# **Module 6** Helminth *de novo* genome assembly

## **Overview and aims**

The aim of this practical class is to introduce you to some of the concepts involved in the assembly of a eukaryotic genome. The workflow that you will be using is not extensive, nor comprehensive, and like many bioinformatic tasks, there are many tools that do a similar job. However, this workflow should give you an overview of how to perform a genome assembly, and identify some of the ways to assess (and maybe improve) the quality of your genome assembly.

The data you will be working with in this tutorial comes from a species of parasitic blood fluke named *Schistosoma mansoni*. This parasite causes a disease called schistosomiasis that affects approximately 200 million people who reside in Africa, the Middle East, the Caribbean, Brazil, Venezuela and Suriname. The lifecycle of the parasite is shown in Figure 1, which illustrates two main life history stages: (1) the maturation into adulthood and sexual reproduction in the mammalian host (here a human), and (2) clonal reproduction and transmissible stage in an intermediate host (typically a snail), and in the lakes and streams in which the snail resides. The DNA for sequencing was derived from a maintained laboratory line of *S. mansoni* at the Wellcome Sanger Institute, in which the mammalian host is a mouse in the maintenance of the life cycle



Figure 1. Schistosoma mansoni lite cycle.

The data you will be using was generated by the Parasite Genomics group at the Wellcome Sanger Institute; a draft genome sequence was initially published in 2009 (Berriman et al. 2009 <u>https://doi:10.1038/nature08160</u>), followed by an improved version in 2013 (Protasio et al. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0001455</u>); however, it has subsequently been the focus of further improvement, particularly using long read Pacbio data and genetic mapping, and now is largely complete in chromosome-scale scaffolds (7 autosomes + Z/W sex chromosomes) that total approximately 380 Mb in length.

Genome assembly of a 380 Mb genome is a relatively big task and is suited to a computer cluster environment, and not personal computers. To make things manageable in terms of computer power and run time, we have selected data that corresponds to a single *S. mansoni* autosome, designated chromosome IV, which is approximately 47 Mb in length. While only a fraction of the *S. mansoni* genome, a single chromosome is comparatively *huge* relatively to many prokaryotic genomes, and still comes with the complexity of an eukaryotic genome that is not often present in a prokaryote.

To assemble the 47 Mb chromosome IV, we will use the following workflow and demonstrate following concepts:

Step 1: Estimate your genome size from raw sequence data - Tools used: Jellyfish, GenomeScope

Step 2: Build a genome assembly using Pacbio long read data, and compare it against other genome assemblies generated using either Illumina short read or Pacbio long read data - Tools used: Canu, Spades, Miniasm

- Step 3: Compare your assemblies against a known reference sequence Tools used: Nucmer, Assemblytics
- Step 4: Further explore and improve of your genome assemblies - Tools used: Bandage, Nucmer, Genome Ribbon

# your first command - move to the working directory to get
started!
\$ cd /home/manager/Module 6 helminth denovo assembly

# Step 1: Estimating your genome size from raw sequence data

In this tutorial, we are in the unique position to already know what the length of the chromosome sequence were are trying to assemble. However, if sequencing a new species for the first time, we may not know the size of the genome we are trying to assemble.. Knowledge of the genome size can be an important piece of information in its own right, however, it can also be useful to help parameterise some stages of the genome assembly.

We can estimate the genome size based a calculation of the kmer coverage of our reads. We introduced kmers in Short Read Mapping Module – a kmer is simply a string of nucleotides of a given length. The relationship between kmer coverage and genome size is described by:

$$C_{kmer} = \frac{L-k}{L} * \frac{N_{reads} * L}{G}$$

Where  $C_{kmer}$  is the average kmer coverage,  $N_{reads}$  is the number of reads, *L* is the average read length, *k* is the length of the kmer, and *G* is the genome size (Vurture et al 2017; <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx153</u>; supplementary data). It is not important to know this equation, however, we illustrate it to demonstrate that kmer coverage can be informative about genome size.

