Analysis of Genes Controlling

Notochord Development in

Zebrafish

Kevin Andrew Thomas

March 2005

Thesis submitted to the University of London for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

National Institute for Medical Research, Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute And University College London

Abstract

The notochord is a vital and defining organ in vertebrates. Mutagenesis screening in zebrafish identified seven 'dwarf' mutants that lack notochord development; *grumpy, sleepy, bashful, dopey, happy, sneezy* and *doc*. This thesis is concerned with the identification and positional cloning of the *doc* locus as well as the confirmation and characterisation of the *dopey* and *happy* loci. Previous positional cloning efforts identified the *grumpy, sleepy* and *bashful* genes, demonstrating a requirement for the laminin chains $\beta 1$, $\gamma 1$ and $\alpha 1$ in formation of the notochord basement membrane (Parsons et al., 2002b; Pollard, 2002) and the mutant *sneezy*, which has been shown to encode the COPI subunit α (Coutinho et al., 2004).

This thesis establishes that the *doc locus* lies within a 0.5Mb region on linkage group 18, containing several genes, including a novel gene encoding a predicted protein with 14 WD40 domains. Antisense morpholino (MO) knock-down of *doc* results in a phenocopy of *doc* and *insitu* expression demonstrates that this gene is expressed specifically within the notochord during development. Expression analysis of *echidna hedgehog (ehh)* demonstrated that MO knock-down of this gene results in a lack of notochord differentiation. I therefore expect this novel gene is *doc*.

Analysis of the mutants *dopey* and *happy* has demonstrated that they encode the coatomer subunits COP β ' and COP β respectively. Expression of these and other COPI subunits demonstrate that the majority of COPI subunits are up-regulated within the notochord during development and maintained abnormally in COPI deficient embryos. I have investigated the mechanism of coatomer gene regulation

2

and found that loss of coatomer function leads both to up-regulation of coatomer mRNA and activation of the unfolded protein response (UPR). Suggesting that the UPR is the regulator of mRNA expression, functioning to maintain the secretory network during development, though work to provide definitive proof remains.

To my mum, who taught me and to Zoë, who keeps me sane.

It is not enough to have a good mind; the main thing is to use it well.

Rene Descartes 1596-1650

Creationists make it sound as though a "theory" is something you dreamt up after

being drunk all night.

Isaac Asimov 1920-1992

Contents

ABSTRACT	2
CONTENTS	6
ABBREVIATIONS	10
LIST OF FIGURES	12
LIST OF TABLES	12
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	13
1 INTRODUCTION	15
1.1Overview of Danio rerio1.1.1The zebrafish as a model organism1.1.2Brief summary of zebrafish embryology	15 15 16
1.2Early zebrafish Development1.2.1Formation of the dorsal Organiser1.2.2Properties of the Dorsal Organiser1.2.3Specification of the three germ layers	21 22 32 36
1.3Notochord1.3.1Differentiation of the Notochord1.3.2Patterning by the Notochord1.3.3Mechanical Properties of the Notochord	38 39 42 48
1.4Notochord Mutants1.4.1Dwarf Mutants	50 52
1.5Notochord Sheath1.5.1Components of the Notochord Basement Membrane1.5.2Formation of the Notochord Basement Membrane	57 58 59
1.6 Summary of Thesis Results	61
2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	63
2.1 Embryo collection	63
 2.2 General molecular biology techniques 2.2.1 Small scale preparation of DNA 2.2.2 Gel extraction of DNA 2.2.3 Phenol/Chloroform extraction 2.2.4 Ethanol Precipitation 2.2.5 TOPO cloning 2.2.6 Transformation of chemically competent bacteria 	63 64 64 64 65 65

