3. PERIODIC GENE EXPRESSION DURING THE MITOTIC CELL CYCLE IN FISSION YEAST

This chapter will provide a global overview of the periodic expression profiles during the fission yeast cell cycle. Two different synchronisation methods were used in order to study changes in gene expression as a function of time: centrifugal elutriation and temperature sensitive mutants. The results presented in this chapter were derived from the following eight timecourse experiments: three wild type elutriations, two *cdc25* 'block and release', one *cdc25* experiment done combining elutriation and 'block and release', one *cdc10* experiment again done by combining the two synchronisation methods and one *sep1∆ cdc25* 'block and release'.

3.1 Experimental overview

One aim of this thesis was to identify genes showing a periodic behaviour during the mitotic cell cycle in fission yeast using DNA microarrays. In order to achieve this, the relative abundance of mRNAs was measured as a function of time in cultures synchronised using two different methods, and samples were collected in order to cover two full cell cycles in most experiments. The first method used to obtain a synchronous culture was centrifugal elutriation and the second one was temperature-sensitive mutants. For each timepoint, the gene behaviour in the synchronous population was compared to a reference sample consisting of an asynchronous population of the same strain growing under the same conditions. For each synchronisation method used, at least one experiment has been hybridised with a dye swap. More detailed information on the experimental conditions for all timecourses can be found in Appendix IVa.

When comparing the outcome of several cell cycle microarray studies done in different human cell lines (Cho R.J. *et al.*, 2001; Whitfield M.L. *et al.*, 2002; Iyer V.R. *et al.*, 1999), the overlap between them is poor, and the main reason for this is probably the difference between experimental conditions, especially the different methods used for synchronizing cells. Similarly, the overlap between the two budding yeast studies is relatively small (Cho R.J. *et al.*, 1998; Spellman P.T. *et al.*, 1998). Such differences between studies conducted in similar ways have raised quite a lot of scepticism concerning the validity of the synchronization techniques themselves, claiming that

synchrony cannot be really achieved using traditional whole-culture methods, meaning those experiments where an entire culture of growing cells is used to produce a synchronous cell population (temperature sensitive mutants) (Cooper S. and Shedden K., 2003). From this point of view selective synchronization methods (elutriation) where only a small fraction of a culture is used to obtain a synchronized population are preferable.

For these reasons, in this thesis both synchronization methods (wholeculture/temperature sensitive mutants and selective/elutriation) were used, and synchrony was assessed by measuring different parameters for each timepoint collected: number of septated cells (named septation index), number of nuclei (DAPI index), DNA content (FACS index) and cell number. The results of these measurements are shown in Figure 3.1A for one elutriation experiment and in Figure 3.1B for one *cdc25* 'block and release' experiment. Additional results for the six remaining timecourses can be found in Appendix V (Fig. V.1-V.3).

In a typical elutriation experiment, nuclear division (Fig. 3.1, DAPI and FACS index) takes place about 1 hour 45 minutes after elutriation (including a 1 hour recovery period for cells). Cell division (Fig. 3.1, septation index) takes place 30 minutes after nuclear division, as also confirmed by the doubling of cell number that can be seen immediately after the peak of septation (Fig. 3.1, cell number). The period between first and second division is normally around 135 minutes in EMM medium at 30°C. In a typical *cdc25* 'block and release' experiment, nuclear division takes place 30 minutes after cells have been shifted back to the permissive temperature, and septation immediately follows at 45 minutes, together with the expected doubling of cell number. The gap between the two divisions is around 120 minutes, in EMM at 25°C. The process of cell division appears to be faster in *cdc25*; because the size of the *cdc25* cell is bigger than the wild type, G2 is therefore shorter because the cell size required to enter mitosis is reached earlier in the cycle.