There are a number of different tools available to count kmers (<u>https://omictools.com/k-mer-counters-category</u>) and to calculate the genome size. Today, we are going to count kmers using **Jellyfish** (<u>http://www.genome.umd.edu/jellyfish.html</u>), and use the output to calculate the genome size using a online web tool called **GenomeScope** (<u>http://qb.cshl.edu/genomescope/info.php</u>).

You can explore some examples of kmer spectra and genome size estimates on the GenomeScope website. Figure 2 presents an example of a Drosophila dataset (quick access here: <u>http://genomescope.org/analysis.php?code=example5</u>); the difference between the two plots is the scale on the axes, with the first plot zoomed in, and the second plot zoomed further out. In both plots, the blue data represents the actual kmer frequency data generated by Jellyfish. The dark black line represents a model of the kmer spectra, used to characterise the number of peaks, which are indicated by the black dashed line. The orange line represents very rare kmers (low coverage), which are likely associated with sequencing errors and are ignored. This data is used to estimate the genome size, taking into account the heterozygosity and error of the sequencing reads.



Figure 2. Example GenomeScope output. Kmer coverage is presented on the x-axis, and kmer frequency on the y-axis.

- Tasks
  - · Run jellyfish on your raw sequencing data
  - Upload your kmer count data to GenomeScope and estimate the genome size

```
# go to the working directory
$ cd /home/manager/Module_6_helminth_denovo_assembly/step_1
# run Jellyfish commands. The first step will take a few
minutes
$ jellyfish count -C -m 21 -s 1000000000 -t 4 *.fq
    -o my_reads.jf
$ jellyfish histo -t 4 my_reads.jf > my_reads.histo
# Once Jellyfish commands have been run and you have the
"reads.histo" file, open the webpage:
http://qb.cshl.edu/genomescope/
# Upload reads.histo to GenomeScope
```

what do the parameters in the jellytish command mean? how can you find this out?

GenomeScope Home Info Examples -								
GenomeScope Estimate genome heterozygosity, repeat content, and size from sequencing reads using a kmer-based statistical approach.								
Click or drop .histo file I         Description       my sample         Kmer length       21         Read length       100         Max kmer coverage       1000	Drag and drop your "reads.histo" file here	Instructions         Upload results from running Jellyfish. Example: inputk21.hist         Instructions for running Jellyfish:         1. Dewnload and instal jellyfish from: http://www.genome.umd.edu/jellyfish.htmilfRelease         2. Count kmers using jellyfish:         \$ jellyfish count -C -m 21 -s 1000000000 -t 10 *.fastq -o reads.jf         Note you should adjust the memory (-s) and threads (-t) parameter according to your server. This example will use 10 threads and 1GB of RAM. The kmer length (-m) may need to be scaled if you have low coverage or a high error rate. You should always use *canonical kmers* (-C)         3. Export the kmer count histogram         \$ jellyfish histo -t 10 reads.jf > reads.histo         Again the thread count (-f) should be scaled according to your server.         4. Upload reads.histo to GenomeScope         Note: High copy-number DNA such as chloroplasts can confuse the model. Set a max kmer coverage to avoid this. Default is -1 meaning no filter.						
Submit	It is not necessary parameters	to change any other 5. Just submit!						

Figure 3. GenomeScope webpage. http://qb.cshl.edu/genomescope/

• NOTE: if you would like to use your own data, check the read length and modify the input above accordingly.

### Questions you should be asking:

- what is my predicted genome / chromosome size?
- how does it compare to the expected size?
- what does changing the kmer length do?

