# Chapter 6

# The identification and analysis of

# single nucleotide polymorphisms

6.1	Introduction
6.2	Gene Annotation
6.3	Identifying SNPs within Gene Families
6.4	Primer Design
6.5	DNA screening
6.6	Sequence Generation and Assembly
6.7	Exon coverage of sequence contigs
	6.7.1 Validation and localisation of known SNPs
	6.7.2 Identification of novel SNPs
6.8	SNP Analysis
	6.8.1 Validating SNPs within highly homologous genes
	6.8.2 Validating SNPs
	6.8.2.1 Known
	6.8.2.2 Novel
	6.8.2.3 Suspect candidate SNPs
	6.8.2.4 Rejected candidate SNPs
	6.8.3 Effect of Sequence variation upon gene structure

6.9 Discussion

# 6.1 Introduction

Genetic variation, locus specific differences in sequence between individuals, has arisen within the human population primarily through unique mutational events some time in the past. The genetic variants (polymorphisms) in present-day chromosomes reflect these historical mutational events, and analysis of them can therefore be used as a means of determining evolutionary relationships between populations and individuals.

Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are the most common form of genetic variation within the human genome. On this basis, comparison of any two human genomic sequences would identify approximately 2.5 million single base pair variations, at a frequency of 1 SNP every 1.25 kb (Li *et al.*, 1991, ISNPMG, 2001). A subset of these variants will cause an alteration in an encoded function, for example with respect to transcription, translation, or the structure or catalysis of a protein. More over, any of these functional changes which are deleterious to health represent a genetic contribution to a human disease state. These functional variants, and other polymorphisms which are linked to them, can be investigated to characterise genetic contributions to human disease. From a disease perspective, the sequence polymorphisms of an individual can contribute to the severity, age of onset and susceptibility to disease, or even the physiological response to the drug treatment.

The association between a polymorphism and a disease phenotype can be studied by direct or indirect approaches (reviewed by Collins, 1998). In the direct approach, a functional variant allele is tested directly for association with the phenotype under study, for example a case-control study. The frequency of a variant allele is compared in

#### Chapter Six

the case population and in an equivalent group of matched controls. A skew in the frequency of an allele is indicative of an association, which can then be confirmed by additional population genetic studies and functional studies. This approach depends on prior identification of putative functional variants; given the range and possible low frequency of many of these, a systematic study is required to provide the candidate functional variants for such studies. The alternative, indirect approach is carried out by testing variants (usually SNPs) selected by genomic position, for association with the phenotype in the association study. This approach depends on adequate association (i.e. linkage disequilibrium) between the SNP(s) used in the test, and the unknown functional variant, which is likely to be nearby in the genome. An association detected by the indirect approach therefore results in identification of candidate regions within the genome to target the search for the functional variant(s) which then require confirmation in the same way described above.

While large-scale studies will lead to a well-characterised panel of SNPs for genomewide indirect association studies to search for new genes and variants involved in human disease, a more targeted small scale approach can be carried out by selecting candidate genes for SNP discovery and association studies. The identification of SNPs within coding sequence (cSNPs) in genes of medical importance, and associating coding and structural changes with gene function, will be essential to our understanding and treatment of human disease. Additional studies of gene regulatory regions may also be required to find other functional variants which alter transcriptional processes, but search for cSNPs represents a valuable first step in targeted SNP searches in candidate genes. This chapter outlines an approach to the identification of cSNPs within a family of genes localised to 1pcen – 1p13 (GSTM1-5) which has inferred association with

increased cancer susceptibility. Seven other genes of medical interest, the majority of which are involved in drug metabolism, are also included in this investigation. Diseases associated with genetic variation within these additional loci include gastric (Tsukino *et al.*, 2002) and lung (Nakachi *et al.*, 1991) cancer as well as susceptibility to treatment by chemotherapy (Allan *et al.*, 2001).

## 6.2 Gene Annotation

Correct annotation of coding features in their genomic context is central to the accurate design of primers for the identification of single nucleotide polymorphisms that are located within genes. The genes, GSTM 1 - 5, localised to chromosome 1p13 by mRNA / EST BLAST alignment and were annotated during the course of the full genic characterisation of 1pc – 1p13, as described in the previous chapter. The remaining genes considered in this study were either previously annotated as part of a chromosome specific sequencing project, GSTT1 on chromosome 22 (by others), or by *de novo* annotation of clone based sequence within a project specific ACeDB database. These genes, GSTP1, CYP1A1, CYP1A2, CYP2A6, CYP3A4 and NFE2L2, were localised to chromosomes 11, 15, 15, 19, 7 and 2, respectively, by BLAST alignment and the full length structure is manually annotated within clone based sequence.

## 6.3 Identifying SNPs within Gene Families

To date, 69% (19626/28574) of known and predicted proteins show homology to existing proteins within Interpro (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/proteome/), suggesting a large

proportion of genes in the human genome are members of a gene family. It is therefore an important consideration when designing primers to identify cSNPs that the assay will identify SNPs from the correct gene and not from a closely related family member. GSTM 1-5 were used as a model to assess the difficulties of designing and generating sequence from exon specific primers from closely related genes.

Glutathione S-transferases are a functionally diverse multi-gene family of soluble enzymes which are involved in the detoxification of a wide variety of chemicals via conjugation and reduction of glutathione (Booth *et al.*, 1961, Mannervik and Danielson, 1988). Mammalian GSTs are divided into five main classes, alpha (A), mu (M), pi (P), theta (T) and Zeta (Z) and exist as dimeric proteins, with only subunits within the same class forming homodimers. In general, members of the same class share more that 40 -50% sequence identity but less than 25-30% sequence identity with GSTs in other classes (Hayes and Pulford, 1995). Within the GSTM family, four of the five members (GSTM1, 2, 4 and 5) share a > 70% genomic sequence homology whilst the fifth gene, GSTM3, which is transcribed in the opposite direction, shares a low genomic sequence homology but a high percentage identity with the other four genes at the coding nucleotide (>77%) and amino acid level (>78%). All five genes are evenly distributed within a 90kb interval spanned by one PAC clone (dJ735C1) and two previously sequenced cosmids ctgm1, (AC000031) and cgtm12 (AC000032) (Xu et al., 1998), as displayed in figure 6.1a. The structure of all five genes is assumed to be complete as polyA signals were identified within all genes, whilst a CpG islands have been localised to the 5' end of GSTM4 and GSTM3. The consensus GSTM gene structure contains 8 exons, the majority of which are identical in size between family members. The intron size is also conserved with introns 3, 5 and 7, showing most variation (figure 6.1b).



<b>b</b> )			M4	<b>M2</b>	<b>M1</b>	M5	-M3
		3'UTR	263	14	51	94	171
	$\overline{}$	Exon1	36	36	36	36	48
	$\rightarrow$	Intron1	287	273	260	269	331
		Exon2	76	76	76	76	76
	$\rangle$	Intron2	427	424	427	425	339
		Exon3	65	65	65	65	65
	$\overline{}$	I	210	200	210	200	10(2
	7	Intron3	310	300	310	300	1062
	É	Exon4	82	82	82	82	82
		Intron4	100	99	95	95	93
		Exon5	101	101	101	101	101
		Intron5	941	1742	945	1185	339
	/						
	/						
		Exon6	96	96	96	96	96
	$\geq$	Intron6	90	90	87	87	88
		Exon7	111	111	111	111	111
	}	Intron7	2054	3163	2641	2096	287
		Evon8	90	90	90	90	99
		3'UTR	536	540	539	930	534
		2 011	220	210		,,,,,	55.

Figure 6.1: An ACeDB display of GSTM 1 – 5 and a generic GSTM gene structure.
a) The annotated structures of GSTM 1 – 5 contained within two overlapping cosmids (AC000031 and AC000032) and one PAC (dJ735C1) clone. Supporting EST and mRNA sequence, in addition to poly A signals, sites and CpG islands are also shown.
b) A generic GSTM gene structure with base pair sizes of exons and introns. Red boxes and green boxes represent untranslated and translated sequence, respectively.