An apparently higher degree of synchrony was normally achieved in the *cdc25* 'block and release' experiments (60%-70% of septated cells) compared to the elutriations (30% of septated cells). However, much of this difference could be due to extended duration of septation in cdc25 'block and release' experiments; according to the gene expression profiles, there was very little difference in synchrony between the two methods. Despite the fact that temperature sensitive mutants give better synchrony, it is important to consider that a temperature shift likely introduces more artefacts due to the

heat shock involved compared to the moderate mechanical stress experienced during elutriation. For this reason, elutriation is probably the more physiological method for achieving synchrony.

B

cdc25 **block and release**

Fig. 3.1 Parameters defining cell cycle synchrony.

Panel A, the left hand side graph shows DAPI index, septation index and cell counting whereas the right hand side graph shows the FACS profile for a typical elutriation experiment. Panel B, shows the same results as panel A for a typical *cdc25* 'block and release' experiment.

3.2 Identification of periodic genes

Genes were ranked according to an average autocorrelation score calculated from the data obtained from three elutriation and three *cdc25-22* 'block and release' experiments (including the *sep1::ura4 cdc25-22* experiment), as described in Materials and Methods. The top 2500 genes were then visually inspected to confirm or reject the periodicity, comparing the profiles with the ones from the *cdc25-22* and *cdc10-129* 'block & release' experiments in which synchronisation was achieved by combining elutriation and temperature shift. In these particular experiments, samples were collected throughout the block and after the release only for one cycle, making it impossible to use these data for the autocorrelation analysis. Around 250 genes were judged to be periodic after this inspection.

These results were compared with the output of the fast Fourier transform and randomisation analysis carried out at the EBI. Following this approach, around 1000 genes had a *P* value < 0.01 and among these around 800 showed a fold change > 1.5 in all three of the following experiments: two elutriations and one *cdc25* 'block and release'. After visual inspection \sim 400 genes were discarded because their periodicity was not consistent across all experiments or with the duration of the cell cycle. This clearly shows the limitations of an automatic approach for the discovery of periodic genes and underlines again the importance of visually inspecting the dataset to validate the output of any statistical methodology.

From the comparison of the gene lists obtained from these two independent approaches, a total of 407 periodically expressed genes were identified among the 5119 *S. pombe* genes investigated, corresponding to \sim 8% of the total gene number. 136 genes showed a change in expression > 2 fold in the elutriation experiments, and we will refer to them as 'high amplitude' genes. The other 271 showed changes between 1.5 and 2-fold and we will refer to them as 'low amplitude' genes. A complete list of genes can be found in Appendix VI, together with a description of their biological function. The table also

contains information concerning the motifs found in the promoter region of each gene, as will be discussed in chapter 4.

3.3 Clustering of periodic genes

After identification, the 407 genes were classified using a clustering algorithm according to similarities in their expression profiles. Clustering was performed independently using two different methods: ArrayMiner

(http://www.optimaldesign.com/Download/ArrayMiner/AM2whitepaper.pdf) and Kmeans [Materials and Methods – section 2.6.4; (Sherlock G., 2000)]. The ArrayMiner classification resulted in biologically more coherent clusters than the K-means approach in GeneSpring.

Traditional clustering algorithms treat genes as vectors and measure the distance between the two vectors with a distance metric such as Pearson correlation or Euclidean distance (Sherlock G., 2000). This measure reflects the degree of similarity between the two expression profiles and it therefore makes sense to group together those genes that are closest to each other in space. This is an example of *hierarchical clustering*. In the *Kmeans clustering* method instead, genes are divided from the beginning into an arbitrarily assigned number of clusters. At this stage, the distance between each gene and the centre of the cluster it belongs to are calculated and genes eventually reassigned to a closest cluster. Both methods have their weaknesses. Outliers can represent both meaningful data as well as artefacts created by the experimental procedure. Existing clustering methods will assign them to a cluster, very often altering the structure of the classification. Having to choose from the start the number of clusters is also problematic, especially when little is known about the biological function of each class.

