



## SPECIAL ARTICLE

# Do Circulating Tumor Cells, Exosomes, and Circulating Tumor Nucleic Acids Have Clinical Utility?



## A Report of the Association for Molecular Pathology

Bert Gold,<sup>\*†</sup> Milena Cankovic,<sup>\*‡</sup> Larissa V. Furtado,<sup>\*§</sup> Frederick Meier,<sup>\*‡</sup> and Christopher D. Gocke<sup>\*¶</sup>

From the Circulating Tumor Cells Working Group of the Clinical Practice Committee, \* Association for Molecular Pathology, Bethesda, Maryland; the Center for Cancer Research,<sup>†</sup> National Cancer Institute, Frederick, Maryland; the Department of Pathology,<sup>‡</sup> Henry Ford Hospital, Detroit, Michigan; the Department of Pathology,<sup>§</sup> University of Chicago Medical Center, Chicago, Illinois; and the Department of Pathology,<sup>¶</sup> Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland

Accepted for publication  
February 2, 2015.

Address correspondence to  
Bert Gold, Ph.D., Center for  
Cancer Research, National  
Cancer Institute, 1050 Boyles  
St, Frederick, MD 21702.  
E-mail: bert.gold@nih.gov.

Diagnosing and screening for tumors through noninvasive means represent an important paradigm shift in precision medicine. In contrast to tissue biopsy, detection of circulating tumor cells (CTCs) and circulating tumor nucleic acids provides a minimally invasive method for predictive and prognostic marker detection. This allows early and serial assessment of metastatic disease, including follow-up during remission, characterization of treatment effects, and clonal evolution. Isolation and characterization of CTCs and circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) are likely to improve cancer diagnosis, treatment, and minimal residual disease monitoring. However, more trials are required to validate the clinical utility of precise molecular markers for a variety of tumor types. This review focuses on the clinical utility of CTCs and ctDNA testing in patients with solid tumors, including somatic and epigenetic alterations that can be detected. A comparison of methods used to isolate and detect CTCs and some of the intricacies of the characterization of the ctDNA are also provided. (*J Mol Diagn* 2015, 17: 209–224; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoldx.2015.02.001>)

### Circulating Tumor Cells

A 1-cm carcinoma that has been growing for >10 years contains approximately one billion cells. Such a tumor doubles once every 150 days and weighs just more than half a gram.<sup>1–4</sup> This paradigmatic carcinoma likely manifests the hallmarks of cancer, including harboring a subpopulation of stem or tumor-initiating cells, each of which is characterized by four to seven gene mutations in a small subset of approximately 125 driver genes.<sup>5–7</sup> The genetic changes arise stochastically and radiate under selection pressure for increased proliferation and adaptation to the tumor microenvironment.<sup>8–10</sup> In some cases, tumor cells of epithelial origin will undergo a phenotypic conversion consisting of a transition to more mesenchymal characteristics.<sup>11–16</sup> This epithelial-mesenchymal transition will permit the tumor-initiating cells to invade the local tissue of origin.<sup>13,17–20</sup> Local invasion of a basement membrane, for most carcinomas, precedes extravasation,<sup>21–24</sup> in which the

Supported in part by the Association for Molecular Pathology and the Intramural Research Program of the National Cancer Institute, NIH.

The content of this publication does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Department of Health and Human Services, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organization imply endorsement by the US government.

Standard of practice is not defined by this article, and there may be alternatives. See [Disclaimer](#) for further details.

Disclosures: C.D.G. is a cofounder, co-owner, manager, and member of the Board of Directors of OncoMedx, a biotechnology company that has licensed several patents from Penn State University. As inventor and co-inventor on those patents, C.D.G. is entitled to a share of the licensing income. The terms of this arrangement are being managed by the Johns Hopkins University in accordance with its conflict of interest policies.

The Circulating Tumor Cell Working Group is a working group of the Association for Molecular Pathology Clinical Practice Committee. The 2013 to 2014 Clinical Practice Committee consisted of Matthew Bankowski, Milena Cankovic, Jennifer Dunlap, Larissa V. Furtado, Jane S. Gibson, Jerald Z. Gong, Thomas Huard, Linda Jeng, Loren Joseph (Chair 2013 to 2014), Annette Kim, Marilyn M. Li, Melissa Miller, Mary Lowery Nordberg, Carolyn Sue Richards, Paul Rothberg, M. Fernanda Sabato, and Patrik Vitazka.

cells slough off the edges of the tumor entering the circulation (or lymphatics). They can remain unitary in the vasculature, cluster together as they disseminate, or lodge themselves in new tissues to form metastases. Whatever the path of circulating tumor cells (CTCs), they potentially hold valuable information about tumor composition, invasiveness, drug susceptibility, and resistance to therapy. Each of these tumor characteristics is potentially amenable to molecular and cellular characterization through its isolation.

An average metastatic carcinoma patient has between 5 and 50 CTCs for approximately every 7.5 mL of blood (<1 to >5 CTCs/mL).<sup>25–28</sup> This small cell number places a technical limitation on the ability to resolve a relatively small subpopulation of tumor stem cells that carry the set of mutations defining the tumor and bearing self-renewal capability.<sup>29–31</sup> Visualization and separation of CTCs from leukocytes are, therefore, dependent on reliable cell-surface markers. Such markers have become available in the past decade. In that time frame, new technologies have, for the first time, allowed the isolation of CTCs from patient blood samples.<sup>28,32,33</sup> Initial methods for CTC isolation relied on physical properties of the cells.<sup>34</sup> Because CTCs sediment with the leukocyte fraction during low-speed centrifugation, it is possible to enrich for the population through separation on the basis of buoyancy.<sup>35</sup> In addition, CTCs are generally larger than average leukocytes; thus, a size-based filter further enriches for CTCs and permits separation from white cells.<sup>36</sup>

In more recent devices, CTC isolation techniques have depended on antibodies against epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM), a protein that protrudes from the outer surface of CTCs, but not healthy blood cells (Table 1).<sup>33,37,38</sup>

Because this technology proved sufficient to provide prognostic information,<sup>25,55</sup> the US Food and Drug Administration cleared the Veridex CellSearch platform, now owned by Johnson & Johnson (Raritan, NJ), to isolate CTCs. That platform uses EpCAM antibodies attached to magnetic beads to isolate tumor cells in conjunction with proprietary CellSave venipuncture tubes that preserve CTC structure. By using the Veridex device, CTCs can be pulled out of suspension using a magnet.<sup>56</sup> Other cell-capture devices using antibodies are also available. In particular, at the Massachusetts General Hospital (Boston, MA), a microfluidic silicon chip has been designed with tens of thousands of EpCAM antibody-coated microposts that bind CTCs as blood samples flow through.<sup>57</sup> Recent efforts to engineer this silicon chip microfluidic system have shown that herringbone channels coated with antibody maximize the efficiency of CTC-antibody interactions.<sup>58–61</sup> Unfortunately, this method has thus far failed to permit subsequent CTC separation from the microfluidic device and dovetailing with molecular characterization. This technical hurdle is being actively addressed in several research laboratories.

## Clinical Utility of CTCs

CTCs have been identified in peripheral blood from patients with metastatic and recurrent disease. As methods for

isolating CTCs have matured, several investigators have studied correlations between cell number and patient disease severity. That breast cancer patients with fewer CTCs in their blood lived longer than those with more CTCs was first demonstrated in 2004.<sup>55</sup> Similar observations were made in other cancer types, including prostate and colorectal cancers.<sup>62–64</sup> More recently, the number of CTCs was shown to be a prognostic predictor of overall survival for malignant melanoma and to predict survival in breast and prostate cancers (Table 2).<sup>65–68</sup>

Newer studies have made efforts to analyze the genetic mutations that CTCs carry, comparing the mutations to those in the primary tumor or correlating the findings to a patient's disease stage, grade, or metastasis. In one study of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients, CTCs carried the well-known epidermal growth factor receptor (*EGFR*) c.2369C>T (T790M) gatekeeper mutation that mediates gefitinib and erlotinib resistance.<sup>72</sup> The patients carrying this lesion had faster disease progression than those with other *EGFR* variants detected in their CTCs. In another study, changes to certain signaling pathways within CTCs during treatment could predict how well prostate cancer patients responded to a drug.<sup>73</sup> A recent report identified *KIT* and *BRAF* mutations in CTCs of melanoma patients.<sup>74</sup> Tumor heterogeneity was demonstrated in one case with discordant *BRAF* mutation status between CTC and the primary tumor.<sup>74</sup> CTC analysis may aid the assessment of tumor heterogeneity, which can be associated with therapy resistance and relapse, and help guide targeted therapies.

In contrast to tissue biopsies, CTC detection from peripheral blood represents a minimally invasive method for early and serial assessment of several predictive factors of metastatic disease at different stages of disease, including follow-up during remission. This enables real-time assessment of a variety of tumor-related properties, including characterization of treatment effects and clonal evolution. Recently, Heitzer et al<sup>75,76</sup> assessed mutational status of primary tumor, metastases, and CTCs in patients with stage IV colorectal cancer using next-generation sequencing and array-comparative genome hybridization. They found mutations in CTCs that had not been identified during initial diagnosis, but were found to be present at a subclonal level in the primary tumor. These findings suggest that CTC analysis can unravel relevant changes in the tumor genome that had not been either present or observed at the time of initial diagnosis.

Yet, at this juncture, molecular characterization of CTCs has raised numerous questions. In 2012, researchers analyzed the expression levels of 95 cancer-related genes in CTCs from 50 breast cancer patients using quantitative PCR and found that these cells had large variations in gene-expression patterns.<sup>77</sup> That study concluded that CTC collection and characterization depends on both the technical method and biological properties of the tumor cells being studied. For instance, basal-like breast cancer CTCs expressing low levels of EpCAM are unlikely to be captured using the

**Table 1** Selected CTC Characterization Methods

Method name	Developer (location)	Target cancer	Antibody against	Reference	Evidence level
AdnaTest	AdnaGen (Langenhagen, Germany)	Breast, prostate, and colon	EpCAM and MUC1	39	
autoMACS/MACS	MitenyiBiotec (BergischGladbach, Germany)		EpCAM, pan-CK, HER2/neu, or CD4	40	
Biofluidica	(Chapel Hill, NC)	Pancreatic, prostate, lung, breast, and colorectal	EpCAM	41	
CellSearch	Veridex, Johnson & Johnson	Metastatic breast, colon, prostate, lung, melanoma, and urothelial	EpCAM	42	FDA cleared, many clinical trials
ClearCell System	ClearbridgeBiomedics (Singapore)	Breast		Presented at ASCO 2014	
CTC iChip	Daniel Haber and Mehmet Toner, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute and Massachusetts General Hospital (Boston, MA)	Breast, colon, lung, prostate, and pancreas	EpCAM and CD45/cytokeratin subtraction	43	FDA IDE
Dynabeads methods	Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, and Heidelberg, Germany)	Colorectal cancer	EpCAM and CD45 subtraction	44	
ISET	Metagenex (Paris, France)	Melanoma, mesothelioma, and NSCLC	Size (no antibody)	45	NCT01776385 for mesothelioma and NCT00818558 for NSCLC
IsoFlux Rare Cell Access System	Fluxion Biosciences (San Francisco, CA)	NSCLC and melanoma		46	
Lymphoprep (Ficoll-Isopaque)	Axis-Shield PoC (Oslo, Norway)	Prostate	EpCAM, PSA, and cytokeratin 7/8	47	
MagSweeper	Stephanie Jeffrey and Ronald W. Davis (Stanford University, Stanford, CA)	Breast and prostate	EpCAM	48	
Nanodetector	Gilupi (Potsdam, Germany)	Breast, lung, and prostate	EpCAM	49	
Negative enrichment QMS	Jeffrey Chalmers (Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH)	Head and neck and breast	CD45 subtraction	50	
OncoQuick	Greiner Bio-One (Germany and Monroe, NC)	Breast, colorectal, melanoma, and pancreatic	Density (no antibody)	51	
RoboSep/EasySep	Stem Cell Technologies (Vancouver, BC, Canada)	Myeloma	CD33, CD66, and CD138	52	
ScreenCellCyto	ScreenCell Company (Sarcelles, France)	Lung and cell lines	Size (no antibody)	53	

This table is an updated version of [Table 2](#) first published by Parkinson et al.<sup>54</sup>

ASCO, American Society of Clinical Oncology; CK, cytokeratin; CTC, circulating tumor cell; EpCAM, epithelial cell adhesion molecule; FDA, Food and Drug Administration; iChip, microfluidic silicon chip; IDE, investigational device exemption; ISET, isolation by size of epithelial tumor cell; MACS, magnetic activated cell sorting; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; PSA, prostate-specific antigen; QMS, quadruple magnetic sorter.

EpCAM-dependent CellSearch.<sup>78</sup> More study is needed to clarify the relationship between a patient's tumor burden and the number of CTCs in the circulation and to determine whether CTC burden determination possesses clinical utility, such as providing salutary outcomes for more aggressive chemotherapeutic intervention. If this relationship is elucidated, CTCs may become useful as surrogate biomarkers for tumor progression for some purposes. Therefore, for the field to move forward, a focus on characterizing the biology of CTCs, including refining and improving cell isolation methods, will be required. In addition, investigators will have to conduct rigorous studies aimed at examining whether and

to what extent these promising tumor surrogates can make the transition to use as clinical biomarkers. The value of CTCs as predictive markers is still unclear.

