors formulation6	54
Table 42.	Antibodies used in western blot experiments6	55
Table 43.	Antibodies used in immunocytochemistry experiments6	57
Table 44.	Antibodies used in FACS experiments6	59
Table 45.	Reagents to denature RNA and primer	70
Table 46.	Reagents for reverse transcription of RNA	71
Table 47.	Reagents for qRT-PCR	71
Table 48.	Parameters for qRT-PCR cycling conditions	72
Table 49.	Primers used in qRT-PCR7	72
Table 50.	Primers used in ChIP qPCR7	79
Table 51.	Summary of selected H9 and FSPS13.B mutants generated via NHEJ pathware	У
	11	L2
Table 52.	Summary of selected H9 and FSPS13.B mutants generated via HR pathway	
	11	16