The ArrayMiner non-hierarchical algorithm is based on the assumption that clustering should have the ultimate goal of grouping together genes with distinct biological functions. Therefore the aim is to obtain a distribution of clusters as close as possible to the distribution of the data, modelling the data with a number of Gaussian distributions that best fit the dataset. Outliers are detected and considered as a *uniform distribution* that is competing with the Gaussians. The non-hierarchical approach takes into account the cluster variance and the clusters created remain stable despite the level of detail achieved with the classification. Once a cluster has been identified, increasing the

total number of clusters will result in identifying a subset of smaller clusters within the existing one.

Genes were clustered independently for each of the five experiments into five separate classes. In ambiguous cases, genes have been assigned manually to a cluster when possible or left unclassified if the expression profiles were inconclusive. Ultimately cluster 4 and 5 were merged into one, because separation into two clusters was not consistent across different experiments.

Clusters were assigned to cell cycle phases as follows: cluster 1 corresponding to mitosis, cluster 2 to M/G1 phase (cytokinesis and cell separation), cluster 3 to S phase (DNA replication) and cluster 4 to G2 phase. While this assignment is arbitrary to some degree, especially considering genes at the boundary between two clusters, a good correlation can be found in general between the biological function of the genes and the stage of the cycle when peak of expression occurs. This will be discussed in more detail in the next section. The majority of the 'high amplitude' genes are members of clusters 1, 2 and 3 whereas most cluster 4 genes are only weakly regulated. Fig. 3.2A shows the 407 periodic genes classified into four main clusters for one elutriation experiment and Fig. 3.2B for one *cdc25* 'block and release' experiment. Fig. 3.2C and D show the same clustering for the 136 'high amplitude genes only. Additional figures showing the four clusters in a 3-dimensional view can be found in Appendix V (Fig. V.4-V.5). The purpose of this representation is to show how clusters are separated after projection from the multidimensional expression space into a 2-D space.

Timepoints (min)

Fig. 3.2 - continues

Timepoints (min)

Fig. 3.2 - continues

B

% cells

Fig. 3.2 - continues

Fig. 3.2 Clustering of cell cycle regulated genes in *S. pombe***.**

Panel A/C, elutriation experiment (2201). Panel B/D, *cdc25* 'block and release' experiment (2002). In all panels the top graph shows all 407 periodic genes (panel A and B) or the 136 high amplitude genes only (panel C and D) grouped into four main clusters whereas the bottom graph shows the average expression profiles of all genes in each class together with the septation index for the same experiment.

Several fission yeast genes had been previously described as periodic, using traditional molecular genetic approaches. A complete list of the 35 already known cell cycle regulated genes can be found in Table 3.1. 28 of those genes were confirmed to be periodic by our data. Among the seven genes missing, *mid1*, *ppb1*, *res2* and *suc22* showed a weak periodicity, especially in the *cdc25* 'block and release' experiments, but this was insufficient to be included among the periodic genes according to our criteria. It should be mentioned that in two cases (*cdc19* and *ppb1*) results in the original studies concerning the actual periodicity of those genes contradict each other (Anderson M. *et al.*, 2002; Forsburg S.L. and Nurse P., 1994; Plochocka-Zulinska D. *et al.*, 1995). For *res2*, only a marginal periodicity was reported in the original paper (Obara-Ishihara T. and Okayama H., 1994). *Suc22* encodes two separate transcripts: a large one that is weakly expressed and shows a periodic behaviour and a small one, which is much more abundant and continuously expressed through the cycle (Harris P. *et al.*, 1996; Fernandez Sarabia M.J. *et al.*, 1993). In the microarray experiment, the small abundant transcript probably hybridises more efficiently than the large one, explaining why the periodic behaviour of the gene could not be detected. *Cdc19*, *cmk1* and *rrg1* were not periodic under any conditions in study.

 All the genes previously reported as periodic are members of the first three clusters, showing a good correlation between the peak of expression in our experiments and the one reported in the literature (see table 3.1 for reference to original publications). None of the genes included in the fourth cluster had been shown before to be periodic.

