Analysis of the transcriptomes of wild-type and mutant *C. elegans*

Andrew Christopher Nelson

This dissertation is submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
September 2008

Corpus Christi College University of Cambridge

The Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute Hinxton Cambridge, UK

Declaration

I hereby declare that my dissertation contains material that has not been submitted for a degree or diploma or any other qualification at any other university. This thesis describes my own work and does not include work that has been done in collaboration, except when specifically indicated in the text.

Andrew C. Nelson

29/09/2008

Abstract

A key question in biology is how genotype can inform us of phenotype. For model organisms, most phenotypes reported have been at the level of the morphology and behaviour of the whole organism. However, recent advances in technology allow gene expression to be assessed on a genome-wide scale and pioneering work in yeast has shown that such expression profiles can be used as high density, quantitative phenotypes. I wanted to test whether expression profiles can also serve as useful phenotypes of whole animals rather than single cells. More specifically I sought to test whether the expression profiles resulting from perturbations of genes in one pathway looked more like those of other perturbations of the same pathway than another pathway. To do this I used twocolour DNA expression microarrays to survey gene expression in the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. Expression profiles were produced for a number of different worm strains with mono-genic perturbations in different pathways involved in germline development. Clustering of the resulting expression profiles rediscovered the known pathways. This then allowed me to query perturbations of candidate modulators of EGF signalling against the compendium of expression profiles. I conclude that, as in yeast, expression profiles serve as reliable high-density phenotypes that allow meaningful biological comparisons to be drawn.

The quality of an expression microarray can only be as high as the gene annotations on which it is based. I therefore sought to evaluate how well characterised the transcribed genome of *C. elegans* is. To do this I used a combination of whole genome tiled microarrays and ultra-high density sequencing to assess the transcript complement of whole animals throughout development. We found that the vast majority (~95%) of expression is genic but the combinations and numbers of splice sites used are greater than previously predicted, suggesting that current annotations are largely complete, but that our knowledge of splice variation across development is still far from finished.

Whilst surveying transcripts in wild-type animals yields valuable data, it is known that there are many transcripts that are produced and subsequently degraded by the nonsense-mediated mRNA decay pathway (NMD). To identify these transcripts we compared the transcripts of wild-type animals to those of mutants of the NMD pathway. We find that ~13% of endogenous genes are NMD targets. The majority of these transcripts have upstream start codons in the 5' UTR or are alternatively spliced leading to a premature inframe stop codon. Finally, we find that ~10% of all gene expression changes throughout development require NMD and thus that NMD is a bona fide regulator of gene expression.

DECLARATION	I
ABSTRACT	II
LIST OF FIGURES	
LIST OF TABLES	
CHAPTER 1 - INTRODUCTION	
1.1. Outline	
1.2. CAENORHABDITIS ELEGANS AS A MODEL SYSTEM	
1.2.1. The germline	
1.2.2. The vulva	
1.3. RNA INTERFERENCE IN CAENORHABDITIS ELEGANS	
1.3.1. The mechanism of dsRNA-induced gene silencing in C.elegans	
1.3.2. RNAi by feeding	
1.4. MICROARRAY TECHNOLOGIES	
1.5. MICROARRAYS AS A PHENOTYPING TOOL	
1.6. AIMS OF CHAPTER 3	
1.7. Transcriptome interrogation	
1.8. NONSENSE-MEDIATED MRNA DECAY	
CHAPTER 2 - MATERIALS AND METHODS	
2.1. Reagents	
2.1.1. C. elegans	
2.1.2. Bacteria	
2.1.3. Buffers used for Affymetrix tiling microarray hybridization and processing	
2.1.4. 10x PCR reaction buffer	
2.2. PROTOCOLS	
2.2.1. Maintenance of C. elegans stocks	
2.2.3. Freezing and recovery of C. elegans strains and synchronization	
2.2.4. RNAi by feeding on plates, RNA extraction and visual phenotyping	
2.2.5. DAPI staining	
2.2.6. Generation of mixed-stage RNA reference sample	
2.2.7. RNA labelling and two-colour microarray hybridization	
2.2.8. Affymetrix tiling microarray hybridization	
2.2.9. (ds)cDNA production for Illumina sequencing	
2.2.10. Reverse transcription and PCR	
2.2.11. Two-colour expression microarray data analysis	
2.2.12. Identifying transcribed regions and visualization of tiling microarray data	
2.2.13. Affymetrix tiling microarray expression data analysis	
2.2.14. Illumina sequence data analysis	60
CHAPTER 3 - MICROARRAY ANALYSIS OF GERMLINE PERTURBATIONS	67
3.1. Introduction	68
3.2. OUTLINE OF APPROACH	
3.3. INITIAL MICROARRAY DATA PROCESSING, NORMALISATION AND ASSESSMENT OF DATA QUAL	
3.4. PROOF-OF-PRINCIPLE EXPERIMENTS	
3.5. LOW-RESOLUTION PHENOTYPIC ANALYSIS OF PATHWAY PERTURBATIONS	85
3.6. IDENTIFICATION OF NOVEL MODULATORS OF RAS/MAPK SIGNALLING IN THE GERMLINE	90
3.7. THE DIFFERENTIALLY EXPRESSED GENES	
3.8 DISCUSSION	100