# Step 2: Performing a genome assembly using either Illumina short read or Pacbio long read data

Now that you have performed some QC on your raw data and estimated your genome size, it is now time to perform a genome assembly. There are a huge number of tools dedicated to genome assembly; OMICS tools describes 163 dedicated for *de novo* genome assembly (<u>https://omictools.com/genome-assembly-category</u>), however, there are likely others. Furthermore, there are likely to be at least as many tools that value-add to a genome assembly, including but not limited to scaffolders, circularisers, gap closers etc. The choice of assembler and subsequent add-ons is dependent on the type of data available, type of organism, i.e., haploid, diploid etc, genome size, and complexity of the task among other variables.

The aim of this practical is not to assess these tools or promote any particular tool(s) in any meaningful way, but to compare and contrast two technologies commonly used in genome assembly: Illumina short-read and Pacbio long read.

Illumina short read sequencing has been the workhorse of genome assembly and resequencing studies for the last few years, and continues to be the main technology for high throughput genome sequencing. This is because it is possible to sequence millions to billions of short reads at the same time. A genome assembly using Illumina short reads begins by fragmenting DNA into ~300-500 bp lengths (less than 1000 bp), after which universal sequencing adapters are ligated to each end to generate a *sequencing library*. These adapters enable a site for a sequencing primer to bind, the attachment of the library read to the sequencer, and may contain barcoding indices to allow sample multiplexing. Sequencing is typically performed using a *paired-end* chemistry, which means that two reads are generated per library fragment, one from the beginning of the fragment, ie. read 1, and one from the end, ie. read 2. Depending on the chemistry and sequencer used, these paired-reads will each be ~100-250 bp in length; therefore, some read 1 and read 2 pairs will overlap, whereas others will be separated by a gap, dependent on the library fragment and sequencing read lengths. After sequencing, paired-end reads (which maintain their relationship and orientation via information coded in their name in the fastg output files) are assembled, resulting in *contigs* – contiguous stretches of assembled sequence that do not contain gaps - and *scaffolds* – which are assembled sequence that do have gaps, typically generated by the spanning of two contigs by read pairs that do not overlap and lack nucleotide coverage in the gap. The contiguity is therefore dependent on the ability to find unique overlaps between read pairs; features of the genome, including by not limited to repetitive and/or low complexity regions, or even inherent genetic diversity in the sequences, cause uncertainty in the assembly and often prevents further extension of a contig or scaffold. To overcome some of these difficulties, library preparation approaches to produce *mate-pair* or *jumping libraries* may be performed, which increase the gap distance between the paired-end reads, ie., 3-kb, 8-kb, 20-kb, and in turn, may span the difficult to assembly region; this results in an increase in the scaffold- but not contig length overall.



Figure 4. Overview of Illumina short read (A) and Pacbio long read assembly approaches (B).

While Illumina library preparation aims to sequence from fragments of DNA that are only a few hundred base pairs long, Pacbio sequencing aims to sequence DNA fragments that are tens of kilobases in length, ie. 10s-100s of times longer than Illumina reads. The key advantage of this approach is that many short, complicated genome regions that would have broken an Illumina assembly are spanned by Pacbio long reads, and therefore can be assembled accurately. Moreover, the longer read lengths increase the probability of identifying unique overlaps between reads. Both features enable significantly longer contig lengths from an Pacbio assembly when compared to an Illumina assembly alone.

One feature of all sequencing technologies is that sequence quality declines over the read length - you should have observed this in your FastQC analysis of raw Illumina reads (Step 1: *Checking raw sequencing data before assembly*). Pacbio reads are not only much longer than Illumina reads, but that when sequenced, the raw reads produced are derived from a single molecule of DNA. This differs from Illumina reads, in which a "raw" (but really, a consensus sequence) is generated from a cluster of reads representing the original library fragment. For these two reasons, Pacbio reads are more error-prone than Illumina reads. To overcome this, two initial informatic "correction" steps are undertaken prior to assembly (Figure 5B). Raw DNA is fragmented and size selected to achieve fragment lengths in the 10s of kilobases, before the addition of barbell adaptors, which provide sequencing primer binding sites. Sequencing is performed by the polymerase attaching to the barbell adaptor, and processing around the circle to produce a raw read, which contains the library insert sequence flanked by the adapter sequences in an array. In the first correction step, the raw read is trimmed to remove adapters, and the library inserts are aligned to produce a consensus sequence. In the second correction step, the longest of the first round consensus sequences (~30-40% of the total reads) are used as a template to map the remaining shorter, more accurate reads; taking the consensus of the mapped reads, in turn, corrects the longer reads. In this way the more error prone long reads increase in quality, which is ideal from an assembly point of view. Only these long, twice corrected reads are used for the genome assembly.

