

**Systematic analysis of
the evolution and conservation of
genetic interactions
using *C. elegans* as a model system**

This dissertation is submitted in accordance with the requirements of the University of
Cambridge for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Julia Tischler

The Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute

University of Cambridge

Clare Hall



To my parents, with love

Preface

This thesis describes my work undertaken in the laboratory of Andrew G. Fraser at the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute while member of Clare Hall, University of Cambridge. It is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. This dissertation is the result of my own work and includes nothing, which is the outcome of work done in collaboration except where specifically indicated in the text. The work described here has not been submitted for any degree, diploma, or other qualification. This thesis does not exceed 300, single-sided pages of double spaced text, not including the bibliography and appendices.

Julia Tischler

Cambridge, September 2007

Abstract

Systematic analyses of loss-of-function phenotypes have been carried out for the majority of genes in *S. cerevisiae*, *C. elegans*, and *D. melanogaster*. While these studies greatly expand our knowledge of individual gene functions, they do not address redundancy in genetic networks nor do they attempt to identify genetic interactions. Developing tools for the systematic mapping of genetic interactions is thus a crucial step for exploring gene networks.

I established protocols for simultaneously targeting multiple genes by RNA interference (RNAi) in *C. elegans* using bacterial feeding ('combinatorial RNAi'). This approach allows me to examine interactions between any pair of genes and to detect the great majority of previously known synthetic lethal (SL) and post-embryonic synthetic genetic interactions. I used this technique to provide the first large-scale analysis in any organism of the redundant functions of gene duplicates. Focusing on genes that have been duplicated in the genome of *C. elegans* since divergence from either *S. cerevisiae* or *D. melanogaster*, I identified 16 out of 143 of duplicated gene pairs amenable to analysis by combinatorial RNAi to be at least partially functionally redundant. Intriguingly, the majority of these redundant gene pairs were duplicated before the split of *C. elegans* and *C. briggsae* 80-110 million years ago. My findings support population genetics models, which suggest that redundancy is not just a transient side effect of recent gene duplication but is instead a phenomenon that can be maintained over substantial periods of evolutionary time.

While I have identified functional redundancy between gene duplicates, most redundancy in genetic networks tends to be more complex. The majority of synthetic lethal interactions that were uncovered in *S. cerevisiae* occur between genes unrelated at the sequence level. To date, there is still much debate about how such 'higher-order' functional redundancy might arise, whether it is a selectable trait, and whether such redundancy can be conserved throughout evolution. Thus, to shed light on the evolution of genetic interactions, I investigated the conservation of gene networks between *S. cerevisiae* and *C. elegans*. Using an RNAi-based approach, I set out to explore whether

individual synthetic lethal interactions uncovered in *S. cerevisiae* are retained in *C. elegans*. I found synthetic lethal interactions to be poorly conserved between yeast and worm — despite the very high degree of conservation of individual gene functions — demonstrating a substantial evolutionary plasticity of complex gene networks. My results suggest that SL interactions are unlikely to be explained by simple models of genetic redundancy and led me to propose a novel model for the interpretation of SL interactions. In this view (‘induced essentiality’), SL interactions represent a special form of conditional essentiality.

Publications

Publications arising from the work described in this thesis at the time of submission:

Tischler, J., Lehner, B., Fraser, A. G. (2007). Evolutionary plasticity of genetic interaction networks. *Submitted*.

Lehner, B., **Tischler, J.**, Fraser, A. G. (2006). RNAi screens in *C. elegans* in a 96-well liquid format and their application to the systematic identification of genetic interactions. *Nat Protoc.* **1**, 1617-1620.

Tischler, J., Lehner, B., Chen, N., Fraser, A. G. (2006). Combinatorial RNA interference in *C. elegans* reveals that redundancy between gene duplicates can be maintained for more than 80 million years of evolution. *Genome Biol.* **7**, R69.

Lehner, B., Crombie, C., **Tischler, J.**, Fortunato, A., Fraser, A. G. (2006). Systematic mapping of genetic interactions in *Caenorhabditis elegans* identifies common modifiers of diverse signaling pathways. *Nat Genet.* **38**, 896-903.

Lehner, B., Calixto, A., Crombie, C., **Tischler, J.**, Fortunato, A., Chalfie, M., Fraser, A. G. (2006). Loss of LIN-35, the *Caenorhabditis elegans* ortholog of the tumor suppressor p105Rb, results in enhanced RNA interference. *Genome Biol.* **7**, R4.

Lehner, B., **Tischler, J.**, Fraser, A. G. (2005). Systems biology: where it's at in 2005. *Genome Biol.* **6**, 338.