## Circulating Cell-Free and Tumor Cell DNA

The existence of extracellular or cell-free nucleic acids was first documented by Mandel and Metais in the 1940s,<sup>79</sup> and the rheumatologic literature routinely discussed the presence of DNA outside the confines of intact cells through the 1980s.<sup>80</sup> Indeed, patients with cancer were known to have

**Table 2** Review of Evidence-Based Clinical Utility of Analytes

Analyte	Type of DNA/RNA	Clinical utility	Evidence level*
CTCs		Burden is prognostic	Level I for metastatic breast <sup>68</sup> and level II-1 for prostate cancer <sup>67</sup>
Exosomes and circulating microvesicles		Burden is prognostic	Level III <sup>69</sup>
Circulating nucleic acids	cfDNA, ctDNA	Burden is prognostic	Level II-2 <sup>70</sup>
	cfRNA	Marker of therapeutic response	Level III <sup>71</sup>
	miRNA	Up-regulation is possibly prognostic	Preclinical

Evidence levels are based on those established by the US Preventative Services Task Force and are denoted as follows: level I, evidence obtained from at least one properly designed randomized controlled trial; II-1, evidence obtained from well-designed controlled trials without randomization; II-2, evidence obtained from well-designed cohort or case-control analytic studies, preferably from more than one center or research group; II-3, evidence obtained from multiple time series designs with or without the intervention (dramatic results in uncontrolled trials might also be regarded as this type of evidence); and III, opinions of respected authorities, on the basis of clinical experience, descriptive studies, or reports of expert committees.

\*Designations of levels of evidence are based on the listed citations and were agreed to by the authors. No other authority provides the basis for these designations.

cfDNA, cell-free DNA; cfRNA, cell-free RNA; CTC, circulating tumor cell; ctDNA, circulating tumor DNA.

relatively high levels of cell-free DNA (cfDNA) in their plasma, although the overlap with patients with benign diseases, such as inflammatory bowel disease, was substantial enough to undermine clinical utility.<sup>81</sup> The identification of *RAS* oncogene mutations in circulating DNA of patients with pancreatic cancer and myelodysplastic syndrome/acute myeloid leukemia was the initial hint that tumor-specific nucleic acids were present in the circulation.<sup>82,83</sup> Subsequently, other forms of tumor-specific abnormalities, such as loss of heterozygosity (LOH) of microsatellites<sup>84</sup> and methylation of CpG islands, confirmed that circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) was present in the blood.<sup>85,86</sup>

The origin of cfDNA is presumed to be dead cells (necrotic, apoptotic, or phagocytosed).<sup>87</sup> Apoptosis has been proposed as the leading contributor of cfDNA on the basis of the nucleosomal size multiples of the DNA, with the size of most plasma cfDNA fragments in both cancer patients and healthy controls being <300 bp, as measured by electron microscopy, with no significant difference between the two.<sup>88</sup> Evidence is abundant enough at this point to exclude CTCs as the origin of ctDNA; however, even after decades of study, the origin is uncertain. An active release of cellular DNA from living cells has been proposed.<sup>89</sup> This possibility has served, in turn, as support for the theory of genomestasis, which postulates that DNA is transferred between cells via transfection and actively transcribed.<sup>90,91</sup> cfDNA is not particle associated and, thus, is not related to exosomes or a variety of microparticles.<sup>92,93</sup> This is not believed to be true of cell-free RNA (cfRNA).<sup>94,95</sup> cfDNA likely does circulate bound to histone proteins.<sup>96</sup> Among normal research subjects, most cfDNA (between 60% and 95%) is contributed by hematopoietic cells, as demonstrated by studies of sex-mismatched bone marrow transplantation subjects.<sup>92</sup> In the case of cancer patients, the contribution by tumor cells to cfDNA is varied. LOH studies suggest that almost all of the cfDNA is attributable to the tumor cells.<sup>84</sup> By contrast, extensive enrichment for tumor-specific mutations via selective PCR strategies may be needed to reveal rare tumor

molecules, suggesting, in this case, that tumor cells contribute a minority of the cfDNA.<sup>97</sup> The variables influencing the relative amounts of tumor and normal cfDNA (eg, gene amplification, selective loss, and tumor heterogeneity) are not understood.

The clearance of cfDNA from the blood appears to proceed through organ-based mechanisms, predominantly the liver and kidney in mouse models,<sup>98</sup> with liver being the primary uptake site. Organ uptake is more rapid for single-stranded DNA than for double-stranded DNA. DNA >15 bp does not measurably persist in the mouse blood stream >20 minutes for single-stranded DNA or 40 minutes for double-stranded DNA. Although blood contains several DNases, they are not active against protein-bound DNA, providing further support for the concept of histone-DNA complexes.<sup>99</sup> The half-life of cfDNA has been best studied in the context of pregnancy. The mean half-life of fetal DNA in post-partum women is 16 minutes, with a range of 4 to 30 minutes and a complete absence by 2 hours.<sup>100</sup> The situation with cancer appears to be analogous, because post-surgical resection patients have similarly short half-lives of tumor-specific DNA, although such cancer studies may be confounded by occult metastases or residual disease.<sup>101</sup>

Methods to extract nucleic acids from plasma or serum were initially varied, which led to inconsistent results. Indeed, the preferred substrate (plasma or serum) was also unsettled for several years. Currently, most investigators use plasma derived from EDTA anticoagulated blood. Although larger amounts of nucleic acids appear in the serum, these have been demonstrated to be from lysed residual white blood cells.<sup>102</sup> The peripheral blood white cell DNA can present a significant problem for identification of ctDNA, because it is present in great excess and, thus, can decrease sensitivity of detection of ctDNA. Several commercial blood collection tubes made specifically for the collection and stabilization of extracellular DNA and RNA are available, but they are not used in most protocols.<sup>103,104</sup> The initial processing step after plasma is obtained is usually a

pair of centrifugations, first a low-speed spin, followed by a higher-speed spin to remove remaining blood cells without lysis.<sup>105</sup> Nucleic acids are then extracted from the remaining supernatant by one of a variety of methods. Extraction kits intended for intact blood cells or tissues are commonly used, but these have the drawback of not capturing all of the nucleic acid, which tends to be of relatively low molecular weight.<sup>106</sup> Lack of standardization and optimization of processing methods are ongoing limitations in the field.<sup>107</sup>

The quantification of cfDNA has been performed by a variety of methods, mostly spectrophotometrically or by dye intercalation. Normal reference ranges are not well established. On occasion, >1000 ng cfDNA/mL of blood has been reported in healthy controls, but the mean seems to be approximately 1 to 10 ng/mL.<sup>108</sup> Patients with a variety of diseases, ranging from infection to autoimmunity to cancer, generally have higher levels of cfDNA, but there is substantial overlap between the populations, and most reports do not show a statistically significant difference from healthy people. Complicating any conclusion is the fact that the size of the patient cohorts in most studies is small. Even large studies are relatively poorly controlled.<sup>109</sup> Although quantification itself may not be informative for tumor diagnosis, a decrease in level after therapy may be useful for residual disease detection, as has been demonstrated for Epstein-Barr virus DNA and nasopharyngeal carcinoma.<sup>110</sup>

## Clinical Utility of Circulating Cell-Free and Tumor Cell DNA

Because extracellular nucleic acids are presumably released from most or all cells in the body, their detection has been referred to as a whole body biopsy or liquid biopsy. Although there are hundreds of articles on the topic, most have small study populations and only a few thousand patient samples in aggregate have been assayed for tumor nucleic acids. A wide variety of malignancies, from breast adenocarcinoma to gliomas, have been studied, but particular emphasis has been placed on colorectal and pancreatic cancer, in large part because *KRAS* mutations have been a frequent biomarker. The performance characteristics of these assays have been modest. Correlation between tumor abnormalities (point mutation, microsatellite instability, methylation, and translocation) and the same or similar abnormalities in the plasma or serum cfDNA is not absolute, and discordances happen in both directions (Esteller et al<sup>85</sup> provide a tabular summary of these comparisons). Overall clinical sensitivity of these serum and plasma markers may be as high as 90% in known cancer patients, but more commonly runs between approximately 30% and 80%, and less for methods like loss of microsatellite heterogeneity, which are expected to have a lower discrimination threshold.<sup>104</sup> Specificity is also varied, and complicated by the fact that there is reasonable evidence of either sub-clinical mutations or premalignancy in clinically normal individuals.<sup>97</sup> There are many caveats to these numbers: studies

are small and underpowered, they are often poorly controlled or uncontrolled, they examine one or a few markers, they use a variety of marginally validated methods, often with poor analytic sensitivities, and they examine mixed populations (eg, stages) of patients.<sup>104</sup> Clearly, more assay validation studies are needed before these protocols can be brought into the clinic. It is possible that technical improvements in assays, in particular the advent of digital or massively parallel sequencing, will lead to more sensitive and reproducible biomarker detection.<sup>111</sup> These techniques are already being optimized for detection of multiple markers from small samples, sometimes at the single-cell level. The ability to reproducibly examine small amounts of DNA would allow detection of multiple targets, such as known cancer-associated mutations.

Perhaps more promising or more advanced than initial diagnosis or screening for cancer is the possibility of tumor monitoring through the use of ctDNA biomarkers. This has the benefit of providing a known target for mutation screening, because the tumor itself can be sequenced before cfDNA analysis and common, disease-specific abnormalities can be targeted. An early example of this approach was the identification of minimal residual follicular lymphoma by searching for the characteristic *BCL2/IGH* translocation.<sup>112</sup> Such minimal residual disease detection may also benefit from next-generation methods.<sup>113</sup> Of course, detection of a few mutant molecules (as little as 0.01% of the total ctDNA) requires stringent measures to avoid false-positive results.<sup>101</sup>

The combination of cfDNA concentration with other blood tumor biomarkers may also prove to be useful,<sup>114,115</sup> as would the correlation studies between tumor and blood biomarkers. Clinical validation involving multicenter studies is critically needed to further define these relationships.

## Tumor-Specific Gene Mutations

### Pancreatic Cancer

Pancreatic cancer has the distinction of being the first solid tumor associated with a specific mutation in ctDNA.<sup>116</sup> This is due, in part, to the availability of a frequently mutated and easily assayed target, the *KRAS* gene. Sorenson et al<sup>116</sup> used allele-specific amplification to assay for mutations in codon 12 in the plasma or serum of pancreatic adenocarcinoma patients. Only three patients with metastatic disease were tested, and each showed mutation in codon 12.<sup>116</sup> This article essentially launched the field of ctDNA.

The liquid biopsy aspect of ctDNA holds particular attraction for pancreatic cancer. It is relatively common, presents late in its course, and is challenging to biopsy. The differential diagnosis with pancreatitis is a common one, and several studies have focused on discriminating between these, with mixed success. The sensitivity of detecting primary pancreatic adenocarcinoma on the basis of ctDNA is generally low (approximately 30% to 50%), but the specificity is generally higher (approximately 90%).<sup>82,117,118</sup> A variety of detection methods have been used, including restriction



digestion and single-stranded conformational polymorphism. In one case, sensitivity was improved when a serum protein marker (CA19.9) was measured in concert with DNA measurements.<sup>117</sup> Disappointingly, pancreatitis cases also exhibited mutations in the *KRAS* gene, although at a lower frequency (5% to 15%) than adenocarcinoma.<sup>82,117</sup> In all of these studies, however, the number of cases and controls was limited and the follow-up was brief, which could be particularly pertinent given the long lead time of pancreatic cancer.<sup>119</sup> In at least one study, however, the presence of mutated *KRAS* DNA was an independent, poor prognostic factor.<sup>82</sup>

Although most studies have focused on *KRAS* mutations in pancreatic cancer because of their prevalence, other approaches have been tried. A DNA integrity assay dependent on the relative length preservation of repetitive genomic elements in tumor cells compared to normal blood cells showed promise as a biomarker for pancreatic cancer.<sup>120</sup> Methylation studies of promoter regions were reportedly able to discriminate pancreatic cancer from pancreatitis, although this type of multianalyte approach requires independent confirmation.<sup>121</sup> The advent of higher-throughput methods, such as next-generation sequencing and digital PCR, may have a profound effect on the field; for instance, one recent study showed that pancreatic duct cancer, compared to other malignancies, had a relatively high rate of ctDNA, more so in metastatic disease than nonmetastatic disease.<sup>70</sup> In summary, for clinical and biological reasons, pancreatic cancer is an ideal candidate for the diagnostic and prognostic use of ctDNA. ctDNA has shown as much promise in this tumor type as any, and the ability to look more broadly and in greater depth at the tumor genome may finally unlock this promise.

## Colorectal Carcinoma

Several types of DNA alterations have been detected with a variable frequency in ctDNA of patients with colorectal carcinoma, including mutations of oncogenes and tumor-suppressor genes, DNA microsatellite instability, LOH, hypermethylation of gene promoters, and mutations of mitochondrial DNA.