The process of error correction does take a substantial amount of time and compute resources. It has recently been demonstrated that the second error correction step can be sacrificed to significantly increase assembly speed and the cost of assembly base-level

accuracy, i.e., it is uncorrected, and so the assembly error rate is similar to the read error rate. We will perform a raw Pacbio assembly using *Minimap* and *Miniasm* to compare with our other two assemblies.

- Tasks
  - Run the Miniasm command to generate your first Pacbio assembly of Chromosome IV
  - The Canu and Spades assemblies have been provided for you it would take too long to run these here – however, we have provided the commands for your reference
  - Determine the assembly statistics of each genome assembly

```
# go to the working directory
$ cd /home/manager/Module 6 helminth denovo assembly/step 2
# run the Miniasm assembly
$ minimap2 -x ava-pb -t8 SM V7 chr4 subreads.fa.gz \
SM V7 chr4 subreads.fa.gz > SM V7 chr4.minimap.paf
$ miniasm -f SM V7 chr4 subreads.fa \
     SM V7 chr4.minimap.paf > SM V7 chr4.miniasm.gfa
$ cat SM V7 chr4.miniasm.gfa |
     awk '$1=="S" { print ">"$2"\n"$3 } ` \
                                                             >
MINIASM SM V7 chr4.contigs.fasta
# run time: step1 < 20 mins, 10 Gb RAM, 4 threads, steps2 and
3 are quick (< 1 min)
# run the Canu assembly
$ canu genomeSize=43M -pacbio-raw SM V7 chr4 subreads.fa \
     -d PB SM V7 chr4 -p PB SM V7 chr4 \
     java=/software/jdk1.8.0 74/bin/java
# run time: ~ 6h, 30 Gb RAM, 4 threads
# run the Spades assembly
$ dipspades.py -o SPADES SM chr4 \
     -1 SM V7 chr4 illumina R1.fg \
     -2 SM V7 chr4 illumina R2.fg --threads 4
# run time: ~ 50h, 6 Gb RAM, 4 threads
```

Once you have your assemblies, you will probably want to know how well they have come together. We will do this in two ways, first by generating and comparing basic statistics about the assemblies, and secondly from a comparative genomics perspective by visualising how well each assembly compares to the known reference, and to each other (next section: Step 3).

Table 1 below outlines the data we will generate about each assembly. Each is relatively self-explanatory, however, you may not have been introduced to N50 and N50(n). These statistics are a measure of how contiguous a genome assembly is. Imagine if your assembly is sorted by sequence length, ie., longest to shortest; your N50 is defined as the sequence length at which 50% of the entire assembly is contained in contigs or scaffolds equal to or larger than this contig. It is essentially the midpoint of the assembly. The N50(n) is simply the contig number in which the N50 base is found. More contiguous assemblies will have a higher N50 (and lower N50(n)), whereas more fragmented assemblies will show the opposite trend. Note that you can artificially increase N50 by randomly joining sequences together, and therefore, misassembly or over-assembly can inflate N50 values. It is important to not completely rely of N50 as absolute truth and to perform other assembly validations if possible.

```
# calculate the assembly statistics for all three assemblies,
and complete Table 1 below.
$ assembly-stats PB_SM_V7_chr4.contigs.fasta
$ assembly-stats MINIASM_SM_V7_chr4.contigs.fasta
$ assembly-stats SPADES_SM_V7_chr4.consensus_contigs.fasta
```

Table 1. Comparison	of assembly	stats
---------------------	-------------	-------

	Pacbio (Canu)	Pacbio (Miniasm)	Illumina (Spades/dispades)
Assembly size			
Number of sequences			
Longest sequence			
Average size			
N50			
N50 (n)			

### Questions you should be asking:

- how do my assemblies compare to the expected size of chromosome IV?