To facilitate the identification of primer pairs that would uniquely flank GSTM exons the genomic sequence of each of the GSTM loci, including approximately 1000 bp preceding the 5' UTR and approximately 500 bp beyond the 3' UTR, was aligned. Sequence was exported from 1ace in Fasta format and analysed within ClustalW (Higgins *et al.*, 1994) prior to editing in GeneDoc (Nicholas *et al.*, 1997) (figure 6.2).

**Figure 6.2** (inserted at end of chapter 6): A genomic sequence alignment of GSTM 1 - 5: Bases that were in common between all 5 nucleotide sequences were coloured red, 4 sequences green, 3 sequences blue and 2 or less black. 5' and 3' untranslated regions have been shaded yellow whilst coding sequence has been shaded grey and the corresponding exon denoted. PolyA signals at the 3' and sites, where detected, were boxed in black and red, respectively. Coloured shading (yellow, orange, dark green, pink, blue and light green) indicate the positions where primers could be designed within the genomic sequence relative to coding features.

# 6.4 Primer Design

Attempts were made to design exon flanking primers for each of the 91 exons contained within the 12 target genes. Primers were designed as outlined in materials and methods (section 2.16.1) but with an optimal PCR product size of 600bp. In some instances, because of sequence and product size constraints, primer pairs were designed across multiple exons (e.g. GSTM4 exons 3/4 and 6/7) or overlapped to ensure complete exon coverage (e.g. NFE2L2 exon 5a, b), as listed in table 6.1. All 5' and 3' UTR primer pairs were designed to incorporate as much of the untranslated region as possible. Three exons did not have working assays associated with them; primers from exon 8 of GSTM1 and GSTM2 failed primer design due to the high percentage of sequence conservation within the introns of the two genes, whilst the sense primer of exon 2 from GSTM2 failed during primer synthesis due to the enforced high GC content (80%) of the primer.

 Table 6.1: A summary of the primers designed to the exons of 12 genes for the

detection of coding polymorphisms. EMBL accession number associated with the

primer pairs and estimated PCR product sizes are also listed.

Gene	Chr	Exon	stSG #	Size (bp)	Gene	Chr	Exon	stSG #	Size (bp)
GSTM4	1	e1	452701	528	CYP1A1	15	ela	452730	556
		e2	452702	724			e1b	452731	533
		e3/4	452703	566			e2/3/4	452732	564
		e5	452704	527			e5/6	452733	637
		e6/7	452705	701			3' UTRa	452734	620
		e8	452706	689			3' UTRb	452735	697
GSTM2	1	e1	452707	408	CYP1A2	15	ela	452736	700
		e2	452708	534			elb	452737	536
		e3/4	452709	719			e2/3	452738	753
		e5	452710	614			e4	452739	511
		e6/7	452711	508			e5	452740	484
		e8					e6	452741	464
GSTM1	1	e1	452712	448	CYP2A6	19	e1/2	452742	722
		e2	452713	535			e3/4	452743	626
		e3	452714	484			e5	452744	588
		e4/5	452715	468			e6	452745	404
		e6/7	452716	709			e7	452746	467
		e8					e8	452747	443
GSTM5	1	e1	452717	448			e9	452748	538
		e2	452718	548	CYP3A4	7	e1	452755	613
		e3/4	452719	878			e2	452756	519
		e5	452720	409			e3	452757	510
		e6/7	452721	663			e4	452758	549
		e8	452722	621			e5/6	452759	589
GSTM3	1	e1	452723	520			e7	452760	592
		e2/3	452724	622			e8	452761	476
		e4/5	452725	463			e9	452762	512
		e6/7	452726	567			e10	452763	523
		e8	452727	748			e11	452764	654
GSTP1	11	e1	158595	465			e12	452765	419
		e2	158596	384			e13a	452766	669
		e3	158597	385			e13b	452767	791
		e3/4	158591	399	NFE2L2	2	e1	452768	589
		e5	158592	399			e2	452769	419
	ļ	e6	158593	399			e3	452770	577
	ļ	e7	158594	498			e4	452771	527
GSTT1	22	e1	140015	348			e5a	452772	649
	ļ	e2	140017	351			e5b	452773	695
		e3	452728	276			3' UTR	452774	756
		e4	452729	406					
	1	e5	140020	659					

# 6.5 DNA screening

DNA from the Coriell CEPH/Utah reference family collection

(http://locus.umdnj.edu/ccr) was used as template for the generation of exon specific

PCR products. A summary of the 47 DNAs used, which represent the founders of the

collection, are listed in table 6.2. The pedigree from which one of the DNA originated,

CEPH/Utah pedigree 1333 (red underline), is shown in figure 6.3. All individuals used

in this study are Caucasian and of Northern European extraction.

**Table 6.2:** A summary of the CEPH/Utah DNA used for the generation of exon

 sequence. The maternal grandmother DNA listed in red was not used in this study.

	Paternal	Paternal	Maternal	Maternal
Family ID	Grandfather	Grandmother	Grandfather	Grandmother
1331	NA07007	NA07340	NA07016	NA07050
1333	NA07049	NA07002	NA07017	NA07341
1341	NA07034	NA07055	NA06993	NA06985
1346	NA12043	NA12044	NA12045	NA12046
1347	NA11879	NA11880	NA11881	NA11882
1362	NA11992	NA11993	NA11994	NA11995
1408	NA12154	NA12236	NA12155	NA12156
1416	NA12248	NA12249	NA12250	NA12251
1423	NA11917	NA11918	NA11919	NA11920
1334	NA12144	NA12145	NA12146	NA12239
1340	NA06994	NA07000	NA07022	NA07056
1420	NA12003	NA12004	NA12005	NA12006





**Figure 6.3:** A CEPH pedigree. Pedigree represents four of the DNAs used (red underlines) in the *de novo* generation of cSNPs from the CEPH/Utah family collection.

The plate format of the exon specific – CEPH DNA PCR reactions was designed so that all primer pairs were screened across four CEPH DNAs within one 384 well microtitre plate. An aliquot of PCR products from each well of the first, DNAs 1-4, and second plates, DNAs 5-8, were separated by gel electrophoresis to determine the success rate of PCR reactions prior to sequencing (by others). Figure 6.4 shows an example of the resultant PCR products separated by gel electrophoresis, generated at a Tm of 60°C from CEPH DNAs 5-8, of primer pairs designed to exons 1, 2, 3 and 4 of GSTM4. Product sizes of the three exon specific primers were shown to correspond with their estimated size from genomic sequence, 528 bp, 744 bp and 526 bp respectively, but variation in intensity and multiplicity of bands was observed.



**Figure 6.4:** Screening of CEPH DNAs with exon specific primer pairs designed to GSTM4. a) Exon specific primer pairs were designed to an annotated gene structure of GSTM4. b) Red arrows indicate the amplification of a 500 bp product from CEPH DNAs 5 - 8 from exons1, 2, 3 and 4 at  $60^{\circ}$ C. A size marker was included to size PCR products (kb).

Initial collation of PCR results from electrophoresis of the first two plates (CEPH DNAs 1-8) indicated that well locations C7 – G7 from the primer microtitre plate (exon 5 – GSTP1, 3' UTRa – CYP1A1, exon 7 – CYP2A6, exon 4 – CYP3A4 and exon 3 – NFE2L2, respectively) consistently failed to generate a PCR product. This error was systematic (caused by blocked pins on a liquid handling robot and not through any primer design or DNA template problem) and the missing PCR reactions were repeated. In this instance, each of the 47 CEPH DNAs was tested with the missing primer pairs, in addition to two working GSTM1 primers. Repetition of 158592, 452734, 452746, 452758 and 452770 resulted in three of the five PCR reactions yielding strong single bands following electrophoresis, whilst 158592 generated multiple bands and 452734 failed to generate any product. Products from the repeated round of PCR reactions were sequenced (by others) with those generated from the initial experiment (figure 6.5a).