2.2.7 2.2.8	7 Restriction digestions8 DNA Sequencing	65 66
2.3 2.3.2 2.3.2 2.3.2	 Bioinformatics and Genomics Identification of zebrafish orthologues of mouse or human proteins Analysis of Identified Genes Oligonucleotide design 	66 66 67 67
2.4	Preparation of genomic DNA from Adult Fish	68
2.5	Preparation of genomic DNA from embryos	69
2.6 2.6.2 2.6.2 2.6.4 2.6.4 2.6.4	Polymerase Chain ReactionSSLP mappingRadiation hybrid panelsdCAPS AnalysisRT-PCRRapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE)Agarose Gel Electrophoresis	69 69 70 70 71 72 73
2.7	Whole-mount in situ hybridisation	73
2.8	Morpholino injection	75
2.9	Confocal Microscopy	76
2.10	Photomicrography	77
3 F	POSITIONAL CLONING OF DOC	81
3.1	Introduction	81
3.1 3.2	Introduction Initial Mapping of <i>doc</i>	81 88
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.3.2 3.3.2 3.3.2	Introduction Initial Mapping of doc Confirmation of Linkage and Further mapping Confirmation of Linkage to LG18 Defining a Region for doc Fine mapping of doc	81 88 91 91 91 92
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.2 3.3.2 3.4	Introduction Initial Mapping of doc Confirmation of Linkage and Further mapping Confirmation of Linkage to LG18 Defining a Region for doc Fine mapping of doc Identifying Candidates	 81 88 91 91 91 92 92
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.3.2 3.3.2 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.4 3.5	Introduction Initial Mapping of doc Confirmation of Linkage and Further mapping Confirmation of Linkage to LG18 Defining a Region for doc Fine mapping of doc Identifying Candidates Discussion	 81 88 91 91 92 92 92 92 93
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.2 3.3.2 3.4 3.5 3.6	Introduction Initial Mapping of doc Confirmation of Linkage and Further mapping Confirmation of Linkage to LG18 Defining a Region for doc Fine mapping of doc Identifying Candidates Discussion Summary	 81 88 91 91 91 92 92 92 93 94
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.3.2 3.3.2 3.3.2 3.3.2 3.4 3.5 3.6 4	Introduction Initial Mapping of doc Confirmation of Linkage and Further mapping Confirmation of Linkage to LG18 Defining a Region for doc Fine mapping of doc Identifying Candidates Discussion Summary CHARACTERISATION OF DOC	 81 88 91 91 91 92 92 92 92 93 94 97
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.3.1 3.4 4 3.5 4 4.1 4 4.1	Introduction Initial Mapping of doc Confirmation of Linkage and Further mapping Confirmation of Linkage to LG18 Defining a Region for doc Fine mapping of doc Identifying Candidates Discussion Summary CHARACTERISATION OF DOC Introduction	 81 88 91 91 92 92 92 93 94 97 97
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.3.2 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 4 4.1 4.2	Introduction Initial Mapping of doc Confirmation of Linkage and Further mapping Confirmation of Linkage to LG18 Defining a Region for doc Fine mapping of doc Identifying Candidates Discussion Summary CHARACTERISATION OF DOC Introduction MO Knock-Down of Candidate Genes	 81 88 91 91 92 92 92 93 94 97 97 97 97
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.3.2 3.3.2 3.3.2 3.3.2 3.4 3.5 3.6 4 4.1 4.2 4.3	Introduction Initial Mapping of doc Confirmation of Linkage and Further mapping Confirmation of Linkage to LG18 Defining a Region for doc Fine mapping of doc Identifying Candidates Discussion Summary CHARACTERISATION OF DOC Introduction MO Knock-Down of Candidate Genes Analysis of the Novel Multiple WD40 Domain Gene	 81 88 91 91 91 92 92 92 92 93 94 97 97 97 97 100
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.2 3.3 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 4 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4	Introduction Initial Mapping of doc Confirmation of Linkage and Further mapping Confirmation of Linkage to LG18 Defining a Region for doc Tine mapping of doc Identifying Candidates Discussion Summary CHARACTERISATION OF DOC Introduction MO Knock-Down of Candidate Genes Analysis of the Novel Multiple WD40 Domain Gene Additional MO Analysis of doc	 81 88 91 91 91 92 92 92 93 94 97 97 97 100 102
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.3.3 3.3.3 3.3.3 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 4 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5	Introduction Initial Mapping of doc Confirmation of Linkage and Further mapping Confirmation of Linkage to LG18 Defining a Region for doc Fine mapping of doc Identifying Candidates Discussion Summary CHARACTERISATION OF DOC Introduction MO Knock-Down of Candidate Genes Analysis of the Novel Multiple WD40 Domain Gene Additional MO Analysis of doc Expression of doc mRNA	 81 88 91 91 92 92 92 93 94 97 97 97 100 102 104