- what is the impact of long reads versus short reads on assembly contiguity?

- how did the uncorrected (Minimap/miniasm) assembly compare to the corrected Canu assembly?

# Step 3: Comparison of your assemblies against the known reference sequence

Now that we have three independent genome assemblies, we would like to see how they compare to the reference chromosome IV sequence. This is only possible because we already have a reference sequence, however, if you have a closely related species with a more contiguous reference, it might be worth trying. If you do not have a good reference to compare against, you could simply compare different versions of the *de novo* assembly to see how they compare (we would like you to do this if you have time).

There are a number of ways to compare genomes. We will be using **nucmer** to do the DNA vs DNA sequence comparison, and the web application **Assemblytics** (http://assemblytics.com/) to visualise the comparison. **Assemblytics** is a nice way to visualise this comparison, as it not only allows a "zoomed" out view of how the genomes compare (via the Interactive dot plot), but it also provides base-level and small structural variant statistics. These can be informative particular when comparing different sequencing technologies, ie., Illumina versus Pacbio, and may reveal inherent biases in each.

- Tasks
  - Run nucmer of each of the three comparisons, ie. Ref vs PB, ref vs miniasm, ref vs illumina
  - Explore each of the interactive dotplots
  - Compare the base level statistics for each comparison (these are the colour plots)

```
# go to the working directory
$ cd /home/manager/Module_6_helminth_denovo_assembly/step_3
# run nucmer to generate the comparison between the reference
and each genome assembly.
$ nucmer -maxmatch -l 100 -c 500 SM_V7_chr4.fa \
    ../step_2/PB_SM_V7_chr4.contigs.fasta -prefix chr4_v_PB
$ gzip chr4_v_PB.delta
# repeat the above command, this time to compare chromosome 4
and the illumina (SPADES) assembly, making sure use a
different prefix, eg. "-prefix chr4_v_illumina".
# open the webpage: http://assemblytics.com/
# upload the OUT.delta.gz using the instructions provided
# note that that upload might take a minute or two to analyse
# the raw data and provide the data output / plots
```

Assemblytics Home Contact Cite Examples -	My results +						
Analyze your assembly by comparing it to a reference	egenome						
Instructions D	rag and drop your delta.gz" file here	l.	R	un Assemblytics			
Upload a delta file to analyze alignments of an assembly to another assem <u>bly or a</u> 1. Download and install MUMmer 2. Align your assembly to a reference genome using nucmer (from MUMmer pa	reference genome						
<ul> <li>\$ nucmer -maxmatch -l 100 -c 500 REFERENCE.fa ASSEMBLY.fa -</li> <li>Consult the MUMmer manual if you encounter problems</li> <li>3. Optional: Gzip the delta file to speed up upload (usually 2-4X faster)</li> </ul>	prefix OUT			Drop	delta f	ile here	to upload
\$ gzip OUT.delta		1		Description	my favoi	rite organisi	n
4. Upload the .delta or delta.gz file (view example) to Assemblytics Variant size" to 1				Unique seque	nce length	n required	10000
number of Ns in the scatfolded sequence does not match perfectly to the distance	a in the reference.	' /		Maximum var	iant size	10000	
The unique sequence length required represents an anchor for determining if a sec call variants from, which is an alternative to the mapping quality filter for read align	quence is unique enough to safely iment.			Minimum vari	ant size	50	
	Submit!	-	-	Submit			

#### Figure 4. Assemblytics hompage and upload instructions

Note: once your analysis competed successfully on the website, it will generate a http link that you can use to visualise your data even after you have closed your browser down. This is nice, as it means you can easily share this analysis via email of the link. Make a note of each http link so you can go back and compare between each analysis.