GSTM1 is known to be a null allele within 50% of the population (Board *et al.*, 1990); therefore parallel generation of PCR products from all DNAs would permit an estimation of the percentage of CEPH individuals that miss both copies of the gene. Whilst the intensity of the PCR products when assessed by agarose gel electrophoresis was faint (figure 6.5b), a reproducible relationship could be observed between the DNAs that produced a band from exon 3 and exon 4 / 5 specific primers, thereby confirming the presence of the gene in these individuals. Eighteen of the forty seven DNAs (38%) generated a PCR product for the aforementioned primers whilst a further two DNAs yielded products for one of the primer pairs. The lower observed occurrence of null alleles within the sample set (38% observed compared to 50% expected) may be due to a population bias for the occurrence of the null status of the gene within the

#### Chapter Six

Northern European Caucasian population or may be due to the failure of the faint PCR products to be detected from the electrophoresis gels.

To investigate whether increasing the annealing temperature of primers during the PCR reaction would obviate the production of multiple products, six pairs of primers which generated multiple bands in the first experiment (158592, 452712, 452717, 452731, 452764 and 452767) were tested across each of the 47 CEPH DNAs at 65°C. Two of the six re-tested primer pairs yielded a strong single band following electrophoresis, whilst the remainder failed to generate any product. One of the two successful primer pairs that worked at the more stringent annealing temperature, 453731 covering the 3' end of CYP1A1 exon one, is shown in figure 6.5c. The use of a higher annealing temperatures in PCR reactions as a 'clean-up step' has subsequently been adopted by the Sanger Institute project involved with the large scale production of exon specific sequences.

**Figure 6.5** (see over): PCR products from exon primers using CEPH DNA as template. a) PCR primers from exon 4 of CYP3A4 (452758) and exon 3 of NFE2L2 (452770) screened across 47 CEPH DNAs at an annealing temperature of  $60^{\circ}$ C. Included are size markers (kb), expected PCR product sizes (black arrows) and negative controls (T<sub>0.1</sub>E). DNAs for which no PCR product was generated at boxed in red. b) PCR products generated by primers designed to exons 3 and 4 / 5 and screened across 47 CEPH DNAs generated at an anneling temperature of  $60^{\circ}$ C. Dotted grey lines join CEPH DNAs that are thought to contain the GSTM1 gene. DNAs for which no PCR product was generated at boxed in red. c1) Initial screening of CEPH DNAs produces multiple bands at  $60^{\circ}$ C (red box). Increasing the stringency of primer annealing temperature to  $65^{\circ}$ C c2) results in the generation of a single PCR product (black arrows).



Analysis of the PCR reactions from the 77 primer pairs, covering 91 coding exons of the 12 target genes listed in table 6.3, revealed that 71 (92%) of primers generated bands from at least one of the eight CEPH DNAs when products were separated by gel electrophoresis. The number of working PCR assays was further reduced when primers that produced multiple bands, without the presence of a single band in one of the eight DNAs, were subtracted. These 62 assays (81% of the total) contained two primer pairs (CYP1A1 – exon 1b and GSTM5 – exon 1) for which the products were generated at a primer annealing temperature of  $65^{\circ}$ C.

**Table 6.3:** A summary of exon specific PCR reaction results using CEPH DNAs 1 - 8 as a template. GSTM1 – 5 coloured shading corresponding to primers in figure 6.2, the presence 'Y' (yellow shaded if there were multiple bands) or absence 'N' of PCR products upon gel electrophoresis is listed under the CEPH DNA columns. Pink shading indicates products generated at an annealing temperature of 65°C. Grey box, CEPH DNA 3, indicates possible degradation due to high failure rate.

CEPH DNAs												CE	PH	DN	As				
Target	Exon	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Target	Exon	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
GSTM4	e1	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	CYP1A1	ela	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
	e2	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		e1b	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
	e3/4	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		e2/3/4	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
	e5	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y		e5/6	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν
	e6/7	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		3' UTRa	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν
	e8	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		3' UTRb	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
GSTM2	e1	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	CYP1A2	ela	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
	e2										e1b	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
	e3/4	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		e2/3	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
	e5	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν		e4	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Ν
	e6/7	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		e5	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
	e8										e6	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
GSTM1	e1	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	CYP2A6	e1/2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

	2	v	NT	NT	NT	V	NT	V	NT		2/4	v	v	NT	v	V	v	v	v
	e2	Y V	IN NT	IN NT	IN NT	Y NT	IN NT	Y V	IN NT		e5/4	Y	Y V	IN NT	Y V	Y V	Y V	Y V	Y V
	e5	Y V	IN N	IN N	IN N	IN N	IN N	Y V	IN N		e5	Y V	Y V	N V	Y V	Y V	Y V	Y V	Y V
	e4/5	Y V	IN N	IN N	IN N	IN N	IN N	Y V	IN N		27		Y V	Y V	Y V	Y V	Y V	Y V	Y V
	e0/ /	1	IN	IN	IN	IN	IN	I	IN		08	I V	I V	I N	I V	I V	I V	I V	I V
	60										20	I V	I V	IN V	I V	I V	I V	I V	I V
GSTM5	<u>1</u>	N	N	V	V	N	N	V	V		69	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
UST MIS	e?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	СУРЗАА	e1	Ν	N	N	N	N	N	N	Ν
	e3/4	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	CIIONA	e?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
	e5	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	N		e3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
	e6/7	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		e4	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
	e8	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		e5/6	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
											e7	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
GSTM3	e1	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		e8	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
	e2/3	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		e9	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
	e4/5	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν		e10	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Ν
	e6/7	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		e11	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	N	Ν
	e8	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		e12	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y
											e13a	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
GSTP1	e1	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν		e13b	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y
	e2	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y										
	e3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	NFE2L2	e1	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Y
	e3/4	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν		e2	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
	e5	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Ν		e3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
	e6	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y		e4	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
	e7	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		e5a	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
											e5b	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
GSTT1	e1	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y		3' UTR	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
	e2	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y										
	e3	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Y										
	e4	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y	]									
	e5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y	_									

# 6.6 Sequence generation and assembly

Dye-terminator sequencing, using exon specific primers and PCR products is described in section 6.5, was carried out by Sarah Lindsay and the high throughput sequencing facility at the Sanger Institute. PCR products were sequenced and then run on ABI 3700 and 3730 sequencing machines. Bases within sequence reads were 'called' using Phred (Ewing *et al.*, 1998) and assembled within Phrap (Ewing *et al.*, 1998) utilising, amongst other criteria, Phred assigned base-quality values (Q-values). Sequence reads were assembled and imported into gene specific directories by Sarah Hunt. Vector masked and quality clipped sequences reads, together with the consensus sequence, were viewed within gene specific Gap4 (Bonfield *et al.*, 1995) databases.

Genomic coverage of the assembled exon specific sequence contigs was determined within the respective ACeDB databases by exact alignment of 10 - 15 good quality bases (light sequences within the assembled contig, figure 6.6) from either end of a sequence assembly. The number of reads contained within an assembly was determined to be the total number of reads contained within a sequence contig.