Gene Name	Expression peak	Cluster	References
cdc15	$\mathbf M$	1	(Fankhauser C. et al., 1995)
cdc18	G1/S	$\overline{2}$	(Kelly T.J. et al., 1993)
$cdc19$?	M/G1?	ND	(Anderson M. et al., 2002; Forsburg S.L. and Nurse P., 1994)
cdc22	G1/S	$\overline{2}$	(Gordon C. and Fantes P., 1986)
cdc25	M	$\mathbf{1}$	(Moreno S. et al., 1990)
cdt1	G ₁	$\overline{2}$	(Hofmann J.F. and Beach D., 1994)
cdt2	G1	$\overline{2}$	(Hofmann J.F. and Beach D., 1994)
cig2	G1/S	$\overline{2}$	(Connolly T. and Beach D., 1994; Obara-Ishihara T. and Okayama H., 1994)
cmkl	G1/S	ND	(Rasmussen C.D., 2000)
cnpl	G1/S	2	(Takahashi K. et al., 2000)
dfp1	G1/S	$\sqrt{2}$	(Brown G.W. and Kelly T.J., 1999)
\hat{f} in \hat{I}	as $cdt1$	$\overline{2}$	(Krien M.J. et al., 2002)
engl	$\overline{G1/S}$	$\overline{2}$	(Martin-Cuadrado A.B. et al., 2003)
hhtl	${\bf S}$	$\overline{\mathbf{3}}$	(Takahashi K. et al., 2000; Matsumoto S. and Yanagida M., 1985)
hta1	${\bf S}$	$\overline{3}$	(Aves S.J. et al., 1985)
htb1	\overline{S}	$\overline{\mathbf{3}}$	(Matsumoto S. et al., 1987)
mid1	M/G1	ND	(Anderson M. et al., 2002)
mid2	М	2	(Tasto J.J. et al., 2003)
mikl	G1/S	$\overline{2}$	(Christensen P.U. et al., 2000; Ng S.S. et al., 2001; Baber-Furnari B.A. et al., 2000)
mrcl	as cdc18	$\overline{2}$	(Tanaka K. and Russell P., 2001)
pht1	S	$\overline{3}$	(Carr A.M. et al., 1994; Durkacz B.W. et al., 1986)
plo1	M/G1	$\mathbf{1}$	(Anderson M. et al., 2002)
ppb1?	S or M/G1?	ND	(Anderson M. et al., 2002); Plochocka-Zulinska D. et al., 1995)
rad21	G1/S	$\overline{2}$	(Birkenbihl R.P. and Subramani S., 1995)
res2?	G1/S?	ND	(Obara-Ishihara T. and Okayama H., 1994)
rhp51	before cdc22	1	(Jang Y.K. et al., 1996)
rph1	G1/S	$\overline{2}$	(Tanaka H. et al., 2002)
rrg1	G2/M	ND	(Kim M.J. et al., 2002)
rumI	end G2	1	(Benito J. et al., 1998)
sid2	M/G1	1	(Anderson M. et al., 2002)
slp1	M	1	(Yamada H.Y. et al., 2000)
spol2	as cdc15	$\mathbf{1}$	(Samuel J.M. et al., 2000)
s s b l	as cdc22	$\overline{2}$	(Parker A.E. et al., 1997)
ste9	as cdc18	$\overline{2}$	(Tournier S. and Millar J.B., 2000)
(suc22)	G1/S (transcript dep.)	ND	(Harris P. et al., 1996; Fernandez Sarabia M.J. et al., 1993)

Table 3.1 Genes previously reported as cell cycle regulated in *S. pombe*

3.4 Biological function of genes in four clusters

This section describes each of the four waves of transcription focusing on the biological function of its members. For each cluster, genes are grouped in tables (see below) according to the biological process when their function is performed. It should here be

mentioned that this grouping based on function is not rigorous and was here adopted only to facilitate the presentation of the results. Fig. 3.3 shows the expression profiles of all genes in each cluster.