View analysis later	
Return to view your results at any time: http://qb.cshl.edu/assemblytics/analysis.php?code=2cSgBJSEA0jS3XScYpuV	Take note of this link for each comparison!
Progress	

Figure 6. Assemblytics output – saving the http link for future reference

To help you visualise and interpret the interactive dot plot, we have provided some examples of pairwise DNA sequence comparisons that are commonly observed (Figure 7). Ideally, we are looking for a perfect match (a), however, there are many feature of a genome that either complicate, and often break, assemblies, including repeats (b), palindromes (c), and low complexity repeats such as microsatellites (e) to name a few. See what features are present in your assemblies, and if there are features associated with the ends of contigs that might be associated with breaking your assemblies.





**Figure 7. Schematic of dot plot examples.** Originally from goo.gl/P4QTFd; adapted from http://slideplayer.com/slide/10357320/

### Questions you should be asking:

- how does each assembly compare against the reference?

- particularly in the ref vs PB dot plot comparison, what sequence features are found and sequence ends, and why might they be there?

- are there base level characteristics found in one assembly but not the other? Is there anything specific to the Pacbio assembly but not Illumina assembly, and vice versa?

- what sequence features define the uncorrected Miniasm in particular?

## **Step 4: Further exploration of your genome assemblies**

Now that we have compared and contrasted our initial genome assemblies, we would now want to think about ways of improving them to make them more contiguous – each of our assemblies is still some way off being a single sequence, i.e., a single chromosome. One way would be to generate additional, complementary data that might be used to scaffold the existing contigs together to create much longer sequences. Approaches include generating mate-pair libraries, or alternate long range sequencing technologies such as Nanopore, optical mapping, or HiC to name a few. However, this is obviously outside the scope of this tutorial.

Our assemblies are currently represented in a FASTA file; each individual sequence is presented separate from each other, and there is no information that links each sequence to each other. However, in generating the assembly, the assembler catalogs overlaps between sequences with the aim of joining / extending existing sequences; if there is a single overlap, a join is made, however, if there are two or more overlaps between which the assembler cannot confidently make a decision, it will not make the join and report multiple sequences. These multiple paths between sequences might be due to genetic variants, haplotypes, repeats etc. Importantly, some assemblers record these multiple paths in a structure known as a **genome graph**. These genome graphs are composed of:

- nodes these are the individual sequences presented in the FASTA
- edges these link two nodes together
- paths describes the linking of nodes via edges to form a longer sequence

We will use the tool **Bandage** (<u>https://rrwick.github.io/Bandage/</u>) to visualise the genome graphs produced by miniasm and spades assemblers, and demonstrate how to extract extended sequences from these graphs to extend your genome assemblies. We will compare your new sequence against the reference using the web tool, **Genome Ribbon** (<u>http://genomeribbon.com/</u>), which is similar to ACT, but is more suited for larger genomes.

## Tasks

- visualise and compare the Pacbio miniasm and Illumina Spades genome graphs

- using the Pacbio miniasm graph, construct a path through the graph, making a new sequence

- compare your new sequence against the reference





The genome graph is sorted by size, with the largest sequence(s) in the top left corner, which get progressively smaller down the page. The colours represent difference sequences in the genome, which are called "nodes" in the graph. These are joined in some cases by thin black lines called "edges", which where possible, describe the relationship between sequences. Graphs therefore provide an additional level of detail over the genome sequence alone; each node is represented as an independent sequence in a fasta file, however, in a genome graph, alternate paths that connect nodes can be visualised. These alternate paths typically break assemblies, as the assembler cannot reliable choose a single path to extend the assembly.