The detection of *KRAS*, *APC*, and *TP53* mutant DNA in plasma or serum of patients with colorectal cancer has been correlated with diagnosis, prognosis, and therapeutic response in several studies.<sup>122–125</sup> The analysis of cfDNA for specific gene mutations, such as those in *KRAS* and *TP53*, is desirable because these genes have a high mutation frequency in many tumor types and contribute to tumor progression. The overall detection rate of *KRAS* mutations in serum or plasma of patients with colorectal cancer ranges from 25% to 30% up to 50% in different studies when considering only tumors harboring these same genetic alterations.<sup>126</sup> *KRAS* mutations in ctDNA have been detected in different stages of colorectal carcinoma and in premalignant disease, with the highest level found in the more advanced stage.<sup>97</sup> Preoperative detection of *KRAS* mutations

in ctDNA has been highly specific for the presence of colorectal neoplasia, and associated with a higher risk of recurrence.<sup>122,125,127,128</sup> Postoperatively, persistence or reappearance of circulating mutant DNA has been shown to be a strong predictor of disease recurrence and poor prognosis.<sup>5,129,130</sup> Analysis of circulating mutant DNA has also shown utility in monitoring patients with colorectal carcinoma who are receiving anti-EGFR therapy.<sup>131</sup> This approach is highly relevant for choosing a treatment with efficacy, and provides an opportunity to repeatedly monitor patients during treatment without having to resort to repeated biopsies. However, agreement between detection of *KRAS* mutations in plasma samples and colorectal samples is not 100%, demonstrating a potential for false-positive results.<sup>97</sup>

In patients with tumors harboring a *TP53* mutation, the same mutation has been identified in ctDNA in approximately 40% of cases.<sup>126</sup> Most studies published so far have focused on portions of *TP53* between exons 4 and 8, where the most commonly encountered *TP53* mutations in colorectal cancer are located.<sup>122,125,128,132–136</sup>

In addition, clinically relevant mutations in *BRAF*,<sup>135</sup> *EGFR*, and *APC*<sup>122,125,134,135</sup> have now been studied in ctDNA from colorectal cancer patients. The search for *APC* mutations in ctDNA has focused on exon 15, which is a hotspot for *APC* mutations in colorectal cancer. The rate of *APC* mutation detection in primary ctDNA is approximately 45%.<sup>127</sup>

The major challenge with mutation analysis of circulating mutant DNA has been assay sensitivity and specificity. Currently, most assays target ctDNA alterations located in mutational hotspots of certain genes. Wild-type cfDNA sequences and heterogeneity of primary and metastatic tumors can also interfere with detection of ctDNA mutations. In this setting, multigene panel analysis of ctDNA would be expected to increase test sensitivity. However, available evidence does not support this assumption.<sup>122,125</sup> The overall detection rate for mutations in the serum or plasma of patients with colorectal cancer has been reported as approximately 35% by different groups using multigene panels.<sup>94,125,136–138</sup> Recently, a panel targeting mutations of the *KRAS*, *TP53*, and *APC* genes enabled the identification of at least one genetic alteration in tumor tissue from approximately 75% of patients with colorectal cancer.<sup>122,125</sup> Disappointingly, those same mutations were only detected in the serum of 45% of these patients. A recently devised massive parallel sequencing approach (Safe-SeqS) that can accurately detect mutations in a small fraction of DNA templates containing variant bases may improve mutation detection in ctDNA.<sup>139</sup>

Microsatellite alterations in ctDNA from the plasma or serum of colorectal cancer patients have shown variable detection rates across studies.<sup>140</sup> In addition, concordance between LOH findings in ctDNA and LOH found in DNA isolated from matched primary tumors has been variable.<sup>132</sup>

Although the analysis of methylated *SEPT9* DNA in plasma has been shown to be a sensitive (up to 90%) and specific (up to 88%) approach for detection of all stages of

colorectal carcinoma,<sup>141–144</sup> other targets appear not as sensitive. Grady et al<sup>145</sup> studied *MLH1* promoter hypermethylation in preoperative serum and matched tumor samples of 19 patients with microsatellite unstable colon cancers. Of those, 47.4% of tumors were positive for *MLH1* promoter methylation, and only three (33%) of these cases also demonstrated a positive result in DNA from their preoperative serum samples. Subsequent studies have reported a similar detection rate for *MLH1* promoter methylation.<sup>123,124</sup>

The reported detection rate of mutations in targeted regions of cell-free circulating mitochondrial DNA corresponding to primary tumors has been low (14%), which limits the application of this marker in the clinical setting.<sup>132</sup>

## Lung Carcinoma

Circulating DNA has been detected in body fluids of patients with lung cancer,<sup>146</sup> and circulating DNA from lung cancer patients was shown to contain tumor-specific genetic and epigenetic alterations, including mutations, microsatellite alterations, and gene promoter hypermethylation.<sup>147</sup> Elevated concentrations of circulating DNA have been associated with tumor stage, prognosis, and response to chemotherapy.<sup>148–154</sup>

The detection of *KRAS* mutations in plasma of patients with NSCLC correlates with poor prognosis.<sup>154,155</sup> Plasma *KRAS* mutation status is also associated with a poor tumor response to EGFR—tyrosine kinase inhibitors in NSCLC patients and may be used as a predictive marker in selecting patients for such treatment.<sup>155</sup> Furthermore, *EGFR* mutation analysis in tumor-derived DNA from pleural effusion fluid is potentially practical for predicting the response to gefitinib treatment in advanced NSCLC.<sup>156,157</sup>

Aberrant hypermethylation of *CDKN2A* has been reported to be an early event in lung carcinogenesis and a potential biomarker for early diagnosis.<sup>85,158,159</sup> Hypermethylation of *CDKN2A* can be detected in the serum and/or plasma of patients with lung cancer,<sup>160</sup> even before clinical evidence of malignancy.<sup>161,162</sup> No statistically significant differences have been observed among histological types (adenocarcinoma versus squamous cell carcinoma) or clinical stages, indicating that *CDKN2A* hypermethylation is a common and early event during lung carcinogenesis in general. In addition, positive tumor and circulating *CDKN2A* indicates advanced stage in NSCLC,<sup>163</sup> and patients with plasma and pre-resection pleural lavage *CDKN2A* tend to have shorter survival.<sup>163</sup> Aberrant hypermethylation of *CDKN2A* has also been associated with tumor dissemination, and metastatic potential and poor prognosis in NSCLC.<sup>163–165</sup> Overall, *CDKN2A* methylation detection in plasma or serum is a specific marker for detection of NSCLC.<sup>160</sup> More recently, serum detection of methylation of 14-3-3 $\sigma$  was shown to be a new independent prognostic factor for survival in NSCLC patients receiving platinum-based chemotherapy.<sup>166</sup>

LOH has been detected in cfDNA and tumor cell DNA from patients with small cell lung cancer (SCLC) and NSCLC.<sup>167–169</sup> In a study by Bruhn et al,<sup>167</sup> 31% of the

SCLC patients had microsatellite alteration(s) or LOH in at least one locus analyzed in chromosomes X, 6, and 21. In 40% of the cases, the identical alteration was detected in the plasma DNA. In the group of patients diagnosed with NSCLC, a microsatellite alteration or LOH was detected in at least one locus in 33% of the patients. In all but two patients, the identical alteration observed in the DNA from tumor cells was also detected in the DNA isolated from blood plasma. The high prevalence of microsatellite alterations of 3p, even in stages I and II of lung cancer, in independent series of lung cancer patients,<sup>150,169,170</sup> suggests that this feature is also associated with the early phase of the disease and can thus be used as an independent marker, possibly improving the diagnostic potential.

Emerging technologies, such as next-generation sequencing, are expected to facilitate the discovery of clinically relevant genetic biomarkers for diagnosis, prognosis, and personalized therapeutics of lung cancer. And these findings can potentially increase the informativeness of tumor molecular signatures in plasma or serum.

## Other Tumor Types

ctDNA has been evaluated for most common tumor types. The trends described for the exemplar tumor types described above (pancreatic, colorectal, and lung carcinoma) hold true for most cancers: sample sizes are relatively small, follow-up times are relatively brief, and test parameters are relatively modest. For example, in the area of testing ctDNA in melanoma patients, the earliest of studies showed that LOH of anonymous microsatellite markers correlated well between tumor and plasma.<sup>171</sup> This study was performed on 76 patients. Thirteen years later, a multiparameter study hypothesized a correlation with biopsy-proven melanoma focused on ctDNA quantity, integrity, *BRAF*-mutated DNA, and methylation of *RASSF1A*. This study was performed on 76 patients and 63 healthy controls, had <5 years of follow-up, and reported that a multiparameter approach to identify melanoma was needed to overcome the non-specificity of each component individually.<sup>172</sup> In the interval, one group demonstrated the clinical utility of testing plasma for *BRAF*-mutated ctDNA in predicting chemotherapy response.<sup>173</sup> Across these studies, the lack of a consistent set of analytes and small study size, as well as the case-control approach, have greatly hampered unequivocal meta-analysis.

One exception to a small-scale approach is a test for methylation of the septin 9 gene (*SEPT9*), which has been examined in two large prospective colorectal cancer screening trials as part of a premarket approval submission to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. In the PRESEPT Study, a large cohort of 1544 colonoscopy patients was screened prospectively with a quantitative PCR of the *SEPT9* promoter region after bisulfite conversion. The sensitivity and specificity for detection of carcinomas from average-risk patients were 48.2% and 91.5%, respectively.<sup>174</sup> In a study comparing the *SEPT9* assay to the commercial fecal immunochemical

test, 290 average-risk people undergoing screening endoscopy were tested with paired stool and plasma samples. The plasma test was not inferior to the fecal blood test with regard to sensitivity, but the same could not be shown with regard to specificity (Epi proColon Test; Epigenomics, Inc., Germantown, MD). Thus, when large cohort studies are performed, they have demonstrated good, but by no means stellar, results for ctDNA as a screening tool.

Although it is outside the scope of this review, similar molecular approaches are being taken on other specimen types, such as urine, sputum, and stool.

## Epigenetic Alterations

The detection of methylated ctDNA represents one of the most promising approaches for risk assessment in cancer patients.<sup>175</sup> Assays for the detection of promoter hypermethylation may have higher sensitivity than microsatellite analyses, and can have advantages over mutation analyses.<sup>176</sup> Aberrant DNA methylation, which seems to be common in cancer, occurs at specific CpG sites. There are particular tumor-suppressor genes that are frequently methylated and down-regulated in certain cancers. There are several advantages to the detection of aberrant DNA methylation over the detection of genetic mutations. Hypermethylation of multiple tumor-suppressor genes is frequently observed in cancers. Thus, the sensitivity of a cancer detection test can be enhanced by simultaneous detection of the hypermethylation of multiple genes. To improve assay conditions and the clinical relevance, the selection of appropriate genes from a long list of candidate genes that are known to be methylated in cancer is essential. Several studies have reported the presence of aberrant methylation in tumor tissues, and similar changes were also detected in the plasma/serum samples.<sup>177</sup> Such studies have indicated a good correlation between restricted expression at the tissue level and the occurrence of detectable levels of candidate biomarkers in serum/plasma DNA. In this connection, the circulating methylated DNA approach has been applied as a biomarker in various forms of cancer, including pancreatic cancer,<sup>178</sup> ovarian cancer, prostate carcinoma,<sup>179</sup> hepatocellular carcinoma, esophageal adenocarcinoma, colorectal carcinoma, breast cancer,<sup>180</sup> head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, testicular cancer,<sup>181</sup> and lung cancer.

To evaluate whether degree of methylation measurement could be used as a useful serum-based biomarker of breast cancer, Sturgeon et al<sup>182</sup> used pyrosequencing to define methylation status of a panel of 12 breast cancer-related genes (*APC*, *BRCA1*, *CCND2*, *CDH1*, *ESR1*, *GSTP1*, *HIN1*, *CDKN2A*, *RAR*, *RASSF1*, *SFRP1*, and *TEIST*). For all genes, median levels of methylation were higher in lymph node-positive breast cancer cases than the controls. The most significant findings were for *TWIST*, *SFRP1*, *ESR1*, *CDKN2A*, and *APC*; however, the differences in methylation levels were still not sufficiently distinct to be able to distinguish between cases and controls in a clinical setting.

In a study by Chimonidou et al,<sup>183</sup> *SOX17* methylation was examined in 79 primary breast tumors, 114 paired samples of DNA isolated from CTCs and ctDNA, and 60 healthy individuals. The *SOX17* promoter was highly methylated in primary breast tumors, in CTCs isolated from patients with breast cancer, and in corresponding ctDNA samples. Although there was significant correlation between *SOX17* methylation in ctDNA and CTCs in patients with early breast cancer, this was not observed in patients with verified metastasis.<sup>184</sup>

## cfRNA

Circulating gene transcripts are also detectable in the plasma of cancer patients.<sup>184</sup> Extracellular human mRNA was first described in 1999 in the circulation of melanoma patients, where the relatively melanocyte-specific tyrosinase mRNA was shown to exist in a particulate or packaged form.<sup>94</sup> This was followed by identification of other forms of RNAs, in particular miRNAs, in the plasma or serum.<sup>185–187</sup> Cancer cells often have distinct gene expression patterns different from normal tissues. This difference can be used diagnostically through detecting the tumor-specific transcripts in the circulation of cancer patients.<sup>188</sup> It is known that RNA released into the circulation is surprisingly stable despite the fact that increased amounts of RNases circulate in the blood of cancer patients.<sup>94</sup> This implies that RNA may be protected from degradation by its packaging into exosomes, such as microparticles, microvesicles, or multivesicles, which are shed from cellular surfaces into bloodstream. The detection and identification of RNA can be performed using several methods, including microarray technologies or quantitative real-time RT-PCR.<sup>189</sup>

Studies are emerging in which blood mRNA signatures could be used as prognostic or predictive markers, or both. Results from two studies published in *The Lancet Oncology* suggest that transcript levels of a few selected genes in blood samples from cancer patients can significantly improve outcome prediction. In a study by Ross et al,<sup>190</sup> a panel of 168 inflammation- and prostate cancer-related genes was assessed with optimized quantitative PCR to assess biomarkers predictive of survival. A six-gene model separated patients with castration-resistant prostate cancer into two risk groups: a low-risk group with a median survival of >34.9 months and a high-risk group with a median survival of 7.8 months. In a separate study, Olmos et al<sup>191</sup> used microarray-based expression profiling of whole blood samples from 64 patients with advanced castration-resistant prostate cancer and 30 patients undergoing active surveillance to identify expression patterns specific for aggressive disease. A nine-gene signature was developed that was significantly associated with poor overall survival. The biological relevance of these prognostic signatures is largely unknown. Just as with ctDNA, studies of cfRNA lack a large scale and a correlation between tumor behavior and findings in blood biomarkers.