A

Fig. 3.3 Cell cycle regulated genes in *S. pombe* **and their classification.**

Rows represent the profiles of the 407 periodic genes (panel A) and of the 136 'high amplitude' genes only (panel B) ordered by the time of their peak of expression. Columns represent synchronised experimental samples (8 timecourses of 18-22 timepoints collected at 15 min intervals – 161 timepoints in total). Red: induced expression; green: repressed expression; grey: no data. Classification of genes into 4 major clusters is also shown. Smaller clusters of unclassified genes are also shown (clusters named 1/2, 2/3, 3/4 and 4/1).

Cluster 1

This cluster includes 87 genes, 40 of which are 'high amplitude' genes, showing a peak of expression coincident with mitosis. It is possible to identify subgroups of genes involved in the same biological process.

Many of the events happening during mitosis involve dramatic changes to the cytoskeleton due to rearrangement of the microtubules to form the mitotic spindle as well as nuclear changes like chromosome condensation, segregation and separation (Su S.S.Y. and Yanagida M., 1997). This subset of genes includes: *klp5* and *klp6* (kinesin motors that influence microtubule dynamics), *myo3* (encoding a myosin, which interacts with actin), several genes involved in sister chromatid cohesion (*pds5* – for the establishment of cohesion and *psc3* – encoding a mitotic cohesion subunit) and chromosome segregation (*dis1* which acts in collaboration with klp5p and klp6p) as well as an essential component of the spindle pole body (*sad1*).

Other genes encode proteins involved in cytokinesis and cell separation. In fission yeast the final stage of cell division is characterised by the formation of a septum which will then be digested to allow cell separation (Su S.S.Y. and Yanagida M., 1997). It is not surprising to find expressed at this stage *plo1* (encoding a mitotic regulatory kinase and an inducer of septum formation and cytokinesis), *cdc15* (regulator of septum formation), *imp2* (cdc15p-like protein), *sid2* (protein kinase responsible for triggering septation) and *mob1* (whose gene product binds to sid2p). Many genes involved in metabolic pathways and cell wall biosynthesis are also highly induced at this stage, possibly reflecting the *de nov*o synthesis of cell wall and membrane concomitant to cytokinesis.

Several genes involved in cell cycle regulation can also be found in this cluster: *ace2* (transcriptional regulator), *ark1* (encoding the Aurora kinase required for chromosome condensation) as well as genes encoding key regulatory molecules involved in the regulation of the cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) cdc2p such as cdc13p (cyclin partner of cdc2p), cdc25p (cdc2p-cdc13p activator), rum1p (cdc2p inhibitor), crk1p and csk1p (cdc2 activators) and cdr1p (indirect activator of cdc2p). *Cdc2* itself is member of cluster 4, as described later.

In addition the gene SPBC16G5.15c, whose function is still uncharacterised, encodes a protein containing a forkhead binding domain typical of a highly conserved class of transcription factors. Two smaller groups, one of meiotic genes [including *mus81* coding for a nuclease and *meu16*, a non coding RNA potentially involved in meiosis regulation (Watanabe T. *et al.*, 2001)] and a second one of genes involved in DNA repair (including *slp1*, component of the spindle pole body checkpoint) are also included. This last group also includes *cdc20*, encoding DNA polymerase epsilon, which had been previously reported as non periodic (Sugino A. *et al.*, 1998).

18 genes are coding for proteins whose functions are still poorly characterised (e. g. domains identified in the protein or function suggested based on similarity with other known proteins).