4.7	Discussion	107
4.8	Summary	109
5 (CHARACTERISATION OF HAP, DOP AND THE COPI SUBUN	TS 111
5.1	Introduction	111
5.2 5.2. 5.2. 5.2. 5.2. 5.2. 5.2.	Identifying Mutations in haptm285band dop1Radiation Hybrid Mapping of COPβ2Radiation Hybrid mapping of COPβ'3Cloning the Full Length COPβ Gene4Identification of the Mutation in hap5Cloning the Full Length COPβ' Gene6Identification of the Mutation in dop	120 120 121 121 121 121 122 122
5.3 5.3. 5.3.	Expression of COPβ and COPβ'1Expression of COPβ mRNA2Expression of COPβ' mRNA	123 123 124
5.4	Identification of the zebrafish COPI subunits	130
5.5 5.5. 5.5.	 Expression of COPI subunit mRNA Expression of COPε, COPδ, COPγ2 and COPζ2 mRNA Expression of COPζ mRNA 	130 131 140
5.6	Discussion	143
5.7	summary	146
6 E	EFFECT OF COPI LOSS ON ER AND GOLGI STRUCTURE	148
6.1	Introduction	148
6.2	Loss of Coatomer in Notochord and Muscle Cells	149
6.3	Effect of COPI Loss of Function Over Time	152
6.4	Discussion	155
6.5	Summary	156
7 REGULATION OF COPI EXPRESSION 159		
7.1	Introduction	159
7.2	Expression of COPI in Undifferentiated Notochords	169
7.3	Identification of UPR Components in Zebrafish	172
7.4 7.4. 7.4.	Activation of the UPR During Development and in COPI Mutants1Expression of BiP mRNA During Development2Expression of BiP mRNA in Coatomer Mutants	172 173 175
7.5 7.5. 7.5.	Morpholino Knock-Down of UPR Components1Signalling Components of the UPR2the UPR 'Master Regulator' BiP	177 177 182

7.6	Role of the UPR in COPI expression	185
7.7	Discussion	189
7.8	Summary	194
8 DISCUSSION 1		197
8.1	A Novel Gene with a Role in Zebrafish Notochord Development	198
8.1.	Cloning the Zebrafish Notochord Mutant doc	198
8.1.2	2 A Novel Multiple WD40 Domain Protein Encodes <i>doc</i>	199
8.2	The Role and Regulation of the Secretory Network in Development	201
8.2.1	A Role for COPI in Development	201
8.2.2	2 The Effect of Loss of COPI Function	206
8.2.3	The UPR as an Essential Regulatory Mechanism in Developmental	208
8.3	Future Work	213
8.4	Summary	218
REFERENCES AND APPENDICES 221		
Appen	dices	221
Refere	nces	227

Abbreviations

AP	Antero-posterior
BAC	Bacterial artificial chromosome
bal	bashful
BCIP	X-phosphate/5-Bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-phosphate
BM	Basement membrane
BSA	Bovine serum albumin
COPI	Coatomer complex
DEPC	Diethylpyrocarbonate
DIG	Digoxygenin
dop	dopey
DTT	Dithiothritol
DV	Dorso-ventral
EDTA	Ethylene-diamine-tetra-acetate
EST	Expressed sequence tag
GTP	Guanidine 5'-triphosphate
gup	grumpy
hap	happy
HEPES	N-2-hydroxyethylpiperazine-N'-2-ethanesulfonic acid
hpf	Hours post-fertilisation

IPTG	Isopropylthio-β-D-galactosidase
LG	Linkage group
MO	Antisense morpholino oligonucleotide
NBT	4-Nitro blue tetrazolium chloride
OD	Optical density
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
RACE	Rapid amplification of cDNA ends
RAPD	Random amplified polymorphic DNA
RH	Radiation hybrid
SDS	Sodium dodecyl sulphate
sly	sleepy
sny	sneezy
SSLP	Simple sequence length polymorphism
TAE	Tris, acetate, EDTA
TBE	Tris, borate, EDTA
TE	Tris EDTA
UPR	Unfolded Protein Response
WT	Wild Type
X-Gal	5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactosidase
YSL	Yolk Syncytial layer