The predictive value of mRNA signatures was examined in 98 rectal cancer patients,<sup>71</sup> in whom plasma levels of cfRNA



and telomere-specific reverse transcriptase mRNA were found to predict therapy response. Although the detection of gene expression patterns in blood of cancer patients sounds promising, questions have been raised as to how much of the circulating RNA in cancer patients is derived from tumor cells, and how much comes from the hematopoietic system, possibly as a response of blood cells to a disease condition.<sup>192</sup> Issues such as optimal timing of blood sample collection and influence of therapy still need to be addressed.

## Circulating miRNA

miRNAs represent a class of naturally occurring, small, noncoding RNAs. The secretory mechanism and biological function of extracellular miRNAs remain unclear. It is speculated that miRNAs in the blood of cancer patients could play the same important roles as miRNAs in tissues, and some studies have tried to correlate miRNA expression in solid tumors with that in blood.<sup>186,193</sup> Circulating miRNAs are not cell associated but seem to escape degradation by endogenous RNase activity by residing in microvesicles,<sup>194</sup> exosomes, microparticles, and apoptotic bodies, and recently protein-miRNA complexes<sup>195</sup> have also been proposed. miRNAs remain stable after being subjected to harsh conditions, including boiling, low/high pH, extended storage, and freeze-thaw cycles. Measurement of circulating miRNA levels is made challenging because of contamination by varying levels of cellular miRNAs of different hematopoietic origins. Protocols for isolation and stabilization of circulating miRNAs will need to be standardized and to include approaches to selectively detect miRNAs, possibly at the single molecule level, from plasma of cancer patients.<sup>196</sup> Techniques such as next-generation sequencing and expression profiling might allow generation of miRNA profiles in blood that would correlate with tumor progression.<sup>197,198</sup> Measurements obtained from plasma and serum were not always correlated, and it appears that plasma samples will be more suitable for investigations of miRNAs as blood-based biomarkers for noninvasive diagnosis in various tumor entities.

Several studies have tried to define correlation between circulating miRNAs and clinical variables in different types of cancer.<sup>199–201</sup> In their study of metastatic and localized prostate cancer, Nguyen et al<sup>202</sup> observed that miR-375 and miR-141 were significantly up-regulated in prostate cancer specimens and their release in blood was further associated with advanced cancer.

When levels of vesicle-related miRNAs were correlated with patient survival in NSCLC, it was observed that levels of let-7f and miR-30e-3p were associated with poor outcome. A separate study looked at transforming growth factor- $\beta$  signaling pathway—related serum miRNAs as predictors of survival in advanced NSCLC.<sup>203</sup> Survival analysis identified 17 miRNAs significantly associated with 2-year patient survival, and 17-miRNA risk score was generated that was able to identify patients at the highest risk of death.

It has been consistently demonstrated that expression of miRNAs is altered in tumor compared to normal tissue, and that these changes may be reflected in the plasma/serum of cancer patients compared to healthy individuals. However, when small differences are observed, the significance of findings cannot always be determined.<sup>204</sup> Larger studies are needed to better define clinical utility of these blood biomarkers.

## Exosomes and Circulating Microvesicles

In addition to CTCs, cfDNA and that subclass denoted ctDNA, exosomes, and circulating microvesicles are small membrane-bound cell fragments (sizes between 30 and 1000 nm diameter), and they may find clinical use in the near term.<sup>205,206</sup> Exosomes overlap with circulating microvesicles in size on the smaller part of the range (30 to 100 nm in diameter). Exosomes arise from a somewhat distinct mechanism: they are released either from the cell when multivesicular bodies fuse with the plasma membrane or directly from the plasma membrane. Like circulating microvesicles, evidence is accumulating that exosomes have specialized functions and play a key role in coagulation, intercellular signaling, and waste management.<sup>207,208</sup> Both of these circulating cell parts are found in a variety of body fluids and interstitial spaces.<sup>209</sup> Although many investigators initially thought these were cellular debris, circulating microvesicles and exosomes were recently shown to have roles in cell signaling and intercellular molecular communication.<sup>210–212</sup> This rubric currently comprises a heterogeneous population of exosomes and shed microvesicles, with distinct mechanisms of formation. Circulating microvesicles are actively released into the extracellular space to interact with specific target cells and have been demonstrated to deliver bioactive molecules.<sup>213</sup> In many carcinomas, circulating microvesicle levels increase.<sup>214</sup> This alteration in circulating microvesicle burden may find future use as a surrogate for disease severity.<sup>61,215</sup> In addition, microvesicle biochemistry may provide biochemical or molecular markers for tumor severity.<sup>216,217</sup>

## Conclusions

Isolation and characterization of CTCs and ctDNA are likely to improve cancer diagnosis, treatment, and minimal residual disease monitoring. Examination of peripheral blood has the advantage of providing a minimally invasive method for early and serial assessment of multiplex predictive and prognostic markers during multistage disease progression. Because higher CTC burden has been shown to predict poor prognosis in metastatic disease, efforts at aggressive chemotherapeutic intervention are being tested.<sup>218</sup> The initial results from these studies are promising. However, exploratory trials will need to take into account the possibility of tumor heterogeneity, within both the tumor and CTCs. Emerging evidence suggests that CTC heterogeneity for tumor-related mutations exists

and that it may be clinically important.<sup>219–222</sup> Yet, investigators are going to require a more complete understanding of the phenotypic aspects of a tumor that can be inferred from CTCs. Specifically, detection of cancer-related molecular alterations in CTCs and ctDNA may provide an advantageous substrate for precise information about a patient's disease. However, more trials are required to validate the clinical utility of precise molecular markers for a variety of tumor types.

Epigenetic alterations, cfRNA, and miRNA are each in the early stages of biomarker development. Each has shown promise in breast cancer and prostate cancer, with some limited success for using cfRNA in rectal cancer prognosis through telomere-specific PCR. Although some miRNA species have been implicated in high-profile public discussion as biomarkers, their clinical utility has not yet been established. It would, therefore, be premature for molecular pathology validation.

Finally, although exomes and microvesicles have not yet found application in the clinical laboratory, pharmacological assay of exosomes and nanoparticles may eventually permit a gauge for the efficacy of delivery of targeted agents via novel techniques.

## Disclaimer

The Association for Molecular Pathology (AMP) Clinical Practice Guidelines and Reports are developed to be of assistance to laboratory and other health care professionals by providing guidance and recommendations for particular areas of practice. The Guidelines or Report should not be considered inclusive of all proper approaches or methods, or exclusive of others. The Guidelines or Report cannot guarantee any specific outcome, nor do they establish a standard of care. The Guidelines or Report are not intended to dictate the treatment of a particular patient. Treatment decisions must be made on the basis of the independent judgment of health care providers and each patient's individual circumstances. AMP makes no warranty, express or implied, regarding the Guidelines or Report and specifically excludes any warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular use or purpose. AMP shall not be liable for direct, indirect, special, incidental, or consequential damages related to the use of the information contained herein.

## Acknowledgments

The Circulating Tumor Cell Working Group took the following approach to summarize relevant research results in this active area of clinical investigation: i) The group subdivided topics appropriate to each member's area of expertise for initial research and draft. ii) Sections were then assembled as a rough draft document. iii) The rough draft document was critiqued and edited by each member of the working group. iv) The document was then circulated to the Clinical Practice

Committee publication's subcommittee for critique. v) A revised document was then submitted for publication.

## References

1. Durbin PW, Jeung N, Williams MH, Arnold JS: Construction of a growth curve for mammary tumors of the rat. *Cancer Res* 1967, 27:1341–1347
2. Friberg S, Mattson S: On the growth rates of human malignant tumors: implications for medical decision making. *J Surg Oncol* 1997, 65:284–297
3. Beerwinkel N, Antal T, Dingli D, Traulsen A, Kinzler KW, Velculescu VE, Vogelstein B, Nowak MA: Genetic progression and the waiting time to cancer. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2007, 3:e225
4. Del Monte U: Does the cell number 10(9) still really fit one gram of tumor tissue? *Cell Cycle* 2009, 8:505
5. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA: The hallmarks of cancer. *Cell* 2000, 100:57–70
6. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA: Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell* 2011, 144:646–674
7. Vogelstein B, Papadopoulos N, Velculescu VE, Zhou S, Diaz LA Jr, Kinzler KW: Cancer genome landscapes. *Science* 2013, 339:1546–1558
8. Anderson AR, Weaver AM, Cummings PT, Quaranta V: Tumor morphology and phenotypic evolution driven by selective pressure from the microenvironment. *Cell* 2006, 127:905–915
9. Sung SY, Hsieh CL, Wu D, Chung LW, Johnstone PA: Tumor microenvironment promotes cancer progression, metastasis, and therapeutic resistance. *Curr Probl Cancer* 2007, 31:36–100
10. Tian T, Olson S, Whitacre JM, Harding A: The origins of cancer robustness and evolvability. *Integr Biol (Camb)* 2011, 3:17–30
11. Tomaskovic-Crook E, Thompson EW, Thiery JP: Epithelial to mesenchymal transition and breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res* 2009, 11:213
12. Micalizzi DS, Farabaugh SM, Ford HL: Epithelial-mesenchymal transition in cancer: parallels between normal development and tumor progression. *J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia* 2010, 15:117–134
13. Chen C, Wei Y, Hummel M, Hoffmann TK, Gross M, Kaufmann AM, Albers AE: Evidence for epithelial-mesenchymal transition in cancer stem cells of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *PLoS One* 2011, 6:e16466
14. Drasin DJ, Robin TP, Ford HL: Breast cancer epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition: examining the functional consequences of plasticity. *Breast Cancer Res* 2011, 13:226
15. Nieto MA: The ins and outs of the epithelial to mesenchymal transition in health and disease. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 2011, 27:347–376
16. Strauss R, Li ZY, Liu Y, Beyer I, Persson J, Sova P, Moller T, Pesonen S, Hemminki A, Hamerlik P, Drescher C, Urban N, Bartek J, Lieber A: Analysis of epithelial and mesenchymal markers in ovarian cancer reveals phenotypic heterogeneity and plasticity. *PLoS One* 2011, 6:e16186
17. Debnath J, Brugge JS: Modelling glandular epithelial cancers in three-dimensional cultures. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2005, 5:675–688
18. Brabek J, Mierke CT, Rosel D, Vesely P, Fabry B: The role of the tissue microenvironment in the regulation of cancer cell motility and invasion. *Cell Commun Signal* 2010, 8:22
19. Groger CJ, Grubinger M, Waldhor T, Vierlinger K, Mikulits W: Meta-analysis of gene expression signatures defining the epithelial to mesenchymal transition during cancer progression. *PLoS One* 2012, 7:e51136
20. Salnikov AV, Liu L, Platen M, Gladkikh J, Salnikova O, Ryschich E, Matten J, Moldenhauer G, Werner J, Schemmer P, Buchler MW, Herr I: Hypoxia induces EMT in low and highly aggressive pancreatic tumor cells but only cells with cancer stem cell characteristics acquire pronounced migratory potential. *PLoS One* 2012, 7:e46391
21. Kramer RH, Vogel KG: Selective degradation of basement membrane macromolecules by metastatic melanoma cells. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1984, 72:889–899