Biological names	Systematic names	Gene description
Cytokinesis and cell separation		
$cdc15$; $rng1$	SPAC20G8.05c	Protein involved in cytokinesis
etd1	SPAC1006.08	Protein required for cytokinesis
imp2	SPAC13F4.08c: SPBC11C11.02	Protein required for medial ring disassembly after cytokinesis
mac1	SPAC13G7.04c	Transmembrane protein involved in cell separation
mobl	SPBC428.13c	Protein involved in regulation of cytokinesis
myo3; myp2	SPAC4A8.05c	Myosin-3 isoform, heavy chain (Type II myosin)
plo1	SPAC23C11.16	Polo kinase involved in regulation of mitosis and cytokinesis
rho4	SPAC16A10.04	Rho protein involved in regulation of cytoskeleton, cytokinesis, and cell wall integrity
sid2; pld5	SPAC24B11.11c	Protein kinase involved in regulation of cytokinesis
spn2	SPAC821.06	Septin homolog, involved in cell separation
spn7; mde8	SPBC21.08c; SPBC19F8.01c	Septin homolog, involved in cell separation
Cell cycle control genes		
ace2	SPAC6G10.12c	Zinc finger transcription factor
$apcl5$; apc 16	SPBC83.04	Component of APC/cyclosome complex
arkl; sexl	SPCC330.16;	Aurora kinase involved in regulation of mitosis
	SPCC320.13c	
cdc13	SPAC19G10.09C;	Cyclin that promotes entry into mitosis from G2 phase,
	SPBC582.03	forms complex with Cdc2
$cdc25$; sal 2	SPAC24H6.05	Tyrosine phosphatase that activates Cdc2p kinase,

Table 3.2 Selected cluster 1 members and their biological function

Cluster 2

 \mathbf{I}

75 genes are members of this cluster, 58 of which are regulated with 'high amplitude' and they are induced around anaphase and cytokinesis, which in *S. pombe* corresponds to G1 phase. Many of them are involved in DNA replication initiation like *cdc18*, *cdt1* and *dfp1* (essential factors interacting with the pre-replicative complex), *cdc22* (coding for the large subunit of ribonucleotide reductase), *cdt2* (potentially involved in the formation of protein complexes required for DNA replication (Yoshida S.H. *et al.*, 2003) and *ssb1* (encoding for Replication Protein A, involved in DNA replication, recombination and repair). Two polymerase subunits are also expressed (*pol1* – alpha subunit and *cdm1* – delta subunit). *Pol1* was previously reported as not cell cycle regulated (Park H. *et al.*, 1993).

 In *S. pombe*, septation does not need to be completed for DNA replication to start, and the two processes are partially overlapping due to a very short G1 phase. Therefore, this cluster also includes genes required for cell separation: *eng1* and *exg1* (glucanases involved in septum digestion), *cdc4* and *klp8* (involved in actin and microtubule rearrangements). Similarly, chromosome segregation genes like *fin1* (kinase promoting chromatin condensation), *rad21* (mitotic cohesion subunit), *ams2* (chromatin binding

 $\overline{1}$

protein) and *cnp1* (CeNtromere Protein-A-like, histone H3 variant) as well as sister chromatid cohesion genes (*cut2*, *eso1* and *psm3*) are also present.

 Some other well characterised genes involved in cell cycle progression are also expressed at this stage: *cdc10* and *rep2* (encoding a component and a regulator of the MBF transcription factor), *ste9* (Anaphase Promoting Complex regulator), *cig2* (cdc2p cyclin partner) and *mik1* (cdc2p inhibitor), and *mrc1* (DNA damage checkpoint protein).

Another non-coding RNA, *meu19*, with a putative meiotic regulatory role, is found in the cluster. Among the members of this cluster with an unknown function, SPBC21B10.13c is worth mentioning, which encodes a protein containing a homeobox domain, a domain frequently found in transcription factors (Gehring W.J. *et al.*, 1994). Its budding yeast homologue, *YOX1*, encodes a homeodomain protein which acts as a transcriptional repressor, restricting expression of a subset of genes to M/G1 (Pramila T. *et al.*, 2002). *YOX1* itself is periodic in *S. cerevisiae*. SPBC21B10.13c might also have a regulatory role in meiosis (Mata J. *et al.*, 2002). 32 genes code for proteins with unknown function.