Acknowledgements

I want to particularly thank my PhD supervisor Andy Fraser, who has been incredibly inspiring and fun to work with. Special thanks go to Ben Lehner for his tremendous support and dedication throughout all the tough and rewarding times. Andy and Ben, you are an amazing team! I will miss working with you and the great times we have had! Thanks Andy II and Arun for having made our lab such a fun place, you rock! Many thanks go to Elisabeth who has always been there for me to share my happiness and to dry my tears. Thanks a lot also to Mariella and Christian.

I would also like to thank the Sanger faculty who have selected me into the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute PhD programme and the Wellcome Trust for its generous fellowship. Thanks a lot to Derek Stemple for his enthusiasm and for being a great PhD advisor. I hope you will come and visit me at Caltech. Thanks also go to my PhD committee members Juerg Baehler and Ian Dunham.

Thanks Antony for your amazing WormBase help! Thanks Wendy for being my late-night informatics helpline.

Many thanks to Sabine, Mi, Claire, Tina, Chris, Mutlu, Mark, Markus, Yung-Chin, Ivan, and Andreas, who are amazing friends and who have made my time here very special. Thanks a lot to the Spaniards, for their fun fiestas, for teaching me how to play football and volleyball, and for taking me on trips to their wonderful home country. Many thanks to PhD 06, you have always cheered me up. Thanks to the Clare Hall rowing girls for getting me out of bed to enjoy these crisp winter mornings on the river Cam. Many thanks go to my housemates, my 'urban family'.

Hugs to Bettina, Sanda, Lucia, Katze, Dr Berndi, Bibsi, and C, my dear friends at home. Wish you were here! Thanks a lot to Achim, who kept me posted with great tunes.

Many thanks to Margaret, Tony, Heike, and the boys, you felt like my little family here. Thanks to Lucy.

I would like to especially thank my parents for their love and support, for standing behind me in all my endeavours, for their patience and encouragement. Thanks to my grandmother, brother and sister, and Gasparone.

Table of contents

Chapter 1 — Introduction	1
1.1. Outline of introduction	2
1.2. <i>Caenorhabditis elegans</i> as a model system	3
1.3. Uncovering gene functions in <i>C. elegans</i>	4
1.3.1. Forward genetic screens	4
1.3.2. Reverse genetic approaches	4
1.3.2.1. RNA interference phenomena	5
1.3.2.2. Mechanism of double-stranded RNA-induced gene silencing	5
1.4. The <i>C. elegans</i> genome	7
1.5. WormBase	8
1.6. RNA interference in <i>C. elegans</i> by bacterial feeding	8
1.6.1. Experimental setup for RNA interference by bacterial feeding	9
1.6.2. Genome-wide RNA interference by bacterial feeding	12
1.7. Using RNA interference in cell-based approaches for uncovering gene functions in <i>D. melanogaster</i> on a large scale	13
1.8. Uncovering gene functions in <i>S. cerevisiae</i> on a genome-wide scale	13
1.9. Identification of orthologous genes	14
1.10. Gene dispensability and its potential underlying causes	15
1.10.1. Environmental adaptation	17
1.10.2. Functional redundancy and mutational robustness	18
1.10.2.1. Systematic experimental approaches for uncovering genetic interactions and functional genetic redundancy	21
1.10.2.1.1. Identifying synthetic lethal interactions in the yeast <i>S. cerevisiae</i> on a large scale	22
1.10.2.1.2. Defining non-additive, ‘synthetic’ genetic interactions by a multiplicative model	24

1.10.2.1.3. Inferring functional relationships from genetic interaction screens	25
1.10.2.1.4. Features of genetic interaction networks	26
1.10.2.1.5. Condition-specificity of synthetic lethal interactions	28
1.10.2.1.6. Synthetic genetic interactions and disease	29
1.11. Rationale of my study	30
Chapter 2 — Materials and Methods	32
2.1. Reagents	33
2.1.1. <i>C. elegans</i>	33
2.1.1.1. <i>C. elegans</i> strains	33
2.1.1.2. Nematode Growth Medium (NGM)	33
2.1.1.3. M9 Buffer	33
2.1.1.4. Freezing buffer	35
2.1.1.5. Bleach solution	35
2.1.2. Bacteria	35
2.1.2.1. Ahringer RNAi feeding library	35
2.1.2.2. Luria-Bertani (LB) medium	35
2.1.2.3. 2 x Tryptone / yeast extract (TY)	36
2.2. Protocols	36
2.2.1. Maintenance of <i>C. elegans</i> stocks	36
2.2.2. Freezing and recovery of <i>C. elegans</i> stocks	36
2.2.3. High-throughput RNA interference liquid-feeding assay	36
2.2.4. Testing post-embryonic additive RNAi phenotypes and known post-embryonic synthetic genetic interactions	39
2.2.5. Investigating dilution effects induced by combinatorial RNAi	39
2.2.6. Estimating the false-negative rate of combinatorial RNAi	39
2.2.7. Identification of <i>C. elegans</i> gene duplicates	40
2.2.8. Identification of <i>C. elegans</i> orthologues of <i>S. cerevisiae</i> gene pairs with synthetic lethal interactions	40