22. Liotta LA, Stetler-Stevenson WG: Tumor invasion and metastasis: an imbalance of positive and negative regulation. *Cancer Res* 1991, 51: 5054s–5059s
23. Loriger M, Felding-Habermann B: Capturing changes in the brain microenvironment during initial steps of breast cancer brain metastasis. *Am J Pathol* 2010, 176:2958–2971
24. Pavese JM, Farmer RL, Bergan RC: Inhibition of cancer cell invasion and metastasis by genistein. *Cancer Metastasis Rev* 2010, 29: 465–482
25. Allard WJ, Matera J, Miller MC, Repollet M, Connelly MC, Rao C, Tibbe AG, Uhr JW, Terstappen LW: Tumor cells circulate in the peripheral blood of all major carcinomas but not in healthy subjects or patients with nonmalignant diseases. *Clin Cancer Res* 2004, 10: 6897–6904
26. Cristofanilli M, Broglio KR, Guarneri V, Jackson S, Fritsche HA, Islam R, Dawood S, Reuben JM, Kau SW, Lara JM, Krishnamurthy S, Ueno NT, Hortobagyi GN, Valero V: Circulating tumor cells in metastatic breast cancer: biologic staging beyond tumor burden. *Clin Breast Cancer* 2007, 7:471–479
27. Ross JS, Slodkowska EA: Circulating and disseminated tumor cells in the management of breast cancer. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2009, 132: 237–245
28. Hou HW, Warkiani ME, Khoo BL, Li ZR, Soo RA, Tan DS, Lim WT, Han J, Bhagat AA, Lim CT: Isolation and retrieval of circulating tumor cells using centrifugal forces. *Sci Rep* 2013, 3:1259
29. Szotek PP, Pieretti-Vanmarcke R, Masiakos PT, Dinulescu DM, Connolly D, Foster R, Dombkowski D, Preffer F, Maclaughlin DT, Donahoe PK: Ovarian cancer side population defines cells with stem cell-like characteristics and Mullerian Inhibiting Substance responsiveness. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2006, 103:11154–11159
30. Britton KM, Eyre R, Harvey IJ, Stemke-Hale K, Browell D, Lennard TW, Meeson AP: Breast cancer, side population cells and ABCG2 expression. *Cancer Lett* 2012, 323:97–105
31. Tirino V, Desiderio V, Paino F, De Rosa A, Papaccio F, La Noce M, Laino L, De Francesco F, Papaccio G: Cancer stem cells in solid tumors: an overview and new approaches for their isolation and characterization. *FASEB J* 2013, 27:13–24
32. Yu M, Stott S, Toner M, Maheswaran S, Haber DA: Circulating tumor cells: approaches to isolation and characterization. *J Cell Biol* 2011, 192:373–382
33. Williams SC: Circulating tumor cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2013, 110:4861
34. Loberg RD, Fridman Y, Pienta BA, Keller ET, McCauley LK, Taichman RS, Pienta KJ: Detection and isolation of circulating tumor cells in urologic cancers: a review. *Neoplasia* 2004, 6:302–309
35. Gossett DR, Weaver WM, Mach AJ, Hur SC, Tse HT, Lee W, Amini H, Di Carlo D: Label-free cell separation and sorting in microfluidic systems. *Anal Bioanal Chem* 2010, 397:3249–3267
36. Vona G, Sabile A, Louha M, Sitruk V, Romana S, Schutze K, Capron F, Franco D, Pazzagli M, Vekemans M, Lacour B, Brechot C, Paterlini-Brechot P: Isolation by size of epithelial tumor cells: a new method for the immunomorphological and molecular characterization of circulating tumor cells. *Am J Pathol* 2000, 156:57–63
37. Tveit S, Andersen K, Karesen R, Fodstad O: Analysis of EpCAM positive cells isolated from sentinel lymph nodes of breast cancer patients identifies subpopulations of cells with distinct transcription profiles. *Breast Cancer Res* 2011, 13:R75
38. Nadal R, Lorente JA, Rosell R, Serrano MJ: Relevance of molecular characterization of circulating tumor cells in breast cancer in the era of targeted therapies. *Expert Rev Mol Diagn* 2013, 13:295–307
39. Usiakova Z, Mikulova V, Pinterova D, Brychta M, Valchar J, Kubecova M, Tesarova P, Bobek V, Kolostova K: Circulating tumor cells in patients with breast cancer: monitoring chemotherapy success. *In Vivo* 2014, 28:605–614
40. Chen KC, Pan YC, Chen CL, Lin CH, Huang CS, Wo AM: Enumeration and viability of rare cells in a microfluidic disk via positive selection approach. *Anal Biochem* 2012, 429:116–123
41. Kamande JW, Hupert ML, Witek MA, Wang H, Torphy RJ, Dharmasiri U, Njoroge SK, Jackson JM, Aufforth RD, Snavey A, Yeh JJ, Soper SA: Modular microsystem for the isolation, enumeration, and phenotyping of circulating tumor cells in patients with pancreatic cancer. *Anal Chem* 2013, 85:9092–9100
42. Raimondi C, Gradilone A, Naso G, Cortesi E, Gazzaniga P: Clinical utility of circulating tumor cell counting through CellSearch(®): the dilemma of a concept suspended in Limbo. *Onco Targets Ther* 2014, 7:619–625
43. Karabacak NM, Spuhler PS, Fachin F, Lim EJ, Pai V, Ozkumur E, Martel JM, Kojic N, Smith K, Chen PI, Yang J, Hwang H, Morgan B, Trautwein J, Barber TA, Stott SL, Maheswaran S, Kapur R, Haber DA, Toner M: Microfluidic, marker-free isolation of circulating tumor cells from blood samples. *Nat Protoc* 2014, 9:694–710
44. Antolovic D, Galindo L, Carstens A, Rahbari N, Buchler MW, Weitz J, Koch M: Heterogeneous detection of circulating tumor cells in patients with colorectal cancer by immunomagnetic enrichment using different EpCAM-specific antibodies. *BMC Biotechnol* 2010, 10:35
45. Ma YC, Wang L, Yu FL: Recent advances and prospects in the isolation by size of epithelial tumor cells (ISET) methodology. *Technol Cancer Res Treat* 2013, 12:295–309
46. Harb W, Fan A, Tran T, Danila DC, Keys D, Schwartz M, Ionescu-Zanetti C: Mutational analysis of circulating tumor cells using a novel microfluidic collection device and qPCR assay. *Transl Oncol* 2013, 6: 528–538
47. Ntourogianni TG, Ashraf SQ, McGregor SB, Turney BW, Seppo A, Kim Y, Wang X, Kilpatrick MW, Tsiouras P, Tafas T, Bodmer WF: Detection of circulating tumour cells in peripheral blood with an automated scanning fluorescence microscope. *Br J Cancer* 2008, 99:789–795
48. Deng G, Krishnakumar S, Powell AA, Zhang H, Mindrinos MN, Telli ML, Davis RW, Jeffrey SS: Single cell mutational analysis of PIK3CA in circulating tumor cells and metastases in breast cancer reveals heterogeneity, discordance, and mutation persistence in cultured disseminated tumor cells from bone marrow. *BMC Cancer* 2014, 14:456
49. Saucedo-Zeni N, Mewes S, Niestroj R, Gasiorowski L, Murawa D, Nowaczyk P, Tomasi T, Weber E, Dworacki G, Morgenthaler NG, Jansen H, Propping C, Sterzynska K, Dyszkiewicz W, Zabel M, Kiechle M, Reuning U, Schmitt M, Lucke K: A novel method for the in vivo isolation of circulating tumor cells from peripheral blood of cancer patients using a functionalized and structured medical wire. *Int J Oncol* 2012, 41:1241–1250
50. Lustberg M, Jatana KR, Zborowski M, Chalmers JJ: Emerging technologies for CTC detection based on depletion of normal cells. *Recent Results Cancer Res* 2012, 195:97–110
51. Clawson GA, Kimchi E, Patrick SD, Xin P, Harouaka R, Zheng S, Berg A, Schell T, Staveley-O'Carroll KF, Neves RI, Mosca PJ, Thiboutot D: Circulating tumor cells in melanoma patients. *PLoS One* 2012, 7:e41052
52. Shetty S, Siady M, Mallempati KC, Wilson A, Poarch J, Chandler B, Gray J, Salama ME: Utility of a column-free cell sorting system for separation of plasma cells in multiple myeloma FISH testing in clinical laboratories. *Int J Hematol* 2012, 95:274–281
53. Freidin MB, Tay A, Freydina DV, Chudasama D, Nicholson AG, Rice A, Anikin V, Lim E: An assessment of diagnostic performance of a filter-based antibody-independent peripheral blood circulating tumour cell capture paired with cytomorphologic criteria for the diagnosis of cancer. *Lung Cancer* 2014, 85:182–185
54. Parkinson DR, Dracopoli N, Petty BG, Compton C, Cristofanilli M, Deisseroth A, Hayes DF, Kapke G, Kumar P, Lee J, Liu MC, McCormack R, Mikulski S, Nagahara L, Pantel K, Pearson-White S, Punnoose EA, Roadcap LT, Schade AE, Scher HI, Sigman CC, Kelloff GJ: Considerations in the development of circulating tumor cell technology for clinical use. *J Transl Med* 2012, 10:138
55. Cristofanilli M, Budd GT, Ellis MJ, Stopeck A, Matera J, Miller MC, Reuben JM, Doyle GV, Allard WJ, Terstappen LW, Hayes DF:



- Circulating tumor cells, disease progression, and survival in metastatic breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2004, 351:781–791
56. Riethdorf S, Fritsche H, Muller V, Rau T, Schindlbeck C, Rack B, Janni W, Coith C, Beck K, Janicke F, Jackson S, Gornet T, Cristofanilli M, Pantel K: Detection of circulating tumor cells in peripheral blood of patients with metastatic breast cancer: a validation study of the CellSearch system. *Clin Cancer Res* 2007, 13:920–928
  57. Nagrath S, Sequist LV, Maheswaran S, Bell DW, Irimia D, Ulkus L, Smith MR, Kwak EL, Digumarthy S, Muzikansky A, Ryan P, Balis UJ, Tompkins RG, Haber DA, Toner M: Isolation of rare circulating tumour cells in cancer patients by microchip technology. *Nature* 2007, 450:1235–1239
  58. Stott SL, Hsu CH, Tsukrov DI, Yu M, Miyamoto DT, Waltman BA, Rothenberg SM, Shah AM, Smas ME, Korir GK, Floyd FP Jr, Gilman AJ, Lord JB, Winokur D, Springer S, Irimia D, Nagrath S, Sequist LV, Lee RJ, Isselbacher KJ, Maheswaran S, Haber DA, Toner M: Isolation of circulating tumor cells using a microvortex-generating herringbone-chip. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2010, 107:18392–18397
  59. Diamond E, Lee GY, Akhtar NH, Kirby BJ, Giannakakou P, Tagawa ST, Nanus DM: Isolation and characterization of circulating tumor cells in prostate cancer. *Front Oncol* 2012, 2:131
  60. Kinde I, Bettgeowda C, Wang Y, Wu J, Agrawal N, Shih Ie M, Kurman R, Dao F, Levine DA, Giuntoli R, Roden R, Eshleman JR, Carvalho JP, Marie SK, Papadopoulos N, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B, Diaz LA Jr: Evaluation of DNA from the Papanicolaou test to detect ovarian and endometrial cancers. *Sci Transl Med* 2013, 5:167ra4
  61. Li Y, Bahassi EM: Biofluid-based circulating tumor molecules as diagnostic tools for use in personalized medicine. *J Mol Biomarkers Diagn* 2013, 5:157–163
  62. Doyen J, Alix-Panabieres C, Hofman P, Parks SK, Chamorey E, Naman H, Hannoun-Levi JM: Circulating tumor cells in prostate cancer: a potential surrogate marker of survival. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* 2012, 81:241–256
  63. Panteleakou Z, Lembessis P, Sourla A, Pissimissis N, Polyzos A, Deliveliotis C, Koutsilieris M: Detection of circulating tumor cells in prostate cancer patients: methodological pitfalls and clinical relevance. *Mol Med* 2009, 15:101–114
  64. Lighthart ST, Coumans FA, Bidard FC, Simkens LH, Punt CJ, de Groot MR, Attard G, de Bono JS, Pierga JY, Terstappen LW: Circulating tumor cells count and morphological features in breast, colorectal and prostate cancer. *PLoS One* 2013, 8:e67148
  65. Khoja L, Lorigan P, Zhou C, Lancashire M, Booth J, Cummings J, Califano R, Clack G, Hughes A, Dive C: Biomarker utility of circulating tumor cells in metastatic cutaneous melanoma. *J Invest Dermatol* 2013, 133:1582–1590
  66. Khoja L, Backen A, Sloane R, Menasce L, Ryder D, Krebs M, Board R, Clack G, Hughes A, Blackhall F, Valle JW, Dive C: A pilot study to explore circulating tumour cells in pancreatic cancer as a novel biomarker. *Br J Cancer* 2012, 106:508–516
  67. Goldkorn A, Ely B, Quinn DI, Tangen CM, Fink LM, Xu T, Twardowski P, Van Veldhuizen PJ, Agarwal N, Carducci MA, Monk JP 3rd, Datar RH, Garzotto M, Mack PC, Lara P Jr, Higano CS, Hussain M, Thompson IM Jr, Cote RJ, Vogelzang NJ: Circulating tumor cell counts are prognostic of overall survival in SWOG S0421: a phase III trial of docetaxel with or without atrasentan for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2014, 32:1136–1142
  68. Smerage JB, Barlow WE, Hortobagyi GN, Winer EP, Leyland-Jones B, Srkalovic G, Tejwani S, Schott AF, O'Rourke MA, Lew DL, Doyle GV, Gralow JR, Livingston RB, Hayes DF: Circulating tumor cells and response to chemotherapy in metastatic breast cancer: SWOG S0500. *J Clin Oncol* 2014, 32:3483–3489
  69. Revenfeld AL, Baek R, Nielsen MH, Stensballe A, Varming K, Jorgensen M: Diagnostic and prognostic potential of extracellular vesicles in peripheral blood. *Clin Ther* 2014, 36:830–846
  70. Bettgeowda C, Sausen M, Leary RJ, Kinde I, Wang Y, Agrawal N, et al: Detection of circulating tumor DNA in early- and late-stage human malignancies. *Sci Transl Med* 2014, 6:224ra24
  71. Pucciarelli S, Rampazzo E, Briarava M, Maretto I, Agostini M, Digo M, Keppel S, Friso ML, Lonardi S, De Paoli A, Mescoli C, Nitti D, De Rossi A: Telomere-specific reverse transcriptase (hTERT) and cell-free RNA in plasma as predictors of pathologic tumor response in rectal cancer patients receiving neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2012, 19:3089–3096
  72. Maheswaran S, Sequist LV, Nagrath S, Ulkus L, Brannigan B, Collura CV, Inserra E, Diederichs S, Iafrate AJ, Bell DW, Digumarthy S, Muzikansky A, Irimia D, Settleman J, Tompkins RG, Lynch TJ, Toner M, Haber DA: Detection of mutations in EGFR in circulating lung-cancer cells. *N Engl J Med* 2008, 359:366–377
  73. Miyamoto DT, Lee RJ, Stott SL, Ting DT, Wittner BS, Ulman M, Smas ME, Lord JB, Brannigan BW, Trautwein J, Bander NH, Wu CL, Sequist LV, Smith MR, Ramaswamy S, Toner M, Maheswaran S, Haber DA: Androgen receptor signaling in circulating tumor cells as a marker of hormonally responsive prostate cancer. *Cancer Discov* 2012, 2:995–1003
  74. Sakaizawa K, Goto Y, Kuniwa Y, Uchiyama A, Harada K, Shimada S, Saida T, Ferrone S, Takata M, Uhara H, Okuyama R: Mutation analysis of BRAF and KIT in circulating melanoma cells at the single cell level. *Br J Cancer* 2012, 106:939–946
  75. Heitzer E, Auer M, Gasch C, Pichler M, Ulz P, Hoffmann EM, Lax S, Waldspuehl-Geigl J, Mauer mann O, Lackner C, Hofler G, Eisner F, Sill H, Samonigg H, Pantel K, Riethdorf S, Bauernhofer T, Geigl JB, Speicher MR: Complex tumor genomes inferred from single circulating tumor cells by array-CGH and next-generation sequencing. *Cancer Res* 2013, 73:2965–2975
  76. Heitzer E, Auer M, Ulz P, Geigl JB, Speicher MR: Circulating tumor cells and DNA as liquid biopsies. *Genome Med* 2013, 5:73
  77. Powell AA, Talasz AH, Zhang H, Coram MA, Reddy A, Deng G, Telli ML, Advani RH, Carlson RW, Mollick JA, Sheth S, Kurian AW, Ford JM, Stockdale FE, Quake SR, Pease RF, Mindrinos MN, Bhanot G, Dairkee SH, Davis RW, Jeffrey SS: Single cell profiling of circulating tumor cells: transcriptional heterogeneity and diversity from breast cancer cell lines. *PLoS One* 2012, 7:e33788
  78. Millner LM, Linder MW, Valdes R Jr: Circulating tumor cells: a review of present methods and the need to identify heterogeneous phenotypes. *Ann Clin Lab Sci* 2013, 43:295–304
  79. Mandel P, Metais P: Les acides nucleiques du plasma sanguin chez l'homme. *C R Seances Soc Biol Fil* 1948, 142:241–243
  80. Leon SA, Ehrlich GE, Shapiro B, Labbate VA: Free DNA in the serum of rheumatoid arthritis patients. *J Rheumatol* 1977, 4:139–143
  81. Shapiro B, Chakrabarty M, Cohn EM, Leon SA: Determination of circulating DNA levels in patients with benign or malignant gastrointestinal disease. *Cancer* 1983, 51:2116–2120
  82. Castells A, Puig P, Mora J, Boadas J, Boix L, Urgell E, Sole M, Capella G, Lluís F, Fernandez-Cruz L, Navarro S, Fare A: K-ras mutations in DNA extracted from the plasma of patients with pancreatic carcinoma: diagnostic utility and prognostic significance. *J Clin Oncol* 1999, 17:578–584
  83. Theodor L, Melzer E, Sologov M, Idelman G, Friedman E, Bar-Meir S: Detection of pancreatic carcinoma: diagnostic value of K-ras mutations in circulating DNA from serum. *Dig Dis Sci* 1999, 44:2014–2019
  84. Nawroz H, Koch W, Anker P, Stroun M, Sidransky D: Microsatellite alterations in serum DNA of head and neck cancer patients. *Nat Med* 1996, 2:1035–1037
  85. Esteller M, Sanchez-Cespedes M, Rosell R, Sidransky D, Baylin SB, Herman JG: Detection of aberrant promoter hypermethylation of tumor suppressor genes in serum DNA from non-small cell lung cancer patients. *Cancer Res* 1999, 59:67–70
  86. Silva JM, Dominguez G, Villanueva MJ, Gonzalez R, Garcia JM, Corbacho C, Provencio M, Espana P, Bonilla F: Aberrant DNA methylation of the p16INK4a gene in plasma DNA of breast cancer patients. *Br J Cancer* 1999, 80:1262–1264
  87. Jahr S, Hentze H, Englisch S, Hardt D, Fackelmayr FO, Hesch RD, Knippers R: DNA fragments in the blood plasma of cancer patients:



- quantitations and evidence for their origin from apoptotic and necrotic cells. *Cancer Res* 2001, 61:1659–1665
88. Giaccona MB, Ruben GC, Iczkowski KA, Roos TB, Porter DM, Sorenson GD: Cell-free DNA in human blood plasma: length measurements in patients with pancreatic cancer and healthy controls. *Pancreas* 1998, 17:89–97
  89. Stroun M, Maurice P, Vasioukhin V, Lyautey J, Lederrey C, Lefort F, Rossier A, Chen XQ, Anker P: The origin and mechanism of circulating DNA. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2000, 906:161–168
  90. Holmgren L, Szeles A, Rajnavolgyi E, Folkman J, Klein G, Ernberg I, Falk KI: Horizontal transfer of DNA by the uptake of apoptotic bodies. *Blood* 1999, 93:3956–3963
  91. Garcia-Olmo D, Garcia-Olmo DC: Functionality of circulating DNA: the hypothesis of genomastasis. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2001, 945:265–275
  92. Ng EK, Tsui NB, Lam NY, Chiu RW, Yu SC, Wong SC, Lo ES, Rainer TH, Johnson PJ, Lo YM: Presence of filterable and nonfilterable mRNA in the plasma of cancer patients and healthy individuals. *Clin Chem* 2002, 48:1212–1217
  93. Orozco AF, Lewis DE: Flow cytometric analysis of circulating microparticles in plasma. *Cytometry A* 2010, 77:502–514
  94. Kopreski MS, Benko FA, Kwak LW, Gocke CD: Detection of tumor messenger RNA in the serum of patients with malignant melanoma. *Clin Cancer Res* 1999, 5:1961–1965
  95. Garcia JM, Garcia V, Pena C, Dominguez G, Silva J, Diaz R, Espinosa P, Citores MJ, Collado M, Bonilla F: Extracellular plasma RNA from colon cancer patients is confined in a vesicle-like structure and is mRNA-enriched. *RNA* 2008, 14:1424–1432
  96. Holdenrieder S, Stieber P, Chan LY, Geiger S, Kremer A, Nagel D, Lo YM: Cell-free DNA in serum and plasma: comparison of ELISA and quantitative PCR. *Clin Chem* 2005, 51:1544–1546
  97. Kopreski MS, Benko FA, Borys DJ, Khan A, McGarrity TJ, Gocke CD: Somatic mutation screening: identification of individuals harboring K-ras mutations with the use of plasma DNA. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2000, 92:918–923
  98. Emlen W, Mannik M: Effect of DNA size and strandedness on the in vivo clearance and organ localization of DNA. *Clin Exp Immunol* 1984, 56:185–192
  99. Napirei M, Ludwig S, Mezrhah J, Klockl T, Mannherz HG: Murine serum nucleases: contrasting effects of plasmin and heparin on the activities of DNase1 and DNase1-like 3 (DNase113). *FEBS J* 2009, 276:1059–1073
  100. Lo YM, Zhang J, Leung TN, Lau TK, Chang AM, Hjelm NM: Rapid clearance of fetal DNA from maternal plasma. *Am J Hum Genet* 1999, 64:218–224
  101. Diehl F, Schmidt K, Choti MA, Romans K, Goodman S, Li M, Thornton K, Agrawal N, Sokoll L, Szabo SA, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B, Diaz LA Jr: Circulating mutant DNA to assess tumor dynamics. *Nat Med* 2008, 14:985–990
  102. Lee TH, Montalvo L, Chrebtow V, Busch MP: Quantitation of genomic DNA in plasma and serum samples: higher concentrations of genomic DNA found in serum than in plasma. *Transfusion (Paris)* 2001, 41:276–282
  103. El Messaoudi S, Rolet F, Moulriere F, Thierry AR: Circulating cell free DNA: preanalytical considerations. *Clin Chim Acta* 2013, 424:222–230
  104. Fleischhacker M, Schmidt B: Circulating nucleic acids (CNAs) and cancer: a survey. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2007, 1775:181–232
  105. Chiu RW, Poon LL, Lau TK, Leung TN, Wong EM, Lo YM: Effects of blood-processing protocols on fetal and total DNA quantification in maternal plasma. *Clin Chem* 2001, 47:1607–1613
  106. Lo YM, Corbetta N, Chamberlain PF, Rai V, Sargent IL, Redman CW, Wainscoat JS: Presence of fetal DNA in maternal plasma and serum. *Lancet* 1997, 350:485–487
  107. Page K, Guttery DS, Zahra N, Primrose L, Elshaw SR, Pringle JH, Blighe K, Marchese SD, Hills A, Woodley L, Stebbing J, Coombes RC, Shaw JA: Influence of plasma processing on recovery and analysis of circulating nucleic acids. *PLoS One* 2013, 8:e77963
  108. Mussolin L, Burnelli R, Pillon M, Carraro E, Farruggia P, Todesco A, Mascarin M, Rosolen A: Plasma cell-free DNA in paediatric lymphomas. *J Cancer* 2013, 4:323–329
  109. Gormally E, Hainaut P, Caboux E, Airoidi L, Autrup H, Malaveille C, et al: Amount of DNA in plasma and cancer risk: a prospective study. *Int J Cancer* 2004, 111:746–749
  110. To EW, Chan KC, Leung SF, Chan LY, To KF, Chan AT, Johnson PJ, Lo YM: Rapid clearance of plasma Epstein-Barr virus DNA after surgical treatment of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res* 2003, 9:3254–3259
  111. Leary RJ, Sausen M, Kinde I, Papadopoulos N, Carpten JD, Craig D, O'Shaughnessy J, Kinzler KW, Parmigiani G, Vogelstein B, Diaz LA Jr, Velculescu VE: Detection of chromosomal alterations in the circulation of cancer patients with whole-genome sequencing. *Sci Transl Med* 2012, 4:162ra54
  112. Gocke CD, Kopreski MS, Benko FA, Sternas L, Kwak LW: Serum BCL2/IGH DNA in follicular lymphoma patients: a minimal residual disease marker. *Leuk Lymphoma* 2000, 39:165–172
  113. Forshew T, Murtaza M, Parkinson C, Gale D, Tsui DW, Kaper F, Dawson SJ, Piskorz AM, Jimenez-Linan M, Bentley D, Hadfield J, May AP, Caldas C, Brenton JD, Rosenfeld N: Noninvasive identification and monitoring of cancer mutations by targeted deep sequencing of plasma DNA. *Sci Transl Med* 2012, 4:136ra68
  114. Schwarzenbach H, Muller V, Milde-Langosch K, Steinbach B, Pantel K: Evaluation of cell-free tumour DNA and RNA in patients with breast cancer and benign breast disease. *Mol Biosyst* 2011, 7:2848–2854
  115. Roth C, Pantel K, Muller V, Rack B, Kasimir-Bauer S, Janni W, Schwarzenbach H: Apoptosis-related deregulation of proteolytic activities and high serum levels of circulating nucleosomes and DNA in blood correlate with breast cancer progression. *BMC Cancer* 2011, 11:4
  116. Sorenson GD, Pribish DM, Valone FH, Memoli VA, Bzik DJ, Yao SL: Soluble normal and mutated DNA sequences from single-copy genes in human blood. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 1994, 3:67–71
  117. Maire F, Micard S, Hammel P, Voitot H, Levy P, Cugnenc PH, Ruzsiewicz P, Puig PL: Differential diagnosis between chronic pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer: value of the detection of KRAS2 mutations in circulating DNA. *Br J Cancer* 2002, 87:551–554
  118. Jiao L, Zhu J, Hassan MM, Evans DB, Abbruzzese JL, Li D: K-ras mutation and p16 and preproenkephalin promoter hypermethylation in plasma DNA of pancreatic cancer patients: in relation to cigarette smoking. *Pancreas* 2007, 34:55–62
  119. Yachida S, Jones S, Bozic I, Antal T, Leary R, Fu B, Kamiyama M, Hruban RH, Eshleman JR, Nowak MA, Velculescu VE, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B, Iacobuzio-Donahue CA: Distant metastasis occurs late during the genetic evolution of pancreatic cancer. *Nature* 2010, 467:1114–1117
  120. Umetani N, Kim J, Hiramatsu S, Reber HA, Hines OJ, Bilchik AJ, Hoon DS: Increased integrity of free circulating DNA in sera of patients with colorectal or periampullary cancer: direct quantitative PCR for ALU repeats. *Clin Chem* 2006, 52:1062–1069
  121. Liggett T, Melnikov A, Yi QL, Replogle C, Brand R, Kaul K, Talamonti M, Abrams RA, Levenson V: Differential methylation of cell-free circulating DNA among patients with pancreatic cancer versus chronic pancreatitis. *Cancer* 2010, 116:1674–1680
  122. Hsieh JS, Lin SR, Chang MY, Chen FM, Lu CY, Huang TJ, Huang YS, Huang CJ, Wang JY: APC, K-ras, and p53 gene mutations in colorectal cancer patients: correlation to clinicopathologic features and postoperative surveillance. *Am Surg* 2005, 71:336–343
  123. Leung WK, To KF, Man EP, Chan MW, Bai AH, Hui AJ, Chan FK, Sung JJ: Quantitative detection of promoter hypermethylation in multiple genes in the serum of patients with colorectal cancer. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2005, 100:2274–2279
  124. Wallner M, Herbst A, Behrens A, Crispin A, Stieber P, Goke B, Lamerz R, Kolligs FT: Methylation of serum DNA is an independent prognostic marker in colorectal cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2006, 12:7347–7352