Biological names	Systematic names	Gene description
Cell cycle control genes		
cdc10	SPBC336.12c	Component of MBF transcriptional activation complex involved in control of START
$\frac{cig2; cycl7}{ }$	SPAPB2B4.03	Major G1/S-phase cyclin, promotes onset of S phase
mik1	SPBC660.14	Protein kinase that inhibits Cdc2p kinase
	SPBC21B10.13c; SPAC21B10.13c	Homeobox domain (homeodomain) protein, putative transcription factor
rep2	SPBC2F12.11c	Zinc finger transcriptional activator, MBF transcriptional complex
ste9; srw1	SPAC144.13c	Protein required for mating and sporulation, may regulate anaphase promoting complex
DNA replication		
cdc18	SPBC14C8.07c	Protein that couples cell cycle signals to DNA replication machinery and induces replication
cdc22	SPAC1F7.05	Ribonucleoside-diphosphate reductase large chain, likely required for initiation of DNA replication
cdm1	SPBC12D12.02c	DNA polymerase delta subunit
cdt1	SPBC428.18	Protein that coordinates completion of S phase with onset of mitosis
cdt2	SPAC17H9.19c	Protein required for DNA replication
$dfpl$; $himl$; rad35	SPCC550.13	Regulatory subunit of the Hsk1p-Dfp1p kinase complex involved in S phase initiation
$mrcl$; huc l	SPAC694.06c	Protein required for DNA replication checkpoint
poll; swi7	SPAC3H5.06c	DNA polymerase alpha catalytic subunit

Table 3.3 Selected cluster 2 members and their biological function

Cluster 3

This cluster contains 46 genes expressed during DNA replication, which overlaps with septation and cell separation in rapidly growing fission yeast cells. 18 genes are 'high amplitude'.

 All histone genes peak during S phase as expected, and represent the tightest subcluster within cluster 3. Other interesting genes are: *rad25*, whose product is responsible for sequestering cdc25p to the cytoplasm causing a G2/M arrest in response to DNA damage and *pas1*, encoding a cyclin partner for the Pef1p kinase complex possibly involved in the regulation of MBF transcription factor (Tanaka K. and Okayama H., 2000).

 Proteins with unknown function are encoded by 16 members of this cluster; among those are several proteins containing some well known domains such as zinc fingers, HMG-box and GTPase activation. The non coding RNA *prl36* is also member of this cluster.

Biological names	Systematic names	Gene description
Histones		
hht l	SPAC1834.04	Histone H _{3.1}
$hht2$	SPBC8D2.04	Histone H _{3.2}
$hht3; clo5$	SPBC1105.11c	Histone H3.3
$hhfl$; amsl	SPAC1834.03c	Histone H4.1
$hhf2$; ams3	SPBC8D2.03c	Protein similar to histone H4.1, contains a core histone
		domain
$hhf3$; ams4	SPBC1105.12	Histone 4.3
hta l	SPCC622.08c	Histone H ₂ A-alpha
hta2	SPAC19G12.06c	Histone H ₂ A-beta
htb1	SPCC622.09	Histone H2B-alpha
pht1	SPBC11B10.10c	Histone H ₂ A variant
Cell cycle checkpoints		
rad25	SPAC17A2.13c	14-3-3- protein involved in DNA damage checkpoint control
Meiosis		
	SPAC57A10.01;	Cyclin involved in regulation of mating, interacts with
pas l	SPAC19E9.03	Pef1p and Cdc2p kinases
Metabolic genes and others		

Table 3.4 Selected cluster 3 members and their biological function

Cluster 4

This cluster contains 147 genes, peaking at different times during G2, only 7 of which belong to the 'high amplitude' subgroup. It is the largest and most heterogeneous cluster of the four, and only 20% of its members have a characterised function. It contains 68 genes encoding proteins with unknown functions or with only an identified domain.

Cdc2, encoding the kinase responsible for driving cell cycle progression and *cig1*, one of cdc2p cyclin partners, both peak in G2, together with *spd1*, an S-phase inhibitor through association with cdc2p. Many genes encoding membrane transporters or proteins involved in ribosome biogenesis and RNA processing are also transcribed at this stage, reflecting the actively growing state of the cell in G2 phase.