ContigE	ditor:-10Sin	non	1sa7.w2	!k															민
< C: 2	> <(	ລ: 🛛	) >		Cutoffs	Next	Search	Comman	ıds >>	Settings	>>						Quit	Help >	»>
<د	< >		>>																
$\triangleleft$			$\geq$	-	360		370	38	80	390		400	•	410		420		430	$\Delta$
-10	Simon1s	a7	.w2k	CC	CAGCAT	0000	TTCCCA	ATAAGCA	AGAG	CAGAGAG	ga <mark>g</mark> a	CCGGGC	ACTC						
-77	Simon1s	n9	.w2k	CC	CAGCAT	0000	TTCCC	ataagca	AGAG	CAGAGAG	GAGA	CCGGGC	ACT						
-22	Simon1s	о9	.w2k	CC	CAGCAT	0000	TTCCC	ATAAGCA	AGAG	CAGAGAG	GAGA	CCGGGC	ACTC						
-18	Simon1s	m9	.w2k	CC	CAGCAT	0000°	TTCCC	ATAAGCA	iagagi	CAGCGAG	GAGA	CCGGGC	ACTC						
-182	Simon1s	18	.w2k	CC	CAGCAT	0000	TTCCCC	ATAAGCA	AGAG	CAGAGAG	GAGA	CCGGGC	ACTC						
377	Simon1a	f9	.w2k	CC	CAGCAT	0000	TTCCC	ATAAGCA	iagagi	CAGAGAG	GAG <mark>A</mark>	CCGGGC	ACTCF	ACTGTGCC	CTGCTC	ATGG	G*AGG	actg	
378	Simon1a	n9	.w2k	CC	CAGCAT	0000	TTCCC	ATAAGCA	AGAG	CAGAAAG	GAGA	CCGGGC	ACTCF	ACTGTGCC	CTGGGG	GEGG	•*GGG	actg	
-74	Simon1s	17	.w2k	CC	CAGCAT	0000	TTCCC	ataagce	iagag	CAGGAG	GAGA	CCGGGGC	ACT						
371	Simon1a	n7	.w2k	CC	CAGCAT	0000	TTCCC	ATAAGCA	agag	Cagagag	ga <mark>a</mark> a	CCGGGC	ACTCF	ACTGT <mark>GC(</mark>	igggto	*TGG(	C*G <mark>G</mark> G	actg	
-76	Simon1s	f9	.w2k	CC	CAGCAT	00 <mark>00</mark>	TTCCC	ataagce	iagagi	CAGAGAG	GAGA	ICCGGG							
-71	Simon1s	n7	.w2k	CC	CAGCAT	0000	TTCCC	ATAAGCA	iagagi	CAGAGAG	GAGA	CCGGGC	ACT						
311	Simon1a	с9	.w2k	CC	CAGCAT	0000	TTCCC	ATAAGCA	AGAG	CAGAAAG	GAGA	CCGGGC	ACTCF	actgtgc	CTGCTC	GTGG	i*GGG	actg	
304	Simon1a	a7	.w2k	CC	CAGCAT	0000	TTCCC	ATAAGCA	AGAG	CAGAGAG	G <mark>ag</mark> a	CCGGGC	ACTCA	ACTGTGCC	CTGC <mark>G</mark> C	GTGGG	C×GGG	ACTG	
308	Simon1a	k7	.w2k	CC	CAGCAT	0000	TTCCC	ATAAGCA	AGAG	CAGAGAG	GAGA	CCGGGC	ACTCA	ACTGTGCO	CTGC <mark>GC</mark>	GTGGG	C*G <mark>GG</mark>	actg	
381	Simon1a	h9	.w2k	CC	CAGCAT	0000	TTCCC	ATAAGCA	iagagi	CAGAGAG	GAGA	CCGGGC	ACTCA	ACTGTGCC	GCGG	CAGG	GGAGG	ACTG	
310	Simon1a	m9	.w2k	CC	CAGCAT	0000	TTCCC	ata <mark>aa</mark> ca	IAGAG	CAGEGAG	GAGA	CCGGGC	ACTCF	ACTGTGCC	CTGC <mark>G</mark> C	*TGG(	C <mark>a</mark> gg	*CTG	
376	Simon1a	<u>b</u> 9	.w2k	CC	GAGCAT	0000	TTCCC	ATAAGCA	AGAG	CAGAGAG	G <mark>ag</mark> a	CCGGGC	ACTCA	ACTGTGCO	CTGCTC	ATGG	C* <mark>ac</mark> g	ACTG	
	CONSENS	US	-%%-	CC	CAGCAT	0000	TTCCC	ATAAGCA	AGAG	Cag <mark>a</mark> gag	GAGA	CCGGGC	ACTCA	ACTGTGCO	CTGCTC	GTGGG	C*GGG	ACTG	$\overline{\mathbf{Z}}$
Base conf	idence:99 (	Pro	bability	0.0	00000) I	ositio	on 387						<u> </u>						

**Figure 6.6:** Assembly of *de novo* exon specific sequences shown in Gap4. Red brackets indicate the consensus sequence that was used to determine the extent of sequence coverage by alignment within 1ace.

# 6.7 Exon coverage of sequence contigs

A minimum of ten good quality sequence reads, including both sense and anti-sense reads, was used as the criteria for determining whether *de novo* sequence had successfully been generated to identify known and novel SNPs within an exon. Fifty six of the 77 initial primer pairs (73%) provided data which could be assembled into sequence contigs using the above criteria. This represents 79% of primer pairs excluding those for which PCR assays failed to produce a product. These 56 sequence contigs account for 67 of the 91 exons (74%) from the 12 target genes. Sequences derived from 17 (30%) of the PCRs were assembled separately as groups of sense or anti-sense reads. These were paired up ad counted as a single contig when calculating coverage figures.





**Figure 6.7:** A summary representation of the *de novo* sequence coverage of exons from the target genes. Clear and grey boxes represent *de novo* sequence coverage of exons and untranslated region, respectively (not drawn to scale); exons and UTR without sequence coverage are red and dark red, respectively. Double headed arrows indicate sequence from both primer pairs assembled into one contig (number indicates the total number of reads assembled); single headed arrows specify assembly of sequence from one primer; double lined arrows are where four primers have been assembled. Black vertical lines represent known SNPs not covered by sequence coverage; black dotted vertical lines indicate where the flanking sequence from the known SNP did not align to genomic sequence; red vertical lines are known SNPs not identified by this study; vertical red dotted lines are known SNPs with multiple loci within genomic sequence (red and blue diamonds denote where extended genomic flanking sequence alignment was required to localise the SNP); green vertical lines are known SNPs identified here.

#### 6.7.1 Validation and localisation of known SNPs

One hundred and three (35%) of the two hundred and ninety one known SNPs, which are derived from dbSNP (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/SNP/index.html URL), and localised to the 12 genes of interest within Ensembl (http://www.ensembl.org/), are covered by the *de novo* sequence contigs (figure 6.8a). To assess whether these SNPs were present within annotated gene structures the known SNPs, and their flanking sequence, were aligned to the genomic sequence within respective ACeDB databases. This alignment identified 17 SNPs which are primarily found within GSTM4, but also localised to multiple genes and 8 SNPs for which the submitted sequence could not be found when carrying out the alignment using 15-20 bp either side of the SNP, including each allele in the search.

The remaining 76 known SNPs were localised along with their flanking sequence within the *de novo* sequence contigs by sequence matching within respective Gap4 databases. Each read of the assembled sequence contigs were then checked manually for the presence or absence of the relevant SNP allele, in either homozygous or heterozygous form (figure 6.8c). An important consideration when identifying known SNPs within the sequence assembly was the orientation of the sequence contig relative to the forward or reverse read data associated with the submitted SNP. The majority, 59 (78%), of the 76 uniquely localised known SNPs were not found within the CEPH DNAs tested here. Of the remaining 17 SNPs (22%), 8 were determined to be located within coding sequence, and 9 were located within non-coding sequence.



Figure 6.8: Identification of a known SNP which is within intron 3 of GSTM1.

Represented is the known SNP within Ensembl, a), the specific nucleotide position within a sequence assembly displayed in a Gap4 database, b), and the homozygous sequence reads for each allele.