125. Wang JY, Hsieh JS, Chang MY, Huang TJ, Chen FM, Cheng TL, Alexandersen K, Huang YS, Tzou WS, Lin SR: Molecular detection of APC, K-ras, and p53 mutations in the serum of colorectal cancer patients as circulating biomarkers. *World J Surg* 2004, 28:721–726
126. Lecomte T, Ceze N, Dorval E, Laurent-Puig P: Circulating free tumor DNA and colorectal cancer. *Gastroenterol Clin Biol* 2010, 34:662–681
127. Lecomte T, Berger A, Zinzindohoue F, Micard S, Landi B, Blons H, Beaune P, Cugnenc PH, Laurent-Puig P: Detection of free-circulating tumor-associated DNA in plasma of colorectal cancer patients and its association with prognosis. *Int J Cancer* 2002, 100:542–548
128. Bazan V, Bruno L, Augello C, Agnese V, Calo V, Corsale S, Gargano G, Terrasi M, Schiro V, Di Fede G, Adamo V, Intrivici C, Crosta A, Rinaldi G, Latteri F, Dardanoni G, Grassi N, Valerio MR, Colucci G, Macaluso M, Russo A; Gruppo Oncologico dell'Italia Meridionale: Molecular detection of TP53, Ki-Ras and p16INK4A promoter methylation in plasma of patients with colorectal cancer and its association with prognosis: results of a 3-year GOIM (Gruppo Oncologico dell'Italia Meridionale) prospective study. *Ann Oncol* 2006, (17 Suppl 7):vii84–vii90
129. Ferrisoli C, Di Fabio F, Nascimbeni R, Peloso L, Salbe C, Ferruzzi E, Salerni B, Gion M: Prognostic value of circulating KRAS2 gene mutations in colorectal cancer with distant metastases. *Int J Biol Markers* 2006, 21:223–228
130. Ryan BM, Lefort F, McManus R, Daly J, Keeling PW, Weir DG, Kelleher D: A prospective study of circulating mutant KRAS2 in the serum of patients with colorectal neoplasia: strong prognostic indicator in postoperative follow up. *Gut* 2003, 52:101–108
131. Diaz LA Jr, Williams RT, Wu J, Kinde I, Hecht JR, Berlin J, Allen B, Bozic I, Reiter JG, Nowak MA, Kinzler KW, Oliner KS, Vogelstein B: The molecular evolution of acquired resistance to targeted EGFR blockade in colorectal cancers. *Nature* 2012, 486:537–540
132. Hibi K, Nakayama H, Yamazaki T, Takase T, Taguchi M, Kasai Y, Ito K, Akiyama S, Nakao A: Detection of mitochondrial DNA alterations in primary tumors and corresponding serum of colorectal cancer patients. *Int J Cancer* 2001, 94:429–431
133. Mayall F, Jacobson G, Wilkins R, Chang B: Mutations of p53 gene can be detected in the plasma of patients with large bowel carcinoma. *J Clin Pathol* 1998, 51:611–613
134. Gocke CD, Benko FA, Koprski MS, McGarrity TJ: p53 and APC mutations are detectable in the plasma and serum of patients with colorectal cancer (CRC) or adenomas. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2000, 906:44–50
135. Lilleberg SL, Durocher J, Sanders C, Walters K, Culver K: High sensitivity scanning of colorectal tumors and matched plasma DNA for mutations in APC, TP53, K-RAS, and BRAF genes with a novel DHPLC fluorescence detection platform. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2004, 1022:250–256
136. Ito T, Kaneko K, Makino R, Konishi K, Kurahashi T, Ito H, Katagiri A, Kushima M, Kusano M, Mitamura K, Imawari M: Clinical significance in molecular detection of p53 mutation in serum of patients with colorectal carcinoma. *Oncol Rep* 2003, 10:1937–1942
137. Lauschke H, Caspari R, Friedl W, Schwarz B, Mathiak M, Propping P, Hirner A: Detection of APC and k-ras mutations in the serum of patients with colorectal cancer. *Cancer Detect Prev* 2001, 25:55–61
138. Koprski MS, Benko FA, Kwee C, Leitzel KE, Eskander E, Lipton A, Gocke CD: Detection of mutant K-ras DNA in plasma or serum of patients with colorectal cancer. *Br J Cancer* 1997, 76:1293–1299
139. Kinde I, Wu J, Papadopoulos N, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B: Detection and quantification of rare mutations with massively parallel sequencing. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2011, 108:9530–9535
140. Kolble K, Ullrich OM, Pidde H, Barthel B, Diermann J, Rudolph B, Dietel M, Schlag PM, Scherneck S: Microsatellite alterations in serum DNA of patients with colorectal cancer. *Lab Invest* 1999, 79:1145–1150
141. deVos T, Tetzner R, Model F, Weiss G, Schuster M, Distler J, Steiger KV, Grutzmann R, Pilarsky C, Habermann JK, Fleshner PR, Oubre BM, Day R, Sledziewski AZ, Lofton-Day C: Circulating methylated SEPT9 DNA in plasma is a biomarker for colorectal cancer. *Clin Chem* 2009, 55:1337–1346
142. Warren JD, Xiong W, Bunker AM, Vaughn CP, Furtado LV, Roberts WL, Fang JC, Samowitz WS, Heichman KA: Septin 9 methylated DNA is a sensitive and specific blood test for colorectal cancer. *BMC Med* 2011, 9:133
143. Grutzmann R, Molnar B, Pilarsky C, Habermann JK, Schlag PM, Saeger HD, Mielhke S, Stolz T, Model F, Roblick UJ, Bruch HP, Koch R, Liebenberg V, Devos T, Song X, Day RH, Sledziewski AZ, Lofton-Day C: Sensitive detection of colorectal cancer in peripheral blood by septin 9 DNA methylation assay. *PLoS One* 2008, 3:e3759
144. Tanzer M, Balluff B, Distler J, Hale K, Leodolter A, Rocken C, Molnar B, Schmid R, Lofton-Day C, Schuster T, Ebert MP: Performance of epigenetic markers SEPT9 and ALX4 in plasma for detection of colorectal precancerous lesions. *PLoS One* 2010, 5:e9061
145. Grady WM, Rajput A, Lutterbaugh JD, Markowitz SD: Detection of aberrantly methylated hMLH1 promoter DNA in the serum of patients with microsatellite unstable colon cancer. *Cancer Res* 2001, 61:900–902
146. Ziegler A, Zangemeister-Wittke U, Stahel RA: Circulating DNA: a new diagnostic gold mine? *Cancer Treat Rev* 2002, 28:255–271
147. Bremnes RM, Sirera R, Camps C: Circulating tumour-derived DNA and RNA markers in blood: a tool for early detection, diagnostics, and follow-up? *Lung Cancer* 2005, 49:1–12
148. Leon SA, Shapiro B, Sklaroff DM, Yaros MJ: Free DNA in the serum of cancer patients and the effect of therapy. *Cancer Res* 1977, 37:646–650
149. Fournie GJ, Courtin JP, Laval F, Chale JJ, Pourrat JP, Pujazon MC, Lauque D, Carles P: Plasma DNA as a marker of cancerous cell death: investigations in patients suffering from lung cancer and in nude mice bearing human tumours. *Cancer Lett* 1995, 91:221–227
150. Sozzi G, Conte D, Mariani L, Lo Vullo S, Roz L, Lombardo C, Pierotti MA, Tavecchio L: Analysis of circulating tumor DNA in plasma at diagnosis and during follow-up of lung cancer patients. *Cancer Res* 2001, 61:4675–4678
151. Sozzi G, Conte D, Leon M, Ciricione R, Roz L, Rattliffe C, Roz E, Cirenei N, Bellomi M, Pelosi G, Pierotti MA, Pastorino U: Quantification of free circulating DNA as a diagnostic marker in lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2003, 21:3902–3908
152. Gautschi O, Bigosch C, Huegli B, Jermann M, Marx A, Chasse E, Ratschiller D, Weder W, Joerger M, Betticher DC, Stahel RA, Ziegler A: Circulating deoxyribonucleic acid as prognostic marker in non-small-cell lung cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy. *J Clin Oncol* 2004, 22:4157–4164
153. Benlloch S, Marti-Ciriquian JL, Galbis-Caravajal JM, Martin C, Sanchez-Paya J, Rodriguez-Paniagua JM, Romero S, Massuti B: Cell-free DNA concentration in pleural fluid and serum: quantitative approach and potential prognostic factor in patients with cancer and pleural effusions. *Clin Lung Cancer* 2006, 8:140–145
154. Gautschi O, Huegli B, Ziegler A, Gugger M, Heighway J, Ratschiller D, Mack PC, Gumerlock PH, Kung HJ, Stahel RA, Gandara DR, Betticher DC: Origin and prognostic value of circulating KRAS mutations in lung cancer patients. *Cancer Lett* 2007, 254:265–273
155. Wang S, An T, Wang J, Zhao J, Wang Z, Zhuo M, Bai H, Yang L, Zhang Y, Wang X, Duan J, Wang Y, Guo Q, Wu M: Potential clinical significance of a plasma-based KRAS mutation analysis in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2010, 16:1324–1330
156. Kimura H, Fujiwara Y, Sone T, Kunitoh H, Tamura T, Kasahara K, Nishio K: EGFR mutation status in tumour-derived DNA from pleural effusion fluid is a practical basis for predicting the response to gefitinib. *Br J Cancer* 2006, 95:1390–1395
157. Jian G, Songwen Z, Ling Z, Qinfang D, Jie Z, Liang T, Caicun Z: Prediction of epidermal growth factor receptor mutations in the