2.2.9. Identification of synthetic genetic interactions using combinatorial RNAi	40
2.2.10. Identification of synthetic lethal interactions using RNAi in genetic mutants	41
2.2.11. Statistical analysis of quantitative phenotype data under a multiplicative model	42
2.2.12. Evolutionary analysis	43
2.2.13. Comparative analysis of synthetic genetic interactions compiled from literature	43
2.2.14. Imaging	43
2.2.15. Amplification of DNA fragments by polymerase chain reaction (PCR)	44
Chapter 3 — Establishing and validating protocols for high-throughput RNA-mediated interference	45
3.1. Introduction	46
3.2. Establishing a high-throughput RNAi liquid-feeding assay in 96-well format	48
3.2.1. Experimental design	49
3.2.2. Determining the sensitivity of RNAi by liquid feeding in 96-well format	52
3.2.3. Targeting multiple genes simultaneously by combinatorial RNAi	55
3.2.3.1. Testing additive RNAi phenotypes and known synthetic genetic interactions	57
3.2.3.1.1. Generating additive phenotypes by combinatorial RNAi	58
3.2.3.1.2. Creating synthetic post-embryonic phenotypes by combinatorial RNAi	61
3.2.3.1.3. Generating known synthetic lethal phenotypes by combinatorial RNAi	64
3.2.4. Effect of dilution on phenotype strength	69
3.3. Conclusion	73

Chapter 4 — Functional redundancy between <i>C. elegans</i> gene duplicates	75
4.1. Introduction	76
4.2. Examining the redundancy of duplicated genes in the genome of <i>C. elegans</i>	76
4.3. Transferring gene functions between <i>S. cerevisiae</i> and <i>C. elegans</i>	79
4.4. Duplicated genes can maintain redundant functions for more than 80 million years of evolution	85
4.5. Conclusion	91
Chapter 5 — Functional redundancy in genetic interaction networks	93
5.1. Introduction	94
5.2. Investigating the conservation of synthetic lethal interactions between <i>S. cerevisiae</i> and <i>C. elegans</i>	94
5.2.1. Using combinatorial RNAi to test whether synthetic lethal interactions are conserved between yeast and worm	95
5.2.2. Using RNAi in genetic mutants to test whether synthetic lethal interactions are conserved between yeast and worm	101
5.3. Synthetic lethal interactions are not conserved between <i>S. cerevisiae</i> and <i>C. elegans</i>	104
5.4. ‘Induced essentiality’ as alternative model for the interpretation of synthetic lethal interactions	106
5.5. Investigating higher-order similarities in synthetic lethal interactions between <i>S. cerevisiae</i> and <i>C. elegans</i>	109
5.6. Comparison of literature-curated genetic interaction data	115
5.7. Conclusion	118
Chapter 6 — Discussion	120
6.1. Introduction	121
6.2. Combinatorial RNA interference in <i>C. elegans</i>	121

6.3. Functional redundancy between <i>C. elegans</i> gene duplicates can be maintained for extensive evolutionary timescales	122
6.4. Higher-order redundancy in genetic interaction networks	122
6.5. Evolutionary plasticity of genetic interaction networks	123
6.6. Non-conservation of synthetic lethal interactions and its implications for multigenic human disease	124
6.7. Synthetic lethal interactions and predictions of gene functions	125
6.8. ‘Induced essentiality’ model for the interpretation of synthetic lethal interactions	125
6.9. Conclusion	126
References	127
Appendix	142