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 Establishment of the dorsal-ventral axis in Xenopus and zebrafish.	31
Figure 1.2 Patterning of anterior and posterior shield regions.	34
Figure 1.3 Patterning of surrounding tissues by the notochord.	47
Figure 1.4 Roles of notochord dwarf mutants in notochord development.	56
Figure 3.1 Likage group mapping of <i>doc</i>	90
Figure 3.2 Overview of mapping of <i>doc</i>	95
Figure 4.1 MO knock-down of candidate genes.	99
Figure 4.2 Comparison of doc homologues	101
Figure 4.3 MO knock-down with secondary doc MO.	103
Figure 4.4 Staged expression profile of <i>doc</i> .	105
Figure 4.5 Expression of <i>ehh</i> in doc MO injected embryos.	106
Figure 5.1 Overview of secretion.	114
Figure 5.2 COPI complex subunit subcomplex composition.	116
Figure 5.3 Staged expression profile of <i>COP</i> β.	126
Figure 5.4 Expression profile of COPB in COPI mutants and wild type embryos at 28 hpf	127
Figure 5.5 Staged expression profile of COPB'.	128
Figure 5.6 Expression profile of <i>COPB</i> ' in mutant and wild type embryos at 28 hpf	129
Figure 5.7 Staged expression profile of COPE.	132
Figure 5.8 Staged expression profile of COPS	133
Figure 5.9 Staged expression profile of COPy2	134
Figure 5.10 Staged expression profile of COP ²	135
Figure 5.11 Expression profile of COPE in mutant and wild type embryos at 28 hpf	136
Figure 5.12 Expression profile of COPô in mutant and wild type embryos at 28 hpf	137
Figure 5.13 Expression profile of COPy2 in mutant and wild type embryos at 28 hpf.	138
Figure 5.14 Expression profile of COPζ2 in mutant and wild type embryos at 28 hpf	139
Figure 5.15 Staged expression profile of <i>COP</i> ζ	141
Figure 5.16 Expression profile of COPζ in mutant and wild type embryos at 28 hpf	142
Figure 6.1 Structure of Golgi and ER in normal and COPI loss of function embryos	151
Figure 6.2 Effect of COPI loss of function on the localisation of ER-CFP and Golgi-YFP	154
Figure 7.1 UPR activation in yeast	162
Figure 7.2 Activation of the UPR in higher organisms.	167
Figure 7.3 Expression of COPa and ehh in sny and sly embryos.	171
Figure 7.4 Staged expression profile of the UPR marker BiP.	174
Figure 7.5 Expression profile of <i>BiP</i> in mutant and wild type embryos at 28 hpf	176
Figure 7.6 Embryos injected with ATG targeted MO's for IRE1 and ATF-6.	180
Figure 7.7 MO knockdown of PERK and XBP-1	181
Figure 7.8 MO knock-down of BiP	184
Figure 7.9 Expression of <i>COP</i> β' in normal and UPR deficient embryos	187
Figure 7.10 Expression of BiP in normal and UPR deficient embryos	188

List of Tables

Table 2.1 MOs used in this thesis.	68
Table 2.2 Formulation of frequently used solutions.	78
Table 2.3 In situ hybridisation probes used in this thesis.	79
Table 3.1 Examples of Zebrafish genes identified by positional cloning.	85

Acknowledgements

This thesis has only been possible thanks to the help and support of many people. Firstly, my mother, who taught me the value of a smile and my siblings, who continue to support me. Also Zoë, without whose support this would not have been possible.

I would also like to thank Steven Pollard, Mike Parsons and Elisabeth Busch-Netwich, for helping clarify the many problems I had in finding *doc*. I would also like to thank the rest of the lab, past and present (Ben, Isabel, Rita, Emma, Pedro, Wei, Richard, Ross, Sam, Matt, Ho-Yon, Huw, Annabelle, both Kathy's and recently Carlos and Mariella) for lending advice and support and for providing such an enjoyable and friendly place to work.

Last, but of course not least, I would like to thank Derek, for taking me on as his student, helping me with all the many problems I seem to have encountered, for being such a great source of information and encouragement and for creating such a positive environment in which to work. For all these things he has my many thanks.

It hasn't always been simple, but it's been fun, mostly, and I will remember these years with great fondness. Thank you all.