- plasma/pleural effusion to efficacy of gefitinib treatment in advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 2010, 136:1341–1347
158. Belinsky SA, Nikula KJ, Palmisano WA, Michels R, Saccomanno G, Gabrielson E, Baylin SB, Herman JG: Aberrant methylation of p16(INK4a) is an early event in lung cancer and a potential biomarker for early diagnosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1998, 95:11891–11896
  159. Liu Y, An Q, Li L, Zhang D, Huang J, Feng X, Cheng S, Gao Y: Hypermethylation of p16INK4a in Chinese lung cancer patients: biological and clinical implications. *Carcinogenesis* 2003, 24:1897–1901
  160. Bearzatto A, Conte D, Frattini M, Zaffaroni N, Andriani F, Balestra D, Tavecchio L, Daidone MG, Sozzi G: p16(INK4A) hypermethylation detected by fluorescent methylation-specific PCR in plasmas from non-small cell lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2002, 8:3782–3787
  161. Palmisano WA, Divine KK, Saccomanno G, Gilliland FD, Baylin SB, Herman JG, Belinsky SA: Predicting lung cancer by detecting aberrant promoter methylation in sputum. *Cancer Res* 2000, 60:5954–5958
  162. Kersting M, Friedl C, Kraus A, Behn M, Pankow W, Schuermann M: Differential frequencies of p16(INK4a) promoter hypermethylation, p53 mutation, and K-ras mutation in exfoliative material mark the development of lung cancer in symptomatic chronic smokers. *J Clin Oncol* 2000, 18:3221–3229
  163. Ng CS, Zhang J, Wan S, Lee TW, Arifi AA, Mok T, Lo DY, Yim AP: Tumor p16M is a possible marker of advanced stage in non-small cell lung cancer. *J Surg Oncol* 2002, 79:101–106
  164. Nakagawa K, Conrad NK, Williams JP, Johnson BE, Kelley MJ: Mechanism of inactivation of CDKN2 and MTS2 in non-small cell lung cancer and association with advanced stage. *Oncogene* 1995, 11:1843–1851
  165. Kratzke RA, Greatens TM, Rubins JB, Maddaus MA, Niewoehner DE, Niehans GA, Geradts J: Rb and p16INK4a expression in resected non-small cell lung tumors. *Cancer Res* 1996, 56:3415–3420
  166. Ramirez JL, Rosell R, Taron M, Sanchez-Ronco M, Alberola V, de Las Penas R, Sanchez JM, Moran T, Camps C, Massuti B, Sanchez JJ, Salazar F, Catot S; Spanish Lung Cancer Group: 14-3-3 $\sigma$  Methylation in pretreatment serum circulating DNA of cisplatin-plus-gemcitabine-treated advanced non-small-cell lung cancer patients predicts survival: the Spanish Lung Cancer Group. *J Clin Oncol* 2005, 23:9105–9112
  167. Bruhn N, Beinert T, Oehm C, Jandrig B, Petersen I, Chen XQ, Possinger K, Fleischhacker M: Detection of microsatellite alterations in the DNA isolated from tumor cells and from plasma DNA of patients with lung cancer. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2000, 906:72–82
  168. Sanchez-Cespedes M, Monzo M, Rosell R, Pifarre A, Calvo R, Lopez-Cabrerizo MP, Astudillo J: Detection of chromosome 3p alterations in serum DNA of non-small-cell lung cancer patients. *Ann Oncol* 1998, 9:113–116
  169. Chen XQ, Stroun M, Magnenat JL, Nicod LP, Kurt AM, Lyautey J, Lederrey C, Anker P: Microsatellite alterations in plasma DNA of small cell lung cancer patients. *Nat Med* 1996, 2:1033–1035
  170. Sozzi G, Musso K, Ratcliffe C, Goldstraw P, Pierotti MA, Pastorino U: Detection of microsatellite alterations in plasma DNA of non-small cell lung cancer patients: a prospect for early diagnosis. *Clin Cancer Res* 1999, 5:2689–2692
  171. Fujiwara Y, Chi DD, Wang H, Keleman P, Morton DL, Turner R, Hoon DS: Plasma DNA microsatellites as tumor-specific markers and indicators of tumor progression in melanoma patients. *Cancer Res* 1999, 59:1567–1571
  172. Salvianti F, Pinzani P, Verderio P, Ciniselli CM, Massi D, De Giorgi V, Grazzini M, Pazzagli M, Orlando C: Multiparametric analysis of cell-free DNA in melanoma patients. *PLoS One* 2012, 7:e49843
  173. Shinozaki M, O'Day SJ, Kitago M, Amersi F, Kuo C, Kim J, Wang HJ, Hoon DS: Utility of circulating B-RAF DNA mutation in serum for monitoring melanoma patients receiving biochemotherapy. *Clin Cancer Res* 2007, 13:2068–2074
  174. Church TR, Wandell M, Lofton-Day C, Mongin SJ, Burger M, Payne SR, Castanos-Velez E, Blumenstein BA, Rosch T, Osborn N, Snover D, Day RW, Ransohoff DF; Presept Clinical Study Steering Committee I, Study Team: Prospective evaluation of methylated SEPT9 in plasma for detection of asymptomatic colorectal cancer. *Gut* 2014, 63:317–325
  175. Klose RJ, Bird AP: Genomic DNA methylation: the mark and its mediators. *Trends Biochem Sci* 2006, 31:89–97
  176. Kristensen LS, Hansen LL: PCR-based methods for detecting single-locus DNA methylation biomarkers in cancer diagnostics, prognostics, and response to treatment. *Clin Chem* 2009, 55:1471–1483
  177. Kadam SK, Farmen M, Brandt JT: Quantitative measurement of cell-free plasma DNA and applications for detecting tumor genetic variation and promoter methylation in a clinical setting. *J Mol Diagn* 2012, 14:346–356
  178. Liggett T, Melnikov A, Yi QL, Replogle C, Brand R, Kaul K, Talamonti M, Abrams RA, Levenson V: Differential methylation of cell-free circulating DNA among patients with pancreatic cancer versus chronic pancreatitis. *Cancer* 2010, 116:1674–1680
  179. Ellinger J, Haan K, Heukamp LC, Kahl P, Buttner R, Muller SC, von Ruecker A, Bastian PJ: CpG island hypermethylation in cell-free serum DNA identifies patients with localized prostate cancer. *Prostate* 2008, 68:42–49
  180. Mirza S, Sharma G, Parshad R, Srivastava A, Gupta SD, Ralhan R: Clinical significance of promoter hypermethylation of ERbeta and RARbeta2 in tumor and serum DNA in Indian breast cancer patients. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2012, 19:3107–3115
  181. Ellinger J, Albers P, Perabo FG, Muller SC, von Ruecker A, Bastian PJ: CpG island hypermethylation of cell-free circulating serum DNA in patients with testicular cancer. *J Urol* 2009, 182:324–329
  182. Sturgeon SR, Balasubramanian R, Schairer C, Muss HB, Ziegler RG, Arcaro KF: Detection of promoter methylation of tumor suppressor genes in serum DNA of breast cancer cases and benign breast disease controls. *Epigenetics* 2012, 7:1258–1267
  183. Chimonidou M, Strati A, Malamos N, Georgoulis V, Lianidou ES: SOX17 promoter methylation in circulating tumor cells and matched cell-free DNA isolated from plasma of patients with breast cancer. *Clin Chem* 2013, 59:270–279
  184. Gahan PB: Biology of circulating nucleic acids and possible roles in diagnosis and treatment in diabetes and cancer. *Infect Disord Drug Targets* 2012, 12:360–370
  185. Taylor DD, Gercel-Taylor C: MicroRNA signatures of tumor-derived exosomes as diagnostic biomarkers of ovarian cancer. *Gynecol Oncol* 2008, 110:13–21
  186. Mitchell PS, Parkin RK, Kroh EM, Fritz BR, Wyman SK, Pogosova-Agdadjanyan EL, Peterson A, Noteboom J, O'Brian KC, Allen A, Lin DW, Urban N, Drescher CW, Knudsen BS, Stirewalt DL, Gentleman R, Vessella RL, Nelson PS, Martin DB, Tewari M: Circulating microRNAs as stable blood-based markers for cancer detection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2008, 105:10513–10518
  187. Lawrie CH, Gal S, Dunlop HM, Pushkaran B, Liggins AP, Pulford K, Banham AH, Pezzella F, Boulwood J, Wainscoat JS, Hatton CS, Harris AL: Detection of elevated levels of tumour-associated microRNAs in serum of patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Br J Haematol* 2008, 141:672–675
  188. Zhou J, Shi YH, Fan J: Circulating cell-free nucleic acids: promising biomarkers of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Semin Oncol* 2012, 39:440–448
  189. Chen Y, Chen G, Feng S, Pan J, Zheng X, Su Y, Chen Y, Huang Z, Lin X, Lan F, Chen R, Zeng H: Label-free serum ribonucleic acid analysis for colorectal cancer detection by surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy and multivariate analysis. *J Biomed Opt* 2012, 17:067003
  190. Ross RW, Galsky MD, Scher HI, Magidson J, Wassmann K, Lee GS, Katz L, Subudhi SK, Anand A, Fleisher M, Kantoff PW, Oh WK: A whole-blood RNA transcript-based prognostic model in men with castration-resistant prostate cancer: a prospective study. *Lancet Oncol* 2012, 13:1105–1113
  191. Olmos D, Brewer D, Clark J, Danila DC, Parker C, Attard G, Fleisher M, Reid AH, Castro E, Sandhu SK, Barwell L, Oommen NB,



- Carreira S, Drake CG, Jones R, Cooper CS, Scher HI, de Bono JS: Prognostic value of blood mRNA expression signatures in castration-resistant prostate cancer: a prospective, two-stage study. *Lancet Oncol* 2012, 13:1114–1124
192. Garcia-Olmo DC, Picazo MG, Toboso I, Asensio AI, Garcia-Olmo D: Quantitation of cell-free DNA and RNA in plasma during tumor progression in rats. *Mol Cancer* 2013, 12:8
193. van Schooneveld E, Wouters MC, Van der Auwera I, Peeters DJ, Wildiers H, Van Dam PA, Vergote I, Vermeulen PB, Dirix LY, Van Laere SJ: Expression profiling of cancerous and normal breast tissues identifies microRNAs that are differentially expressed in serum from patients with (metastatic) breast cancer and healthy volunteers. *Breast Cancer Res* 2012, 14:R34
194. Silva J, Garcia V, Zaballos A, Provencio M, Lombardia L, Almonacid L, Garcia JM, Dominguez G, Pena C, Diaz R, Herrera M, Varela A, Bonilla F: Vesicle-related microRNAs in plasma of non-small cell lung cancer patients and correlation with survival. *Eur Respir J* 2011, 37:617–623
195. Vickers KC, Palmisano BT, Shoucri BM, Shamburek RD, Remaley AT: MicroRNAs are transported in plasma and delivered to recipient cells by high-density lipoproteins. *Nat Cell Biol* 2011, 13:423–433
196. Hastings ML, Palma J, Duelli DM: Sensitive PCR-based quantitation of cell-free circulating microRNAs. *Methods* 2012, 58:144–150
197. Qiu S, Lin S, Hu D, Feng Y, Tan Y, Peng Y: Interactions of miR-323/miR-326/miR-329 and miR-130a/miR-155/miR-210 as prognostic indicators for clinical outcome of glioblastoma patients. *J Transl Med* 2013, 11:10
198. Giovannetti E, van der Velde A, Funel N, Vasile E, Perrone V, Leon LG, De Lio N, Avan A, Caponi S, Pollina LE, Galla V, Sudo H, Falcone A, Campani D, Boggi U, Peters GJ: High-throughput microRNA (miRNAs) arrays unravel the prognostic role of MiR-211 in pancreatic cancer. *PLoS One* 2012, 7:e49145
199. Yan LX, Huang XF, Shao Q, Huang MY, Deng L, Wu QL, Zeng YX, Shao JY: MicroRNA miR-21 overexpression in human breast cancer is associated with advanced clinical stage, lymph node metastasis and patient poor prognosis. *RNA* 2008, 14:2348–2360
200. Yang C, Wang C, Chen X, Chen S, Zhang Y, Zhi F, Wang J, Li L, Zhou X, Li N, Pan H, Zhang J, Zen K, Zhang CY, Zhang C: Identification of seven serum microRNAs from a genome-wide serum microRNA expression profile as potential noninvasive biomarkers for malignant astrocytomas. *Int J Cancer* 2013, 132:116–127
201. Schrauder MG, Strick R, Schulz-Wendtland R, Strissel PL, Kahmann L, Loehberg CR, Lux MP, Jud SM, Hartmann A, Hein A, Bayer CM, Bani MR, Richter S, Adamietz BR, Wenkel E, Rauh C, Beckmann MW, Fasching PA: Circulating micro-RNAs as potential blood-based markers for early stage breast cancer detection. *PLoS One* 2012, 7:e29770
202. Nguyen HL, Xie W, Yang M, Hsieh CL, Drouin S, Lee GS, Kantoff PW: Expression differences of circulating microRNAs in metastatic castration resistant prostate cancer and low-risk, localized prostate cancer. *Prostate* 2013, 73:346–354
203. Wang Y, Gu J, Roth JA, Hildebrandt MA, Lippman SM, Ye Y, Minna JD, Wu X: Pathway-based serum microRNA profiling and survival in patients with advanced stage non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer Res* 2013, 73:4801–4809
204. Godfrey AC, Xu Z, Weinberg CR, Getts RC, Wade PA, DeRoo LA, Sandler DP, Taylor JA: Serum microRNA expression as an early marker for breast cancer risk in prospectively collected samples from the Sister Study cohort. *Breast Cancer Res* 2013, 15:R42
205. Bang C, Thum T: Exosomes: new players in cell-cell communication. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 2012, 44:2060–2064
206. Simpson RJ, Lim JW, Moritz RL, Mathivanan S: Exosomes: proteomic insights and diagnostic potential. *Expert Rev Proteomics* 2009, 6:267–283
207. Muralidharan-Chari V, Clancy JW, Sedgwick A, D'Souza-Schorey C: Microvesicles: mediators of extracellular communication during cancer progression. *J Cell Sci* 2010, 123:1603–1611
208. Camussi G, Deregibus MC, Bruno S, Cantaluppi V, Biancone L: Exosomes/microvesicles as a mechanism of cell-to-cell communication. *Kidney Int* 2010, 78:838–848
209. Mathivanan S, Ji H, Simpson RJ: Exosomes: extracellular organelles important in intercellular communication. *J Proteomics* 2010, 73:1907–1920
210. Redis RS, Calin S, Yang Y, You MJ, Calin GA: Cell-to-cell miRNA transfer: from body homeostasis to therapy. *Pharmacol Ther* 2012, 136:169–174
211. Valadi H, Ekstrom K, Bossios A, Sjostrand M, Lee JJ, Lotvall JO: Exosome-mediated transfer of mRNAs and microRNAs is a novel mechanism of genetic exchange between cells. *Nat Cell Biol* 2007, 9:654–659
212. Thery C, Ostrowski M, Segura E: Membrane vesicles as conveyors of immune responses. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2009, 9:581–593
213. Vlassov AV, Magdaleno S, Setterquist R, Conrad R: Exosomes: current knowledge of their composition, biological functions, and diagnostic and therapeutic potentials. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2012, 1820:940–948
214. Katsuda T, Kosaka N, Ochiya T: The roles of extracellular vesicles in cancer biology: toward the development of novel cancer biomarkers. *Proteomics* 2014, 14:412–425
215. Thakur BK, Zhang H, Becker A, Matei I, Huang Y, Costa-Silva B, Zheng Y, Hoshino A, Brazier H, Xiang J, Williams C, Rodriguez-Barrueco R, Silva JM, Zhang W, Hearn S, Elemento O, Paknejad N, Manova-Todorova K, Welte K, Bromberg J, Peinado H, Lyden D: Double-stranded DNA in exosomes: a novel biomarker in cancer detection. *Cell Res* 2014, 24:766–769
216. Rabinowits G, Gercel-Taylor C, Day JM, Taylor DD, Kloecker GH: Exosomal microRNA: a diagnostic marker for lung cancer. *Clin Lung Cancer* 2009, 10:42–46
217. Skog J, Wurdinger T, van Rijn S, Meijer DH, Gainche L, Sena-Esteves M, Curry WT Jr, Carter BS, Krichevsky AM, Breakefield XO: Glioblastoma microvesicles transport RNA and proteins that promote tumor growth and provide diagnostic biomarkers. *Nat Cell Biol* 2008, 10:1470–1476
218. Bidard FC, Fehm T, Ignatiadis M, Smerage JB, Alix-Panabieres C, Janni W, Messina C, Paoletti C, Muller V, Hayes DF, Piccart M, Pierga JY: Clinical application of circulating tumor cells in breast cancer: overview of the current interventional trials. *Cancer Metastasis Rev* 2013, 32:179–188
219. Polzer B, Medoro G, Pasch S, Fontana F, Zorzino L, Pestka A, Andergassen U, Meier-Stiegen F, Czyz ZT, Alberter B, Treitschke S, Schamberger T, Sergio M, Bregola G, Doffini A, Gianni S, Calanca A, Signorini G, Bolognesi C, Hartmann A, Fasching PA, Sandri MT, Rack B, Fehm T, Giorgini G, Manaresi N, Klein CA: Molecular profiling of single circulating tumor cells with diagnostic intention. *EMBO Mol Med* 2014, 6:1371–1386
220. Bedard PL, Hansen AR, Ratain MJ, Siu LL: Tumour heterogeneity in the clinic. *Nature* 2013, 501:355–364
221. Miranda E, Bianchi P, Destro A, Morengi E, Malesci A, Santoro A, Laghi L, Roncalli M: Genetic and epigenetic alterations in primary colorectal cancers and related lymph node and liver metastases. *Cancer* 2013, 119:266–276
222. Vermaat JS, Nijman IJ, Koudijs MJ, Gerritse FL, Scherer SJ, Mokry M, Roessingh WM, Lansu N, de Bruijn E, van Hillegersberg R, van Diest PJ, Cuppen E, Voest EE: Primary colorectal cancers and their subsequent hepatic metastases are genetically different: implications for selection of patients for targeted treatment. *Clin Cancer Res* 2012, 18:688–699