Explore the genome graph, zooming into some of the groups of sequences. Some consist of a single node, i.e., a single contig sequence, with no relationships to other sequences, whereas other are more complex, in which larger nodes may be connected by two or more alternate nodes.

```
# Once finished, load the Illumina (SPADES) graph (made during
the Illumina assembly) into Bandage, and compare.
$ bandage load SM_V7_chr4.spades.gfa
# Note that this file will take longer to load than the
previous one.
```

Once Bandage loads, we are going to limit the amount of data displayed to enable faster viewing.

- 1. Under the "Graph drawing" subheading, select "Depth Range" in the "Scope" drop-down.
- 2. Set the "min" to 5 and "max" to 30
- 3. Click on "Draw Graph"

NOTE: if the graph has not appeared after 2-3 mins, click on "Cancel layout", after which the graph should appear shortly.

Graph info	ormation	Graph information	
🛈 Total	Nodes: 158,756 Edges: 224,456 length: 50,049,418	Nodes: 158,756 <b>i</b> Edges: 224,456 Total length: 50,049,418	Laying out graph
	More info	More info	Cancel layout
Graph drav	wing	Graph drawing	1
Scope:	Entire graph	<ul><li>Scope: Depth range \$</li></ul>	
Style	Around nodes	• Min: 5.0	
Scyte.	Around BLAST hits	(i) Max: 30.0	if graph is taking more than 2-3 mins to
	Depth range	🚯 Style: 💿 Single 🔿 Double	will draw a proportion of the graph.
		Draw graph	

The Illumina genome graph will look \*quite\* different to the Pacbio miniasm graph.

**Zoom out** completely to give you a sense of the scale of the graph.

• If you recall from the "assembly-stats" output in step 2, the Illumina assembly was in many more pieces than the Pacbio assemblies. The graph reflects this by the large number of unique nodes present.

Move to the top left hand corner containing the largest collection of sequences, and take a closer look by **zooming in**.

- The graph also demonstrates the reason for the fragmentation in the Illumina assembly; the relationships between nodes is often much more complex with many more paths present, due to non-unique edges between sequences.
- You should also see in this this graph (if you look closely) that there are many paths that terminate suddenly. If you looker closer still at the direction of the edges connecting the nodes, some look to turn around, resulting in a duplication of the sequence. This might be due to repetitive or haplotypic sequences in the assembly.

Lets perform a basic improvement to our Pacbio miniasm assembly, by trying to use the graph information to string multiple nodes (sequences) together to produce a longer sequence.

1. Reopen the Pacbio miniasm graph as you have done so previously.



- 3. Select a node, and while continuing to hold the "ctrl" key, select multiple nodes in a linear path
  - 1. You can move the nodes around if you need to to make it clearer / easier to see the path by clicking on one and dragging it to the side
  - 2. Be careful not to double back on yourself – it will not save if it is not linear. For example:



4. To save , .... ....., o and then save it as "path sequence.fasta" and click on "save"



Lets now compare your new sequence back against the reference to see how you have done.

1. Use *nucmer* to compare the reference sequence and your new path\_sequence

```
# Compare your new sequence with the reference using nucmer
and show-coords
$ nucmer -maxmatch SM_V7_chr4.fa path_sequence.fasta
$ show-coords -lTH -L10000 out.delta > out.coords
# once completed, load Genome Ribbon (genomeribbon.com) in a
web browser.
```

- 2. Load your data into Genome Ribbon. Scroll down the page until you see the "Input alignments" window, select the tab "coordinates", and then click "Browse"
- 3. A finder window will appear select your "out.coords" file and click "Open"