List of Figures

Figure 1.1. Mechanism of RNA interference	6
Figure 1.2. L4440 RNA interference feeding vector	10
Figure 1.3. Schematic depiction of RNA interference by plate feeding	11
Figure 1.4. Graphical model for orthologue assignment using INPARANOID	16
Figure 1.5. Model for the interpretation of synthetic lethal interactions	27
Figure 3.1. Overview of the experimental design for the high-throughput RNA interference liquid-feeding assay	50
Figure 3.2. Example phenotypes generated by RNA interference by liquid feeding in 96-well format	51
Figure 3.3. Three approaches for using RNA interference by bacterial feeding to simultaneously target two genes	56
Figure 3.4. Overview of protocol for screening second-generation post-embryonic phenotypes generated in the high-throughput RNA interference liquid-feeding assay	59
Figure 3.5. Combinatorial RNA interference can target two genes in the same animal	62
Figure 3.6. Overview of the setup for combinatorial RNA interference screens	67
Figure 3.7. Combinatorial RNA interference can recapitulate known synthetic lethal interactions	68
Figure 3.8. Effect of dilution on strength of RNA interference phenotypes	70
Figure 3.9. False-negative rate of combinatorial RNA interference	72
Figure 4.1. Quantitative analysis of synthetic lethal phenotypes following the simultaneous targeting of both genes of a duplicate pair	81
Figure 4.2. Transferring gene functions between <i>S. cerevisiae</i> and <i>C. elegans</i>	86
Figure 4.3. Higher sequence similarity between redundant versus non-redundant gene duplicates	89
Figure 5.1. Synthetic lethal interactions that are conserved between <i>S. cerevisiae</i> and <i>C. elegans</i>	100

Figure 5.2. Overview of the setup for genetic interaction screens using RNA interference in a genetic mutant	102
Figure 5.3. Two models for the interpretation of synthetic lethal interactions	108
Figure 5.4. Identification of novel synthetic lethal interactions between <i>C. elegans</i> orthologues of <i>S. cerevisiae</i> genes	113

List of Tables

Table 2.1. Conditions for high-throughput RNA interference by liquid feeding	34
Table 3.1. Effectiveness of RNA interference by liquid feeding in 96-well format	53
Table 3.2. Combinatorial RNA interference effectively generates additive phenotypes	60
Table 3.3. Genetic interactions of synthetic multivulval genes can be recapitulated by combinatorial RNA interference	63
Table 3.4. Combinatorial RNA interference can identify known synthetic lethal interactions	65
Table 4.1. <i>C. elegans</i> duplicate gene pairs that correspond to single orthologues in <i>S. cerevisiae</i> and <i>D. melanogaster</i> genomes	77
Table 4.2. <i>C. elegans</i> duplicate gene pairs with at least partially redundant functions	80
Table 4.3. Molecular functions of <i>C. elegans</i> duplicate gene pairs with synthetic lethal phenotypes	83
Table 5.1. Overview of synthetic lethal interactions that were tested between <i>S. cerevisiae</i> and <i>C. elegans</i>	97
Table 5.2. Synthetic lethal interactions are not conserved between <i>S. cerevisiae</i> and <i>C. elegans</i>	98
Table 5.3. Quantitative analysis of synthetic lethal interactions that are conserved between <i>S. cerevisiae</i> and <i>C. elegans</i>	99
Table 5.4. <i>C. elegans</i> strains with non-specifically enhanced RNA interference phenotypes	103
Table 5.5. Molecular functions of <i>C. elegans</i> gene pairs with synthetic lethal RNA interference phenotypes	105
Table 5.6. Molecular functions of novel synthetic lethal interactions between <i>C. elegans</i> orthologues of <i>S. cerevisiae</i> genes	110

Table 5.7. Quantitative analysis of novel synthetic lethal interactions between <i>C. elegans</i> orthologues of <i>S. cerevisiae</i> genes	112
Table 5.8. Comparison of genetic interaction data compiled from literature	117

List of Appendix Tables

Appendix Table 3.1. <i>C. elegans</i> chromosome III genes with previously known RNA interference phenotypes	143
Appendix Table 3.2. <i>C. elegans</i> chromosome III genes with non-viable RNA interference phenotypes	150
Appendix Table 4.1. <i>C. elegans</i> duplicate gene pairs corresponding to single orthologues in <i>S. cerevisiae</i> and <i>D. melanogaster</i> genomes	159
Appendix Table 4.2. <i>C. elegans</i> 1:1 orthologues of <i>S. cerevisiae</i> genes	164
Appendix Table 4.3. Features of <i>C. elegans</i> duplicate gene pairs	182
Appendix Table 5.1. <i>S. cerevisiae</i> synthetic lethal interactions and their pairwise <i>C. elegans</i> orthologues	187
Appendix Table 5.2. <i>C. elegans</i> orthologues of <i>S. cerevisiae</i> synthetic lethal interactions with homozygous viable loss-of-function alleles	207
Appendix Table 5.3. Overlap of literature-curated genetic interactions between <i>S. cerevisiae</i> , <i>D. melanogaster</i> , and <i>C. elegans</i>	212

Chapter 1

Introduction