#### 6.7.2 Identification of novel SNPs

To more readily identify novel SNPs within assembled Gap4 sequence contigs the quality value of the reads was converted into grey-scale and the ambiguous base calls, and potential novel SNPs, were coloured blue (figure 6.8b). Ambiguous bases were then checked manually within all traces. A putative novel SNP was identified when the base containing the homozygous minor allele had a quality value of 30 (Q-30) or the heterozygote, containing both alleles, was surrounded by bases with > Q-30 quality values. Nine novel SNPs, three of which were located within coding sequence, were identified within the 12 target genes. Additional support for a novel SNP was obtained by checking the reverse read for each read pair. A breakdown of known and novel SNP numbers are listed in table 4 whilst a summary of the placement of all SNPs within annotated gene structures can be found in figure 6.7.

	Known	With Seq	Uniquely	Align to	Not				
Gene	SNPs	Coverage	Assigned	Genomic	Observed	Observed	Coding	Novel	Coding
GSTM4	32	23	8	7	5	2	1	0	-
GSTM2	43	8	7	7	5	2	-	1	-
GSTM1	26	7	5	3	-	3	1	1	-
GSTM5	12	4	4	3	2	1	-	1	1
GSTM3	7	5	4	4	3	1	1	1	-
GSTP1	13	3	3	3	1	2	1	0	
GSTT1	16	7	7	7	5	2	1	2	2
CYP1A1	13	7	7	7	7	0	-	0	-
CYP1A2	9	1	1	1	1	0	-	0	-
CYP2A6	54	23	23	19	16	3	3	2	-
CYP3A4	47	11	11	11	11	0	-	1	-
NFE2L2	19	4	4	4	3	1	-	0	-
TOTAL	291	103	84	76	59	17	8	9	3

Table 6.4: A summary of the known and novel SNPs associated with 12 target genes.

# 6.8 SNP Analysis

Under conditions of random mutation it is expected that half as many nucleotide transitions occur (purine  $\rightarrow$  purine or pyrimidine  $\rightarrow$  pyrimidine) as transversions (pyrimidine  $\rightarrow$  purine), table 6.5 (Dawson *et al.*, 2001). In addition, as the strand on which the change has occurred is unknown, no distinction can be made between A $\leftrightarrow$ G and C $\leftrightarrow$ T and between C $\leftrightarrow$ A and G $\leftrightarrow$ T. Analysis of 28 SNPs contained within this data set revealed that 19 transitions (68%) occur approximately twice as often as 9 transversions (32%) (table 6.6). The observed contradiction to the expected frequency has previously been reported (Horton *et al.*, 1998; Dawson *et al.*, 2001; Halushka *et al.*, 1999, Deutsch *et al.*, 2001). A possible reason for the occurrence of an increased number of C $\leftrightarrow$ T (G $\leftrightarrow$ A) transversions may be due to the deamination of 5methylcytosine that occurs frequently at CpG dinucleotides.

**Table 6.5:** Expected occurrence of transitions and transversions in genomic sequence.

	Α	Т	С	G
А	-			
Т	Transversion	-		
С	Transversion	Transition	-	
G	Transition	Transversion	Transversion	-

**Table 6.6:** The observed number of transitions and transversions of known and novel

 SNPs within the 12 target genes.

Variation	Number	Percentage
$C {\leftrightarrow} T (G {\leftrightarrow} A)$	19	68.00%
Transitions	19	68.00%
$C \leftrightarrow A (T \leftrightarrow G)$	6	21.00%
C↔G	3	11.00%
A↔T	-	-
Transversions	9	32.00%

Of the 28 detected novel and known SNPs, 12 were localised to introns, 5 to 3' untranslated regions, whilst 11 occurred within exons (table 6.7). Seven of the 11 coding SNPs resulted in synonymous changes, i.e. maintaining amino acid type by codon usage, whilst the remaining four SNPs resulted in an amino acid change. Each of the four amino acid changes were caused by substitutions in the first base of the codon, two of which resulted in a conservative change (maintaining a similar amino acid type, for example valine to an isoleucine change maintains an aliphatic, hydrophobic amino acid) and the remaining two changes were non-conservative changes.

Gene	Exon	Position	Allele change	Codon	change	Encoded	a. a.	Synon	Cons
GSTM4	7	78	$C \leftrightarrow T$	TTT	↔ TTC	phe	$\leftrightarrow$ phe	yes	-
GSTM1	7	72	$C \leftrightarrow T$	GAC	$\leftrightarrow$ GAT	asp	$\leftrightarrow$ asp	yes	-
GSTM5	6	22	$T \leftrightarrow C$	TTG	↔ CTG	leu	$\leftrightarrow$ leu	yes	-
GSTM3	8	91	$G \leftrightarrow A$	GTA	$\leftrightarrow \text{ATA}$	val	↔ iso	no	yes
GSTT1	1	13	$C  \leftrightarrow T$	CTG	$\leftrightarrow$ TTG	leu	$\leftrightarrow$ leu	yes	-
GSTT1	3	110	$A \leftrightarrow C$	ACG	$\leftrightarrow$ CCG	thr	↔ pro	no	no
GSTT1	4	155	$G \leftrightarrow A$	GTA	$\leftrightarrow$ ATA	val	↔ iso	no	yes
GSTP1	7	111	$A \leftrightarrow C$	AGT	$\leftrightarrow ACT$	ser	$\leftrightarrow$ ser	yes	-
CYP2A6	2	37	$T  \leftrightarrow C$	TTG	$\leftrightarrow$ CTG	leu	$\leftrightarrow$ leu	yes	-
CYP2A6	5	117	$C \leftrightarrow T$	CGC	↔ CGT	arg	$\leftrightarrow$ arg	yes	-
CYP2A6	6	100	$C \leftrightarrow T$	CGC	↔ TGC	arg	$\leftrightarrow$ cys	no	no

Table 6.7: Exonic SNP analysis.

#### 6.8.1 Validating SNPs within highly homologous genes

One of the difficulties encountered whilst identifying valid SNPs associated with GSTM 1- 5 paralogues was to determine whether a SNP was valid (i.e. two allelic variants at the same locus) or merely the alignment of two locus specific base pair variants, one from each of two closely related gene family members. A comparison between the known SNPs localised to GSTM 1 - 5 and the number which were uniquely placed (columns 2 and 4 in table 6.4) indicates the scale of the problem that was encountered, i.e. 65% of GSTM1 SNPs that had *de novo* sequence coverage localised to other GSTM loci. Three criteria were used to assist with the elucidation of a valid candidate SNP from locus specific variants of paralogous genes; 1) the SNP must be found within *de novo* sequence traces, 2) it must be in Hardy-Weinberg (H-W) equilibrium (i.e. allele 1 = p and allele 2 = q,  $p^2 + 2pq + q^2 = 1$ ) and 3) the alternative allele should not be present as a base variant in a paralogous gene. Valid SNPs were divided into 'known', i.e. those that have a dbSNP entry, and 'novel', i.e. those identified in this study. 'Suspect candidate' SNPs fulfilled criteria 1) and 2) but the alternate SNP allele was be present within a homologous gene. 'Rejected candidates' are not observed in sequence traces (due to BLAST alignment of the flanking sequence of a SNP from a closely related gene family member), the alternative allele was present within paralogues and it was not in H-W equilibrium. The observed occurrence and genic location of known, novel, suspect and rejected GSTM 1 - 5 SNPs is shown in table 8.

Status	Exon	Intron	3' UTR	Total
Known	1	4	2	7
Novel	-	3	-	3
Suspect	3	1	-	4
Rejected	6	3	9	18

Table 6.8: Summary of categorised GSTM 1 – 5 SNPs.