 Another subcluster is represented by several tf2-type transposon elements (9 genes). It is also interesting to notice the presence of several stress genes, including the transcription factor *pcr1*, involved in regulating meiosis and stress response. At least 50 genes belonging to this cluster, most of them still uncharacterised, are known to be induced in response to stress (Chen D. *et al.*, 2003).

Biological names	Systematic names	Gene description
Cell cycle control		
$cdc2$: swo 2	SPBC11B10.09	Cyclin-dependent kinase, regulates cell cycle transitions $G1/S$ and $G2/M$
cig1	SPCC645.01; SPCC4E9.02	B-type cyclin involved in G1 to S phase transition
spd1	SPAC29B12.03	Negative regulator of S phase
Transporters		
mael	SPAPB8E5.03	Malate transporter
sst1	SPAC521.04c	Member of sodium or calcium exchanger protein family of

Table 3.5 Selected cluster 4 members and their biological function

Unclassified genes

48 genes could not be assigned to a specific cluster (Table 3.5). Regardless of the clustering method used, classification is somewhat arbitrary and this becomes more evident when looking at the genes at the boundary of each cluster where assignment becomes difficult. For all the unclassified genes, Arrayminer gives an estimate of the closest cluster they could belong to. Taking this into account and also considering the timing of peak of expression of each gene, some of these 48 genes have been assigned to smaller clusters named 1/2, 2/3, 3/4 and 4/1 (Fig. 3.3).

 Among the genes peaking in M and G1 (Fig. 3.3 - cluster 1/2) is *hsk1*, encoding a factor responsible for DNA replication initiation in association with its partner dfp1p (gene member of cluster 2). *Mfm2*, *spk1* and *byr2* are all involved in mating, sporulation and the pheromone signalling pathway. Another essential meiotic gene expressed at this boundary is *mei2*, which encodes an RNA binding protein crucial for initiation of premeiotic DNA synthesis and meiosis I.

The genes assigned to the G2/M boundary (Fig. 3.3 - cluster 4/1) include a sulphate transporter family member and several enzymes involved in different metabolic pathways, similar functions to most of the previously characterised cluster 4 members. *Sim4*, involved in chromosome segregation it is also part of this group.

The majority of the genes peaking at either G1/S (Fig. 3.3 - cluster 2/3) or S/G2 (Fig. 3.3 - cluster 3/4) do not have a well characterised function except *fim1*, a fimbrin coding gene, which may be involved in polarised growth, assigned to cluster 3/4.

Biological names	Systematic names	Gene description
Cluster $1/2$ (M-G1 genes)		
vip1	SPAC10F6.06	Protein containing an RNA recognition motif
prl3		Non-coding RNA
h s k l	SPBC776.12c	Protein kinase of the Hsk1p-Dfp1p complex involved in S phase initiation
mfm2	SPAC513.03	Precursor polypeptide for mating pheromone M factor produced by h- cells
spkl	SPAC31G5.09c	MAP kinase (MAPK) acting in the mating and sporulation pathways
$byr2;$ ste8	SPBC2F12.01; SPBC1D7.05	MAP kinase kinase kinase acting upstream of MAPKK Byr1p and MAP kinase Spk1p in pheromone signaling pathway
	SPAC1006.06	Protein with RhoGEF domain, similar to Rho GDP-GTP exchange factor activated by cell wall defects
	SPAC12G12.06c	Probable RNA 3'-terminal phosphate cyclase
	SPBC1683.07	Protein similar to alpha-glucosidase
hri l	SPAC20G4.03c	Translation initiation factor 2 alpha kinase, may play role in negative regulation of eIF2alpha in response to stress
mei2	SPAC27D7.03c	RNA-binding protein involved in meiosis
spm1; pmk1	SPBC119.08	MAP kinase involved in maintenance of cell wall integrity
	SPCC965.06	Protein similar to potassium voltage-gated channel
cnd2	SPCC306.03c	Subunit of condensin complex involved in chromosome condensation

Table 3.6 Selected unclassified genes and their biological function