Instructions: Start by loading alignments below	🖉 🕡 📓 manag	er Module_helminth_denovo_assembly step_5	Input file: "out.coords"
Input alignments	Places © Recent	Name MINIASM_SM_V7_chr4.contigs.fasta	<ul> <li>Size Modified</li> <li>47.7 MB Yesterday at 15:13</li> <li>9.2 kB 09:45</li> </ul>
sam load bam file coordinates bam from und Paste coordinates here: Paste lines from a coordinates file (show-coords -ITH)	<ul> <li>■ Home</li> <li>■ Desktop</li> <li>Documents</li> <li>&gt; Downloads</li> <li>→ Music</li> <li>● Pictures</li> </ul>	out.delta path_sequence.fasta PB_SM_V7_chr4.contigs.fasta SM_V7_chr4.contigs.fasta SM_V7_chr4.fa SM_V7_chr4.miniasm.gfa SM_V7_chr4.spades.gfa	5.4 MB 09:42 3.7 MB 09:34 48.3 MB Yesterday at 15:13 48.1 MB Yesterday at 15:13 49.0 MB Yesterday at 14:08 64.1 MB Yesterday at 14:34
rupload a file: Browse No file selected. "Browse"	月 Videos Devices 图 Computer	SPADES_SM_V7_chr4.consensus_contigs.fasta	34.6 MB Yesterday at 15:13 All Files Cancel Open

4. The comparison between your sequence and the reference should now appear.



### Questions you should be asking:

- what are the main differences between the Pacbio and Illumina genome graphs?
- what is the length of your new sequence?
- how did your new sequence compare to the reference? Was it syntenic?

## Summary

This module aimed to introduce you to some of the concepts involved in eukaryotic genome assembly, from the QC of your raw data, through to assembly, validation and improvement. In reality, assembly of eukaryotic genomes is a challenging task, often requiring multiple datasets and tools, each with their own strengths and weaknesses. It is important to understand or at least be aware of these differences to maximise the completeness of the assembly. Hopefully it is clear from the examples that long read technologies such as Pacbio significantly improve the contiguity of assemblies over Illumina-only assemblies.

# **References / Links**

- Assemblytics
  - Web: <u>http://assemblytics.com/</u>
  - Paper: <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btw369</u>
- Bandage
  - Web: <u>https://rrwick.github.io/Bandage/</u>
  - Paper: <a href="https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article/31/20/3350/196114">https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article/31/20/3350/196114</a>
- Canu
  - Web: <u>https://canu.readthedocs.io/en/latest/</u>
  - Paper: <u>https://genome.cshlp.org/content/27/5/722.full.pdf+html</u>
- FastQC
  - Web: <u>https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/</u>
- Genome Ribbon
  - Web: http://genomeribbon.com/
  - Paper: <a href="https://www.biorxiv.org/content/early/2016/10/20/082123">https://www.biorxiv.org/content/early/2016/10/20/082123</a>
- Genome Scope:
  - <u>Github: https://github.com/schatzlab/genomescope</u>
  - <u>Paper: https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx153</u>
- Illumina
  - Web: <u>https://emea.illumina.com</u>
- Jellyfish
  - Web: http://www.genome.umd.edu/jellyfish.html
  - Paper: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr011">https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr011</a>
- Kraken
  - Web: <u>https://ccb.jhu.edu/software/kraken/</u>
  - Paper: <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2014-15-3-r46</u>
- Minimap2 / Miniasm
  - Github: <u>https://github.com/lh3/minimap2</u>
  - Paper: <a href="https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article/32/14/2103/1742895">https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article/32/14/2103/1742895</a>
- MultiQC
  - Web: <u>http://multiqc.info/</u>
  - Paper: <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btw354</u>
- Nucmer
  - Web: <u>http://mummer.sourceforge.net/</u>
  - Paper: <u>http://mummer.sourceforge.net/MUMmer3.pdf</u>
- Pacbio
  - Web: <u>https://www.pacb.com</u>
- Spades / dispades
  - Web: <u>http://cab.spbu.ru/software/spades/</u>
  - Paper: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1089%2Fcmb.2012.0021</u>