#### 6.8.2 Validating SNPs

#### 6.8.2.1 Known SNPs

The presence of a valid known SNP was easily detected once its location within the *de novo* sequence contig had been identified using flanking sequence matches within the respective Gap4 database. The alternative minor allele, even when present as a heterozygote, was clearly discernable within good quality sequence. An addition example of a known SNP, dbSNP: 737497, is shown in figure 6.9. The SNP was found within the *de novo* sequence as a homozygote in major and minor alleles and localises to intron 3 within GSTM1. The T233C SNP was submitted to dbSNP by The SNP Consortium (TSC). The orientation of the flanking sequence had been designated as a forward read within dbSNP. However, integration of the cosmids (from which the SNP was derived) within the minimum tile path (chapter 4) indicated the submission, and therefore the SNP alleles, was in the wrong orientation. The allele frequency of the major and minor alleles, with Q-values >30, was 0.75 and 0.25, respectively, from 24 chromosomes sampled. The SNP was also in H-W equilibrium.



**Figure 6.9:** The identification of a known T/C SNP, dbSNP: 737497. a) Assembled GAP4 traces used to identify this SNP are displayed in the reverse orientation. The top trace is the homozygous major allele and the bottom trace is the homozygous minor allele. b) Q-values associated with the SNP nucleotides.

#### 6.8.2.2 Novel SNPs

Novel SNPs were validated using support from the base quality values, either of the homozygote minor allele or of the surrounding bases for a heterozygote allele. Where possible, SNPs were verified within reverse reads. All novel SNPs that were identified as part of this study were in HW equilibrium. Figure 6.10 is an example of a novel A152C SNP localised to intron 5 of GSTM2 and identified in the opposite orientation. Allele frequencies of the major (0.96) and minor (0.4) alleles were determined from a sample of 48 chromosomes.



**Figure 6.10:** A novel A/C SNP identified within intron 3 of GSTM2. a) Assembled Gap4 traces for the homozygous major allele, top trace, and the heterozygous minor allele, bottom trace (in the reverse orientation). b) Assembled traces (from 6.10a) with associated Q.

#### 6.8.2.3 Suspect candidate SNPs

Within Ensembl, dbSNP entries 1056806 and 506008 were localised to exon 7 of GSTM1 and 4 in positions 72 and 78, respectively. These two SNPs were also localised within GSTM1 and GSTM4 in dbSNP. Alignment of the SNPs within the coding sequence, figure 6.11, revealed that the minor allele, T at position 72 and C at position 78, was present within other gene family members. Therefore, it was uncertain if these

suspect SNPs were valid, or were incorrectly aligned to the genomic sequence. Errors associated with SNPs that have been identified by sequence based detection may arise from; re-sequencing without careful selection of primers; lesser quality shotgun sequencing and subsequent alignment of the genomic read; the alignment of the SNP derived reference sequence to more than one genomic position. The positions of dbSNP:1056806 and dbSNP:506008 were localised within the assembled sequence contigs of exon 7 in GSTM1 and GSTM4. Analysis of the sequence traces at positions 72 and 78 revealed that major and minor alleles could be identified therefore satisfying criteria 1), however detailed analysis of the flanking sequence for each SNP uniquely assigned 1056806 to GSTM1 and 506008 to GSTM4. Having determined that, within the data set described here, the two SNPs belonged to different genes, the allele frequencies and H-W equilibrium were calculated (therefore satisfying criteria 2). The allele frequencies of dbSNP:1056806 were 0.58 and 0.42, whilst the frequencies of dbSNP:506008 were 0.85 and 0.15. Both loci were determined to be in H-W equilibrium.

Having proven, within this data set, the SNPs were locus specific, there remains the possibility the minor allele may have arisen from the production of sequence from a mispriming event of a gene family member. Whilst there is a high degree of sequence conservation within exon 7 there are single base variants between loci which should be present in the sequence traces if the primers had misprimed. The bases of the alternative alleles, located at positions 28, 68, 80 and 85 of figure 6.11, should be present as heterozygous alleles in all traces. Analysis of the sequence failed to show any bi-allelic reads at these positions therefore supporting the unique assignment of the two submitted SNPs