4.1.	Introduction	104
4.2.	TILING ARRAY DATA NORMALIZATION	106
4.3.	DEFINING REGIONS OF TILING ARRAY SIGNAL ALONG GENOMIC COORDINATES	107
4.4.	IDEALIZING PARAMETERS FOR BUILDING TRANSFRAGS	111
4.5.	COMPARISON OF TRANSFRAGS WITH THE GENOME	112
4.6.	MEASURING GENE EXPRESSION USING TILING ARRAYS	113
4.7.	MEASURING EXPRESSION USING ULTRA-HIGH DENSITY SEQUENCE DATA	115
4.8.	VALIDATION OF TILING DATA BY SEQUENCE DATA	119
4.9.	ADDRESSING ALTERNATIVE SPLICING USING TILING DATA	121
4.10.	ADDRESSING ALTERNATIVE SPLICING USING SEQUENCE DATA	123
4.11.	DISCUSSION	127
СНАРТ	ER 5 - NONSENSE-MEDIATED MRNA DECAY IS A REGULATOR OF	
CHAPT DEVEL	TER 5 - NONSENSE-MEDIATED MRNA DECAY IS A REGULATOR OF OPMENTAL GENE EXPRESSION	130
CHAPT DEVEL 5.1.	TER 5 - NONSENSE-MEDIATED MRNA DECAY IS A REGULATOR OF OPMENTAL GENE EXPRESSION	130
5.1. 5.2.	TER 5 - NONSENSE-MEDIATED MRNA DECAY IS A REGULATOR OF OPMENTAL GENE EXPRESSION	130 131
CHAPT DEVEL 5.1.	TER 5 - NONSENSE-MEDIATED MRNA DECAY IS A REGULATOR OF OPMENTAL GENE EXPRESSION	
5.1. 5.2. 5.3. 5.4.	TER 5 - NONSENSE-MEDIATED MRNA DECAY IS A REGULATOR OF OPMENTAL GENE EXPRESSION	
5.1. 5.2. 5.3.	TER 5 - NONSENSE-MEDIATED MRNA DECAY IS A REGULATOR OF OPMENTAL GENE EXPRESSION	
5.1. 5.2. 5.3. 5.4. 5.5.	TER 5 - NONSENSE-MEDIATED MRNA DECAY IS A REGULATOR OF COPMENTAL GENE EXPRESSION	
5.1. 5.2. 5.3. 5.4. 5.5. 5.6.	TER 5 - NONSENSE-MEDIATED MRNA DECAY IS A REGULATOR OF OPMENTAL GENE EXPRESSION	
5.1. 5.2. 5.3. 5.4. 5.5. 5.6. 5.7. 5.8.	INTRODUCTION	

List of Figures

Figure 1.1.	Cartoon representation of gonadogenesis	7			
Figure 1.2.	Regulation of the mitosis/meiosis decision by the interplay of pro- and anti-meiotic f	actors			
C	, , , , ,	13			
Figure 1.3.	The canonical EGF/ras/MAPK and Notch signalling pathways as they are known to	act in the			
C	vulva and germline	17			
Figure 1.4.	Vulval specification and lineage	22			
Figure 1.5.	Mechanism of RNAi gene silencing	28			
Figure 1.6.	L4440 RNA interference feeding vector	30			
Figure 1.7.	The recognized post-transcriptional causes of NMD targeting	43			
Figure 1.8.	Technical flow-through of Affymetrix tiling array and Illumina sequencing technology	gies 48			
Figure 3.1.	Clustering of differentially expressed genes between N2 and each genic perturbation				
Figure 3.2.	Relative fecundity of germline perturbations	88			
Figure 3.3.	DAPI staining of whole animals to assess quantity of germline	90			
Figure 3.4.	Screening for modulators of EGF/ras/MAPK signalling in the vulva	92			
Figure 3.5.	pkc-1 clusters with the EGF/ras/MAPK signalling pathway	96			
Figure 3.6.	The activity of PKC is modulated by the activities of PLC and DGK	98			
Figure 4.1.	Transfrags corresponding to transcribed genes	112			
Figure 4.2.	Selection of transfrag building parameters schematic	114			
Figure 4.3.	Calculating gene intensity values from tiling array and Illumina sequence data	118			
Figure 4.4.	Correlation of gene intensities derived from tiling array and sequence data	120			
Figure 4.5.	Overlap between genes detected by tiling arrays and by sequencing	123			
Figure 4.6.	Use of Illumina sequence reads to identify utilized exon-exon junctions	127			
Figure 4.7.	Ultra-high density sequence reads reveal novel splice junctions	128			
Figure 5.1.		140-142			
Figure 5.2.	Increasing 5' UTR length correlates with increased magnitude of NMD	146			
Figure 5.3.	An A nucleotide -3 of the annotated start codon correlates with NMD regulation	150			
Figure 5.4.	Examples of operonic gene regulation by NMD	153			
Figure 5.5.	NMD regulation via a shift in promoter usage	154			
Figure 5.6.	Structural changes leading to NMD targeting	159			
Figure 5.7.	Model of gene regulation by NMD	168			
List of Tables					
Table 1.1.	Components of the NMD machinery known to exist in model organisms	39			
Table 3.1.	Genes involved in germline development perturbed in this study	74			
Table 3.2.	Relative Pearson correlations using different normalization methods	79			
Table 3.3.	Genes upregulated and downregulated relative to N2 for each condition	82			
Table 3.4.	Selected genes suppressing the Muv phenotype in RNAi screens in 100% Muv mutat	nts. 94			
Table 4.1.	Transfrag distribution at each developmental stage.	115			
Table 4.2.	Number of genes detected by each technology and overlap.	118			
Table 4.3.	Tiling array transfrags confirmed by sequencing.	122			
Table 4.4.	Reads mapping to the genome and spanning exon-exon boundaries.	126			
Table 5.1.	Novel NMD regulated genes detected on <i>gld-1(RNAi)</i> .	164			