		*	<sub>20</sub> Exor	n1 *	40	*	60	*
GSTM1	:	ATGC	CATGATAC'	TGGGGTACT	GGGACATCCGC	GGGCTGGCCC	ACGCCATCCGCCT	GC
GSTM5	:	ATGC(	CATGACTC'	<b>FGGGGTACT</b>	<b>GGACATCCGT</b>	GGGCTGGCCC	ACGCCATCCGCTT	GC
GSTM2	:	ATGC(	CATGACAC'	<b>FGGGGTACT</b>	GGAACATCCGC	GGGCTGGCC	ATTCCATCCGCCT	GC
GSTM4	:	ATGT(	CATGACAC'	<b>FGGGGTACT</b>	GGACATCCGC	GGGCTGGCCC	ACGCCATCCGCCT	GC
GSTM3	:	ATGTCGTGCGAGTCGT	CTATGGTTC'	TCGGGTACT	<b>JGGATATTCGT</b>	GGGCTGGCGC	ACGCCATCCGCCT	GC
		80	×	100 _	*	120	* 1	40
GSTM1	:	TCCTGGAATACACAGAC	CTCAAGCTA	TGAGGAAAX	DNAGTACACGA	TGGGGGACGC	TCCTGATTATGAC	AG
GSTM5	:	TCCTGGAATACACAGAG	CTCAAGCTA'	TGTGGAAAA	GAAGTACACGC	TGGGGGGACGC	<b>TCCTGACTATGAC</b>	AG
GSTM2	:	TCCTGGAATACACAGAG	CTCAAGCTA	CGAGGAAAA	<b>JAAGTACACGA</b>	TGGGGGGACGC	TCCTGATTATGAC	AG
GSTM4	:	TCCTGGAATACACAGAG	CTCAAGCTA	CGAGGAAAA	<b>JAAGTATACGA</b>	TGGGGGGACGC	TCCTGACTATGAC	AG
GSTM3	:	TCCTGGAGTTCACGGA	PACCTCTTA	TGAGGAGAA	ACGGTACACGT	GCGGGGGAAGC	ICCTGACTATGAT	CG
		*	160	*	180	*	200	*
GSTM1	:	AAGCCAGTGGCTGAAT	AAAAATTC	AAGCTGGGC	CTGGACTTTCC	CAATCTGCCC	TACTTGATTGATG	GG
GSTM5	:	AAGCCAGTGGCTGAATC	JAAAAATTE	AAGCTGGGC	CTGGACTTTTCC	CAATCIGCCC	TACT TGAT TGATG	GG
GSTMZ	:	AAGCCAGTGGCTGAATC	FAAAAATTC	AAGCTGGGC	TGGACTTTCC	CAATCIGCCC	TACTIGATIGATG	GG
GSIM4	:	AAGCCAGIGGCIGAAIC		AAGCIGGGC	SIGGACIIICC amagaa ammuqa	CAAICIGCUU	TACTIGATIGATG	66
GS1M3	·	AAGCCAAIGGCIGGAI	JIGAAAIIC	AAGCIAGACI	LIGGACITICC	LAALCIGUUU	IACCICCIGGAIG	66
		220	*	240	*	260	* ?	on
GSTM1	:	GCTCACAAGATCACCC	AGAGCAACG	CATCTTAT	COTACATTICC	CGCAAGCACA	ACCTGTGTGGGGA	GA.
GSTM5	:	GCTCACAAGATCACCCA	GAGCAATG	CCATCCTGC	CTACATTGCC	CGCAAGCACA	ACCTGTGTGGGGGA	GA
GSTM2	:	ACTCACAAGATCACCC	AGAGCAACG	CCATCC	ACATTGCC	CGCAAGCACA	ACCTGTGCGGGGA	AT
GSTM4	:	GCTCACAAGATCACCC/	GAGCAACG	CCATCCTGT	CTACATTGCC	CGCAAGCACA	ACCTGTGTGGGGA	GA
GSTM3	:	AAGAACAAGATCACCC	AGAGCAATG	CCATCTTGC	GCTACATCGCT	CGCAAGCACA	ACATGTGTGGTGA	GA
		*	300	*	320	*	340	*
GSTM1	:	CAGAAGAGGAGAAGAT	(CGTGTGGA	CATTTTGGA	<b>JAACCAGACCA</b>	TGGACAACCA	TATGCAGCTGGGC	AT
GSTM5	:	CAGAAGAGGAGAAGATT	CGTGTGGA	CATTTTGGA	<b>JAACCAGGTTA</b>	TGGATAACCA	CATGGAGCTGGTC	AG
GSTM2	:	CAGAAAAGGAGCAGAT	CGCGAAGA	CATTTTGGA	<b>JAACCAGTTTA</b>	TGGACAGCCG	T <mark>ATG</mark> CAG <mark>CTG</mark> GCC	AA
GSTM4	:	CAGAAGAGGAGAAGAT	rcg <mark>t</mark> gtgga	CATTTTGGA	<b>GAACCAGGCTA</b>	TGGACGTCTC	CAATCAGCTGGCC	AG
GSTM3	:	CTGAAGAAGAAAAGAT	<b>FCGAGTCGA</b>	CATCATAGA	GAACCAAGTAA	TGGATTTCCG	CACACAACTGATA	AG
					and and a second			
~~~~1		360	*	380	*	400	* 4	20
GSTMI	:	GATCIGCIACAATCCAC	AATTTGAG.	AAACTGAAG	CAAAGTACTT	GGAGGAACTC	CCTGAAAAGCTAA	AG
GSTM5	:	ACIGIGCIAIGACCCAC	JAIIIIGAG	AAACTGAAG	JCAAAATACTT	GGAGGAACTC	CCIGAAAAGCIAA	AG
GSIMZ	:	ACTCTGCTATGACCCAC	SATITIGAG	AAACIGAAA AAACIGAAA			CCIGAAAIGCIGA	AG AC
CSIM4	÷	AGICIGCIACAGCCCI CCTCTCTTACACCCCTCT	ACTIGAG	AAACIGAAG AAACTGAAG		CCAACACCTA	CTCCACAACTCA	
001113	•	Seleisimenseleit			cerendine ii	oomora on o o m		<b>1 11 1</b>
		*	440	* * *	460	*	480	*
GSTM1	:	CTCTACTCAGAGTTTC	rggggaage	GCCATGGT	<b>FTGCAGGAAAC</b>	AAGATCACTT	TTGTAGATTTTCT	CG
GSTM5	:	CTCTACTCAGAGTTTC	rggggaagc	GCCATGGT	<b>FTGCAGGAGAC</b>	AAGATCACCT	TTGTGGATTTCCT	TG
GSTM2	:	CTCTACTCACAGTTTC:	rggggaage.	AGCCATGGT	<b>FTCTTGGGGAC</b>	AAGATCACCT	TTGT <mark>G</mark> GATTT <mark>C</mark> AT	CG
GSTM4	:	CACTTCTCACAGTTCCT	rggggaaga	GGCCATGGT	FTGTT <mark>GGA</mark> GAC	AAGATCACCT	TTGTAGATTT <mark>C</mark> CT	CG
GSTM3	:	CAATTCTCCATGTTTC	<mark>rggggaaa</mark> t'	TCTCATGGT	FTGCCGGGGAA	AAGCTCACCT	TTGT <mark>G</mark> GATTTTCT	CA
a a m 1		500	*	520	*		* 5	60
GSTML	:	TCTATGATGTCCTTGA			GCCCAAGTGCT			GA
GSIMS	:	CCIAIGAIGICCIIGA			GCCCAAGIGCI			GA
GSIMZ	:	CITAIGAIGICCIIGA		AGIAIIIGA				GA
CCTM2	:	CCTATGATGICCIIGA		TATATTGA	CCCAACIGCI	TGGACGCCII	CCCAAAICIGAAG	CC
051115	·		CAGAACCO	E				GC.
		<u>28</u> *	580	* <b>L</b> X0	<b>)</b> / 600	7,2 7	<u>8 80 0 85</u>	*
GSTM1	:	CITCATCTCCCCCTTTC	ACCOUNC	GAGAAGATC	PCTGCCDACAT	GAAGECCAGC	AADDOUCOULOB	(3,4
GSTM5	:	CITCATCTCCCGCITIC	AGGTTTG	AAGAAGATC	rengeeraean	GAAGTCCAGC	CAATTCOTCCGAG	GT
GSTM2	:	CITCATCTCCCGATTTC	<b>AGGGCTTG</b>	GAGAAGATC	retgeerveat	GAAGPCCAGC	CEDTTCCTCCCAA	GA
GSTM4	:	CITCATCTCCCCCTTTC	ACGGCTTG	GAGAAGAPC	PCTGCC EACAT	GAAGECAGC	COPPTOPTOCAA	ÀÀ.
GSTM3	:	TTTCATGTGCCGTTTT	<b>JAG</b> GCTTTG	GAGAAAAPC	GETGECIACTT	ACAGICIGAT	CAGUTCIOCAAGA	TG
		<b></b> i		669	, <u></u>			
COMM <sup>1</sup>		640 00000000000000000000000000000000000		660	* Exor	18		
GSTML	•	CCTGTGTTCTCAAAGA'		JUDADOCO	AGTAG			
CCTMJ	;	CTTTTGTTGGAAAGT	PCCCTCTCATCATCATCATCATCATCATCATCATCATCATC	SGRACAGCA	ACTAC			
GSTM4	:	CCTCTGTACACAACC	ICGCTCTCTCT	GGGGCAACA	AGTAA			
GSTM3	:	CCCATCAACAACAAGA	GGCCCAGT	GGGGCAACA	AGCCTGTATGC	TGA		
-								

**Figure 6.11:** The alignment of coding sequence for the GSTM1 – 5 genes using ClustalW and representation within GeneDoc. Exons are alternatively coloured light blue and grey. Red boxes denote the position of SNPs dbSNP:1056806 and dbSNP:506008 at positions 72 GSTM1 and position 78 GSTM4, respectively. Dotted red boxes indicate nucleotide variants between genes which permitted that unique assignment of the two SNPs.

#### 6.8.2.4 Rejected candidate SNPs

The identification of SNPs by mRNA BLAST alignment to genomic sequence can erroneously localise SNPs within highly homologous genes. Seventeen GSTM SNPs with *de novo* sequence coverage were rejected as candidate SNPs within this study because they could not be uniquely placed by genomic alignment within a chromosome specific ACeDB. Thirteen of these SNPs could not be uniquely assigned due to the failure of two of the five paralogous genes to generate 3'UTR sequence (to which the majority of ambiguous matches aligned). Detailed analysis of one of the remaining four rejected candidates, dbSNP: 3211191, which localised to exon 4 of GSTM1 and GSTM4 (blue diamond in figure 6.7), indicated that exact sequence alignment of 20 bp either side of the SNP failed to align uniquely assign it to either individual GSTM gene. There was 100% sequence homology extending for 58 bases 5' and 41 bases 3' of the SNP into intron 3 and intron 5, respectively. The observation of a single base difference between the two genes, in the present study, allowed assignment of the read and therefore the SNP to GSTM4. A second SNP that failed to localise to a unique position by sequence matching was dbSNP: 402505. The SNP was located within intron 3 of GSTM2 and 5. It had 100% homology both 5' and 3' of the SNP but nucleotide

differences at 155 bases 5' and 46 bases 3' of the SNP, from the present study, permitted assignment of the SNP to GSTM5. The remaining two of the seventeen unlocalised SNPs were rejected on based upon similar arguments to those examples described above.

#### 6.8.3 Effect of sequence variation upon gene structure

A novel SNP, identified within the *de novo* sequence of GSTT1, provided the opportunity to examine the effects allelic variation may have upon the translated amino acid sequence and protein structure. The protein encoded by GSTT1, like the GSTM family members, is a phase 2 enzyme that detoxifies carcinogenic metabolites, for example halomethanes, by conjugation of glutathione which changes the polarity of the metabolite making them more readily excreted (Mannervik *et al.*, 1988).

It has previously been shown that the GSTT1 gene is absent from 38% of the population (Pemble *et al.*, 1994). Analysis of the set of DNAs examined in this study indicated that only 1 of 8 CEPH DNAs tested, NA07017, failed to generate a PCR product from any primer pair of the 5 exons from the gene. The lower observed null percentage (13%) compared to that previously reported may relate to the small sample set tested.

The novel A110C SNP, within exon 3 of GSTT1, results in a first base substitution of amino acid 104 causing a non-synonymous, non-conservative change of a threonine to a proline (Thr104-Pro). Threonine is an aliphatic amino acid that has a neutral side chain whereas proline is an amino acid with a secondary group. Alignment of the protein domain containing the single nucleotide polymorphism was performed within PFAM

(http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Software/Pfam/). Of the 751 proteins that have conserved homology to the domain encoding the Thr104 – Pro nucleotide variant none contain a proline at position 104. This suggests that the protein conformation resulting from the non-synonymous, non-conservative SNP is not usually assumed by GSTT1 or any closely related protein domain. A homologous protein with an elucidated 3-D structure was identified within PDB by sequence alignment to investigate what effect the SNP may have upon the conformation of GSTT1. 3LJR, whose 3-D structure as a dimer conjugated with glutathione substrate was elucidated by X-ray diffraction, is a glutathione S-transferase family member, GSTT2, that shows a 55% sequence homology with GSTT1 (figure 6.12). The substitution Thr104 to Pro in GSTT1 corresponds to Asp104 to Pro in 3LJR. Viewing the elucidated 3-D structure within ICMLite (http://www.molsoft.com/products/icmlite.htm, Abagyan et al., 1994) (with assistance from Robert Steward) shows Asp104 is at the C-terminal of an  $\alpha$ -helix and shares a hydrogen bond with Trp101. This hydrogen bond cannot exist with a Pro substitution, since the Pro residue is cyclic with no free NH group. The loss of this hydrogen bond by an introduction of a Pro may destabilise the 3D conformation of the protein (Karvonen et al., 1998).

The substitution of a proline at amino acid position 104 may have a significant affect upon the function of the protein as Asp 104 (in 3LJR) conjugates with the glutathione substrate within the other subunit of the homodimer. The structural affect the proline substitution may also be important as an adjacent residue, Arg 107, interacts with the glutathione substrate on the same subunit (figure 6.13). Therefore a change in geometry caused by Thr104 – Pro may effect the conformation of active site binding residues (thereby effecting protein function). Further experimental studies would be required to

# Chapter Six

determine whether the introduction of a Pro at amino acid position 104 would affect GSTT1 enzyme function when conjugating glutathione as a homodimer.



**Figure 6.12:** A 3-D representation within ICMLite of the homodimeric GST model, 3LJR. The model was used to interpret the effect that a novel cSNP within GSTT1 may have upon the structure of the protein. Shown are the two GST units that form the dimer (yellow/red and green) conjugated with glutathione and xenobiotic biochemicals. The polymorphic Asp104Pro residue is drawn in green at the C-terminal of an  $\alpha$ -helix.

# Chapter Six



**Figure 6.13:** A vertical cross-sectional view of glutathione conjugating amino acid residues of 3LJR. Polymorphic amino acid residue, Asp 104, conjugates with the glutathione molecule on the opposite chain in the homodimer, chain B. Arg107 on chain A conjugates with glutathione residue within the same chain. The conformation of the dimmer means that hydrogen bonding conjugation is reciprocated between dimers.

# **6.9 Discussion**

Novel sequence coverage has been generated for 77 (85%) exons from 12 genes that has identified 17 known and 9 novel SNPs. Exon specific primers were designed within highly homologous glutathione-S-transferase Mu 1 - 5 paralogous genes and seven other genes of medical interest to facilitate the unique assignment of known and identify novel SNPs.

The unambiguous localisation of SNPs within genomic sequence is central to determining the biological effects of coding SNPs or to their use in the construction of haplotype maps. Two known SNPs, with multiple loci according to the Ensembl genome database, were uniquely localised with sequence contigs assembled from the GSTM exon specific PCR products. A further 2 known SNPs with multiple loci, whose placement was not resolved within *de novo* sequence contigs, were uniquely assigned by sequence alignment of extended flanking sequence within an ACeDB database.

Fifty five of the 291 known SNPs localising to the genes in this study were not detected within exon, and partial flanking intron, specific sequence contigs. The absence of these SNPs does not necessarily mean that they are false but may instead be attributed to the ethnicity of the population from which the SNP was derived being different to the population tested here. Alternatively, the number of chromosomes contained within the reads of the sequence assembly may not be sufficient to detect the SNP if it has a low minor allele frequency (in this study, it would be unlikely for a minor allele of around 1% or less to be detected).

Eight known SNPs covered by *de novo* sequence failed to align to genomic sequence within genes structures in respective ACeDB databases. This may be caused by nucleotide differences within draft sequence from which the SNPs were identified (for example, dbSNP: 2545753 within exon 6 of CYP2A6) which were not subsequently present in the finished sequence. The alignment of mRNA sequence to genomic sequence to aid SNP identification may also cause inaccuracies in SNP assignment if there are errors in original mRNA sequence (for example, dbSNP: 1061604 within exon 8 of CYP2A6). In addition, if the mRNA was derived from a closely related paralogous gene, it is possible the mRNA sequence may be misaligned to the genomic sequence of a highly homologous locus.

There are approximately 60,000 coding SNPs present within the human genome (ISMWG, 2001), corresponding to 1-2 per gene per individual. However, the effect of these SNPs upon the function of genes is largely unknown. Many of these cSNPs will result in synonymous amino acid changes due to codon redundancy or conservative non-synonymous changes by the substitution of an amino acid of similar properties. However, a proportion of cSNPs will result in non-synonymous non-conservative changes that, depending on the amino acid substitution, may cause changes in the structural integrity and/or biological function of the protein. As discussed in the previous chapter, the structure, function and interaction of the majority of human gene products is largely unknown. The determination and characterisation of protein structures and the networks in which they interact, together with the elucidation of genuine SNPs within coding features will contribute to our understanding of the metabolic differences between individuals.

This chapter describes the possible effect that a non-synonymous, non-conservative coding SNP, Thr104 – Pro, may have upon the structure and function of the GSTT1 protein. Since this work, discovery of the same SNP in a Swedish population has been published (Alexandrie *et al.*, 2002). Experimental investigation by Alexandrie *et al.*, (2002) has confirmed that the variant does indeed have a functional consequence. The so-called GSTT1\*B allele was reported as having a frequency of 0.05 in Swedish Saamis, the same as observed within the CEPH DNAs used here. An ELISA assay showed that a stable protein product was not produced by individuals who had previously been genotyped as non-conjugators, lacking a GSTT1 protein that could functionally conjugate methyl chloride. Western blot analysis was also used to determine that the functional protein was absent within erythrocyte lysates from non-conjugating individuals. The association of the GSTT1\*B, allele in conjunction with the functional GSTT1\*A or the null GSTT1\*0 allele, may explain why individuals that were previously thought to produce functional copies of the GSTT1 protein are associated with the non-conjugating phenotype.

# GSTM1 : TGATTAT GSTM5 : TGACTAT GSTM4 : TGACTAT GSTM2 : TGATTAT GSTM3 : TGACTAT -ARGCTOTCACCCA